



## Research Article

# Inheritance study of pollen fertility restoration of CMS lines in pigeonpea

S. K. Saroj\*, M. N. Singh and Yashpal

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindi University, Varanasi- 221 005, India

\*E-mail: sandeepsaroj@gmail.com

(Received: 11 Sep 2014; Accepted: 30 Nov 2014)

### Abstract

Segregating patterns for pollen fertility of five crosses were studied involving two CGMS lines and four restorers viz., ICPA 2043/ICP 6399, ICPA 2043/ICP 9149, ICPA 2043/ICPR 4105, ICPA 2092/ICPR 4105 and ICPA 2092/KA 91-25 in F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> generations. The crosses exhibited 13: 3 and 3:1 ratios, respectively in F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> indicating the involvement of two dominant genes with one basic and one inhibitory gene action for fertility restoration. However, three crosses, ICPA 2092/ICP 6399, ICPA 2092/ICP 9149 and ICPA 2043/KA 91-25 recorded the ratio of 9 : 3 : 4, 12 : 3 : 1 and 9 : 7 and 1 : 1 : 2, 2 : 1 : 1 and 1 : 3 in F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> populations, respectively and these are confirming that supplementary, masking and complimentary gene actions.

### Key words:

Pigeonpea, Fertility restoration, Epistatic gene actions,

### Introduction

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh] (2n=2x=22) is a food legume crops which belong to the family *Fabaceae* and is invariably cultivated as an annual crop. It is an often cross-pollinated (20-70%) crop, globally grown on 4.6 million hectares land in more than 20 countries with an annual production of 3.48 million tonnes and productivity being 780 kg/ha. However, in India, the area, production and productivity during 2013-2014 were 3.89 million hectares, 3.34 million tonnes and 859 kg/ha, respectively, (<https://www.agricoop.nic.in>).

The hybrid breeding technology which has demonstrated quantum yield jump in various field, field crops, vegetables and fruit crops with several fold increases in their productivity of the global food security in the last few decades. The availability of diverse male sterile lines and their fertility restoration have played an important role in exploiting hybrid vigor at commercial scale. Dominant fertility restoring nuclear genes are transmitted from male parent, which allow seed set on the hybrid plants. However, the expression of fertility restoration among testers in (sterility/fertility) may vary from 0 to 100 % (Saxena *et al.*, 2014). Fertility restoration of CMS-based hybrids is an integral part of breeding hybrids and the development of new hybrid parents with desirable agronomic and market preferred traits

on regular intervals is essential for sustainability of hybrid technology programs.

The exploitation of hybrid vigour for enhancing the yield has paid rich dividend in most of the crop plants. The identification of genetic male sterility system (Reddy *et al.*, 1978) and its utilizing resulted in release of ICPH 8, the first GMS based hybrid in pigeonpea by International Crop Research Institute for Semi- Arid Tropics (Saxena *et al.*, 1992). The importance of hybrid vigour in pigeonpea has been realized and few more hybrids such as, PPH-4, COPH-1, COPH-2, COPH 3, IPH-732, AKPH-4101 and AKPH-2022 have been released for general cultivation in some specific states. Whereas, GMS based hybrids could not be commercialized because of labour intensive seed production and seed purity as rogeuing of about 50 per cent fertile plant from the female plot resulted decreased population (Reddy and Faris, 1981).

However, reported first stable cytoplasmic male sterile line, GT-288A with its maintainer, GT-288B utilized *Cajanus scarabaeoides* (A<sub>2</sub>) as source of cytoplasm (Tikka *et al.*, 1997). This cytoplasmic-genic male sterility system (CGMS) contains A line with S (rr), B line with F (rr) and R line with S/F (RR) and consequently first CGMS based hybrid SKNPH-10 (GTH-1) has been released for

cultivation in Gujarat, (Majumder, 2004). Recently, a second CMS ( $A_4$ ) based hybrid, ICPH- 2671 (Pushkal) of short duration group, developed by ICRISAT for the cultivation to M. P. state (Saxena *et al.*, 2013). Presently, seven CMS systems in pigeonpea have been developed by integrating the cytoplasm of wild species with the genome of cultivated species (*Cajanus cajan*) through inter-specific hybridization followed by selection and backcrossing (Saxena *et al.* 2010). Of these,  $A_4$  CMS system derived from *C. Cajanifolius* cytoplasm (Saxena *et al.* 2005) has shown great promise because of its stable expression under various agro-climatic conditions, availability of reliable maintainers (B lines) and stable fertility restoration.

