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Abstract
The present study on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield contributing traits was conducted in 20 newly developed male sterile lines of pearl millet, developed at the Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur, during the rainy season of the year 2013. Significant genetic variability among genotypes was observed for all the traits studied i.e. days to flowering, plant height, ear head length, effective tillers per plant, ear head number, ear head weight, grain yield, 500 grain weight and panicle harvest index. Mean performance of all traits revealed that ms lines CZMS 0021A and CZMS 0008A had higher manifestation of most of the desired traits. High values of genotypic coefficients of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation were observed for traits like grain yield per plot, ear head weight per plot and effective tillers per plant, indicating that these traits contributed significantly to the total variability. High heritability estimates were observed for grain yield per plot, ear head weight per plot, plant height and days to flowering. High genetic advance as percentage, was recorded for grain yield per plot and ear head weight per plot. Greater magnitude of heritability coupled with higher genetic advance for grain yield per plot and ear head weight/plot revealed additive genetic effects for inheritance of these traits, while, high heritability estimates with low genetic advance indicated the presence of non-additive gene action for days to flowering, plant height and ear head length.
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Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R.Br.) is the world’s hardest warm season coarse cereal predominantly cultivated as a grain crop but is also valued for its stover and fodder. The crop residue forms an important source of fodder (particularly in low rainfall regions) accounting for 40-50% of the dry matter intake and is often the only source of feed in dry months. India is the largest producer of pearl millet, both in terms of area (9.3 m ha) and production (7.97 m t), with an average productivity of 856 kg ha⁻¹. It contributes 7.8% to the total food grain area of the country and 3.9% to the total food grain production. Rajasthan constitutes about 50% area and 42% of production of pearl millet in the country. Other principle pearl millet growing states are Maharashtra, Uttar pradesh, Gujarat, and Haryana. Pearl millet is a cross pollinated crop and expresses high degree of heterosis for grain yield. This has been exploited through the development and cultivation of hybrids, as a result, yield of pearl millet increased substantially in states having good environmental conditions like moderate temperatures and better rainfall during cropping season. While, in Rajasthan, increase in productivity was not satisfactory due to lack of desired adaptability and characteristics required for this region (Kelley et al., 1996). For successful cultivation of pearl millet hybrids in the arid western Rajasthan, it is important that the hybrids are developed using parents (male and female) that are adapted to the harsh climatic conditions of this region. Such hybrids would lead to better adaptation and performance. CAZRI, Jodhpur has a strong restorer parent development program (Manga and Dubey 2004, Manga, 2004, 2008, 2009, and 2013), and used them in crossing with male sterile (ms) lines from other sources to develop hybrids. Majority of the ms lines from other sources are having lack of adaptability to the harsh climatic conditions of arid region. Hence, a strong need was felt to develop pearl millet male-sterile lines that are well adapted to the harsh climatic conditions of western Rajasthan. Hybrids developed from such ms lines would have better adaptation to the harsh climatic conditions of this region, leading to their successful cultivation with increased productivity in this area. Keeping this in mind, a targeted male sterile development programme was initiated at CAZRI, Jodhpur in 2002. From B composites and segregating populations of BxB crosses, promising ‘B’ progenies were carried forward by pedigree selection to develop maintainer inbred lines, which were crossed with other ms lines as source of A1 and A4 cytoplasm, followed by backcrossing to develop a set of new male sterile lines (Manga and Kumar 2013). Development of these male sterile lines will add to the genetic diversity of existing ms lines as well hybrids.

Twenty new male sterile lines (ms) were developed at CAZRI Jodhpur, by crossing maintainers...
developed by selection from a B composite developed jointly by CAZRI and ICRI SAT under CAZRI-ICRISAT collaborative project, and from F₂ populations of eighteen selected crosses from a diallel crosses among B lines of twenty ms lines coming from CAZRI, ICRISAT and HAU. Promising S₀ progenies from the composite and F₂ progenies from segregating populations were crossed with male sterile lines, viz., ICMA 95111 and ICMA 94555 having A₂ cytoplasm and ICMA 97555 having A₄ cytoplasm and then backcrossed with their respective B lines to generate new ms lines. Pedigree of the ms lines is given in table 1.