The presence of greater genetic diversity among fertility restorers enhances the probability of breeding widely adapted high yielding hybrids. The information about the number of genes controlling fertility restoration (*Rf* or *Fr*) in the nucleus suppress the male sterile phenotype and allow commercial exploitation of the CMS system for the production of hybrid seeds. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to assess the genetics of fertility restoration system in pigeonpea using  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations in eight long maturing pigeonpea hybrids carrying  $A_4$  cytoplasm.

### Materials and Methods

Two cytoplasmic male sterile lines viz., ICPA 2043 and ICPA 2092 were crossed with each of about 50 diverse germplasm lines and resulting their  $F_1$  hybrids were raised and pollen fertility of each of  $F_1$  plants were assessed and averaged at low and high temperatures during Kharif, 2009-2010. The eight  $F_1$  hybrids (exhibiting > 90 % pollen fertility restoration and good pod setting) involving four restorers viz., ICP 6399, ICP 9149, ICPR 4105 and KA 91-25 were selected and their morphological traits used as descriptors for identified for true parent as well as  $F_1$ s (Table 1). All the  $F_1$  plants were selfed using bee proof nylon net cage (0.5 mm) to procure seeds for  $F_2$  generation and simultaneously crossed to their respective A lines to produce  $BC_1$  seeds besides making eight fresh crosses during second crop season 2010-2011.

The final experiments comprising of  $F_1$ s,  $F_2$ s,  $BC_1F_1$ s and parents were raised in the experimental plots at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, during Kharif, 2011-2012. The  $F_1$  hybrids and parents were sown in three rows each,  $BC_1F_1$  of 6 rows and  $F_2$ s 15 rows in each sets of segregating populations. Each plot consisted of single row of 4 m length with

inter and intra row spacing of  $75 \times 25$  cm, respectively. The rang of population of 218 - 240 plants for each  $F_2$ ; 68-96 plants of  $BC_1F_1$  and 32- 48 plants for each  $F_1$  hybrid could be finally maintained.

For the conformation of pollen fertility/sterility, data were recorded at low (25-27 °c during November/December) as well as high (~32 °c during March) temperature to observe the effect of temperature (thermo-sensitivity) on pollen fertility over three years (Table 2). The five fully pre-matured floral buds were picked randomly from each plant, and the anthers squashed in 2% aceto-carmin solution on a glass slide and examined under light microscope with 10x magnification. Five such microscopic fields were examined for each plant of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1F_1$  populations carefully. The fully stained pollen grains were identified as fertile, while the empty or partially stained pollen grains as sterile. Based on pollen fertility followed by good pod setting, the plants were classified into three categories i.e., fertile (> 90 % pollen fertility with good pod setting), partial fertile (11 – 89 % pollen fertility with poor pod setting), and sterile (0 – 10 % pollen fertility with negligible pod setting) following Kyu and Saxena (2011) with few modification. The goodness of fit to the expected ratios in  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generation was tested using chi-square test.

### Results and Discussion

All the 132  $F_1$  hybrids evaluated on the pollen fertility restoration and pod setting rate were considered main criteria, in which 12  $F_1$ s showed 0-10 % with negligible pod setting, 94  $F_1$ s were revealed 11-89 % pollen fertility with poor pod setting from all 2 CMS lines respectively. However, 26 crosses were exhibited > 90 % pollen fertility with good pod setting, associated with two CMS lines viz., ICPA 2043 and ICPA 2092. Further, only eight selected crosses were studied in this paper.

All the lines and testers recorded 100% sterile and pollen fertility respectively and maintainers (B lines) revealed fertility ranged from 96.54 - 99.35. In the case of hybrids, all the plants were indicating fertile ranging from 94.74 to 98.67 over three years and each season had two (low and high) temperatures given in table 2, in this event incorporated dominant fertility restoring genes from the restorer parent to the hybrids. Multi-season evaluation of hybrids exhibited high stability for fertility restoration across diverse environments. The diversity study showed a large variation for important traits both at phenotypic as well as genetic levels. Saxena *et al.* 2014, had discussed the potential use of this information in hybrid pigeonpea breeding.

From the perusal of Table 3, it is obvious that percent seed setting in crossed seeds ( $F_0$ ) through hand pollination, varied from 49.02 (ICPA 2043 / KA 91-25) to 58.89 (ICPA 2092 / ICP 6399) with mean of 53.23% in  $F_1$  seeds. Whereas in  $BC_1F_0$ , varying from 31.31 (ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / ICPR 4105) to 42.58 (ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / ICP 6399), averaged 38.89% indicating comparatively poor seed setting in  $BC_1 F_0$  generation. Where, 415 crossed ( $F_0$ ) seeds obtained from 781 hand pollinations and 754 backcrossed ( $BC_1F_0$ ) seeds from 1953 pollinations. The effects of pod setting by transferring of the masses of pollen grain mechanisms from the restorers. The dominant genes are more competitive as compare to low viability of the genes during double fertilization suggested by Liu *et al.*, 2003. Earlier researchers were also been reported that influenced of nuclear background of male sterile and fertility restoring lines during inheritance study of fertility restorations of CMS lines in pigeonpea by Kyu and Saxena, (2011); Sawargaonkar *et al.* (2012).