These male sterile lines were planted during the rainy season (July to September) of 2013, in a randomized complete block design with three replications at the Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur (26° 18’ N, 73° 01’ E). The experiment was planted in the month of July and harvested in October. During the cropping period, crop received total precipitation of 389.9 mm. Out of this 244.8 mm rainfall was received in the months of July and August, remaining 145.1 mm rainfall was received in the last week of September, when the crop was almost matured. Each entry was planted in two rows of four meter length with row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 15 cm. The experiment was conducted in the loamy sand with applied fertilizer of 40 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹ (50% basal and rest as top dressing) and 20 kg phosphorous ha⁻¹ (basal dose). The standard cultural and agronomic practices were followed that included thinning and weeding at 15 days after sowing. Data were recorded on five plants for plant height, days to 50 per cent flowering, effective tillers per plant, ear head length (cm), ear head girth (cm), ear head weight (g) per plot, grain yield (g) per plot, and 500 grain weight (g). The above data were used to compute panicle harvest index as ratio of the grain yield to panicle weight per plot, and 500 grain weight and panicle harvest index. Mean values and range for different characteristics of each ms line is given in table 3. It was observed that the range was wider for days to flowering (40-53 days), plant height (81-179 cm), effective tillers/plant (2 to 6), ear head numbers/plot (51-138), ear head weight/plot (522-2481 g), grain yield/plot (197-1855 g) and panicle harvest index (0.39-0.75), suggesting the possibility of selecting desirable material to utilize in the breeding programme. Detailed characteristics of each male sterile line are given in table 4. It was observed that CZMS 0009A was earliest in flowering (40 days) followed by CZMS 0021A (44 days), CZMS 0015A (45 days) and CZMS 0008A (46 days). These early materials assume great importance under arid environments of western Rajasthan, where, this pearl millet crop frequently faces terminal drought. With regard to plant height, CZMS 0021A was the tallest (179 cm) followed by CZMS 0008A (174 cm) and CZMS 0022A (164 cm). Male sterile lines, CZMS 0017A had shortest height (81 cm) followed by CZMS 0002A and CZMS 0018A (83 cm each). The trait, effective tillers per plant showed highest number of tillers per plant in CZMS 0009A (6 tillers per plant), followed by CZMS 0033A, CZMS 0008A, 0013A, 0014A, 0021A and 0022A (each 3 tillers per plant). The line, CZMS 0021A recorded the highest grain yield per plot (1855 g) followed by CZMS 0014A (1222 g) and CZMS 008A (967 g). CZMS 009A recorded the highest 500 grain weight (4.3 g) followed by CZMS 0007A (4.1 g) and CZMS 0021A (4.0 g). Panicle harvest index, which is an indicator of a variety’s ability to set seed under terminal drought situations, was highest in case of CZMS 0021A (0.75) and was followed by CZMS 0011A (0.69) and CZMS 0009A (0.68). Based on overall mean performance, the ms lines CZMS 0021A and CZMS 0008A showed high positive values for most of the traits and these lines could be further utilized in the breeding programme.

Contribution of each character towards total variability is reflected in figure 1. It was revealed that grain yield per plot, ear head weight per plot, effective tillers per plant, plant height and ear head numbers/plot were the major contributors to the variability. A comparison of the phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) revealed that, phenotypic coefficients of variation were higher than the genotypic coefficients of variation for ear head girth, effective tillers/plant and 500 grain weight, indicating the influence of environment. The remaining traits showed meagre difference between PCV and GCV. High GCV and PCV were observed for traits viz., grain yield per plot, ear head weight per plot and effective tillers per plant, indicating that variation for these traits contributed
significantly to the total variability. Similar results have earlier been reported by Manga (2013), and Borkhataria et al., (2005).

Though the total variability present in a character is indicated by the coefficient of variation, the extent of variability, which could be transferred from parent to offspring, would determine the response to selection and this is provided by the estimates of heritability. The heritability estimates for various traits ranged from 0.22 to 0.94. High heritability estimates were observed for grain yield per plot (0.94), ear head weight per plot (0.93), plant height (0.87) and days to flowering (0.84). This indicated the prospects of improvement in these traits through selection. This observation is in agreement with the results of Govindaraj et al. (2010) and Dubey and Manga (2005). The traits, ear head length, ear head girth, effective tillers/plant, ear head numbers/plot and panicle harvest index showed moderate values of heritability, while, low heritability was observed for 500 grain weight. Further, heritability in combination with genetic advance has been found to be a more effective and reliable by means of predicting response to selection. Genetic advance expressed as percent of mean showed wider range of variations for different traits. The high estimates of genetic advance as percent was recorded for grain yield per plot and ear head weight per plot. Greater magnitude of heritability coupled with higher genetic advance for grain yield per plot and ear head weight per plot is the evidence that these plant traits were under the control of additive genetic effects for inheritance of these traits, and hence selection should lead to fast genetic improvement of these traits.

However, high heritability estimates with low genetic advance were observed for days to flowering, plant height and ear head length. This indicates the presence of non-additive gene action, thus simple selection will not be effective for screening of these characters for potential breeding programme.

Overall the study revealed that the existence of sufficient genetic variability among the newly developed ms lines for various traits. Based upon mean performance for grain yield/plot CZMS 0021A, CZMS 0014A and CZMS 008A were found to be promising and could be utilized in different hybridization programme with different restorer lines to develop new hybrid combinations.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male sterile line</th>
<th>Pedigree</th>
<th>Cytoplasm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0001A</td>
<td>ICMB 95111 x [843B x EEBC-S1-407]12-2-B-B-B-B-1-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0002A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0003A</td>
<td>ICMB 95111 x [843B x EEBC-S1-407]12-2-B-B-B-B-2-1-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0004A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0005A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0006A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0007A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0008A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0009A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0011A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0012A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0013A</td>
<td>ICMB 95111 x [843B x EEBC-S1-407]12-2-B-B-B-B-2-1-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0014A</td>
<td>ICMB 95111 x [843B x EEBC-S1-407]12-2-B-B-B-B-3-1-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0015A</td>
<td>ICMB 95111 x [843B x EEBC-S1-407]12-2-B-B-B-B-3-1-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0017A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0018A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0019A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0020A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0021A</td>
<td>IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0022A</td>
<td>(DTBLT-T-15-P2-P4) IC CZBC C0-96-5-B-1-2-1-1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Analysis of variance for various traits of pearl millet male sterile lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>PHT</th>
<th>EL</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>EHN</th>
<th>EHW</th>
<th>GY</th>
<th>500GW</th>
<th>PHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>152.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>314.8</td>
<td>86933*</td>
<td>42739*</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.57**</td>
<td>2897.2**</td>
<td>20.47**</td>
<td>0.127**</td>
<td>2.421**</td>
<td>1401.4**</td>
<td>712825**</td>
<td>430449**</td>
<td>0.394*</td>
<td>0.024**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>242.7</td>
<td>18628</td>
<td>9226</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DF- Days to flower; PHT- Plant height; EL- Ear head length; EG- Ear head girth; ET- Effective tiller/plant; EHN – ear head number/plot; EHW- ear head weight g/plot; GY- Grain yield g/plot; PHI- Panicle harvest index; 500 GW- 500 grain weight

### Table 3. Mean, range, and other variability parameters for different characters in pearl millet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>PCV</th>
<th>GCV</th>
<th>h’(bs)</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>GAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days to flowering</td>
<td>48.38</td>
<td>40-53</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>81-179</td>
<td>26.95</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>58.48</td>
<td>48.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear head length (cm)</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>17.45</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear head girth (cm)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.17-1.97</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>16.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective tiller/plant</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.73-5.72</td>
<td>40.63</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>46.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear head numbers/plot</td>
<td>79.68</td>
<td>51-138</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>31.73</td>
<td>39.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear head weight/plot (g)</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>522-2481</td>
<td>50.19</td>
<td>48.28</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>953.31</td>
<td>95.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield/plot (g)</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>197-1855</td>
<td>63.94</td>
<td>61.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>747.73</td>
<td>123.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 grain wt (g)</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.03-4.27</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicle harvest index</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.39-0.75</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>23.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCV: Phenotypic coefficient of variation; GCV: genotypic coefficient of variation; h’(bs): Heritability in broad sense; GA: Genetic advance; GAM: Genetic advance as percent of mean
Table 4. Mean performance for different traits in CAZRI bred twenty new ms lines of pearl millet MS line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>PHT</th>
<th>EL</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>EHN</th>
<th>EHW</th>
<th>GY</th>
<th>500GW</th>
<th>PHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0001A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0002A</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0003A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0004A</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0005A</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0006A</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0007A</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0008A</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0009A</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0011A</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0012A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0013A</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0014A</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0015A</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0017A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0018A</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0019A</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0020A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0021A</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2481</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZMS 0022A</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 5%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DF-Days to flower; PHT-Plant height; EL-Ear head length; EG-Ear girth; ET-Effective tiller/plant; EHN-ear head number/plot; EHW-ear head weight g/plot, GY-grain yield g/plot; PHI-Panicle harvest index; 500 GW-500 grain weight (g).
Fig. 1. Contribution of plant traits towards total genetic variability in the material

DF-Days to flower; PHT-Plant height; ETILL-Effective tillers per plant; EL-Ear length; EG-Ear head girth; EHN-Ear head numbers/plot; EHW-Ear head wt g/plot, 500 GWT-500 grain wt (g); GY-Grain yield g/plot; PHI-Panicle harvest index