In this ICPA 2043/ICP 6399, 203 out of 237 plants were fertile and 34 plants were male sterile. This segregation fit well to the expected ratio of 13 F : 3 S ( $\chi^2 = 3.02$ ; P = 0.01). In  $BC_1F_1$  generation out of a total of 86 plants, 57 were male fertile and 29 male sterile. This followed a ratio of 3 : 1 ( $\chi^2 = 3.49$ ; P = 0.01). This segregation revealed that the restorer line ICP 6399 had two dominant loci, with one basic and one inhibitory gene action for the responsible of fertility restoration. Similarly, the  $F_2$  and  $BC_1F_1$  populations data along  $\chi^2$  test suggested that two dominant genes might have certain interactions with these crosses, ICPA 2043/ICP 9149, ICPA 2043/ICPR 4105, ICPA 2092/ICPR 4105 and ICPA 2092/KA 91-25 (Table 4, 5). Similar results reported in *Vicia faba* 1-2 dominant genes (Kaul, 1988) and Liu *et al.* (2003) in *Gossypium hirsutum* L.

In hybrid ICPA 2092/ICP 6399 among 232  $F_2$  plants grown 143 were fertile, 36 partial fertile and 53 were sterile. This segregating fit well to the expected ratio of 9 F : 3 PF : 4 S ( $\chi^2 = 2.92$ ; P = 0.01). In the  $BC_1F_1$  generation, the population of 81 plants segregated in to 17 fertile, 13 partial fertile and 51 sterile and it fit well to the expected ratio of 1 F : 1 PF : 2 S ( $\chi^2 = 5.84$ ; P = 0.01). The presence of homozygous recessive alleles at one locus results in partial fertility, whereas the presence of fertility restoring alleles at the other locus results in male sterility. This segregation confirmed the recessive epistasis gene interaction of pollen fertility in hybrids table 4, 5. Kyu and Saxena, 2011 were also confirmed same results.

In  $F_2$  generation of ICPA 2092/ICP 9149, 174 out of 240, 174 plants were fertile, 44 partial fertile and 22 male sterile. This segregation fit well to the expected ratio of 12 F : 3 PF : 1 S ( $\chi^2 = 3.49$ ; P = 0.01). In back cross population, 43 out of 93 plants were fertile, 31 plants had partial fertility and 19 were sterile. This fit well to the expected ratio of 2 F : 1 PS : 1 S ( $\chi^2 = 3.62$ ; P = 0.01) suggesting the presence of two fertility restoration loci in the restorer parent; which interact epistatically with masking generation. The presence of a single dominant allele of the first fertility restoring gene was enough to restore male fertility. The presence of dominant allele at the second loci provided partial fertility restoration but when present together with the other dominant allele in a genotype it resulted in fertility restoration. The other cross ICPA 2043/KA 91-25 segregated in a ratio of 9 F : 7 S ( $\chi^2 = 3.05$ ; P = 0.01) in  $F_2$  generation and 1 F : 3 S ( $\chi^2 = 2.84$ ; P = 0.01) in back cross generation indicating the presence of two complimentary genes for restoring the fertility of male sterile line.

The monogenic gene action, digenic dominance duplicated gene action and complementary gene action were reported that the fertility restoration in  $A_4$  cytoplasm by Dalvi *et al.* (2008). Saxena *et al.* (2010) reported two dominant genes with one basic and one inhibitory gene action in 'ICPL 87119' hybrid. Sawargaonkar *et al.* (2012) also studied monogenic as well as digenic control of fertility restoration and suggested that fertility influenced by nuclear background of parental lines. Hossain *et al.* (2010) suggested the differential segregation behaviour could also be due to the existence of certain modifiers influencing the penetrance and expressivity of the fertility restorer genes.

Further studies are necessary to confirm the genetic systems underlying the fertility restoration of male sterility system with inbred lines.

To conclude, the inheritance of fertility restoration in CMS lines in specific crosses of pigeonpea influenced by the nuclear background of male sterile and fertility restoring lines. The differential behavior of three fertility restorer lines ICP 6399, ICP 9149 and KA 91-25 in six different crosses viz., ICPA 2043/ICP 6399, ICPA 2092/ICP 6399, ICPA 2043/ICP 9149, ICPA 2092/ICP 9149 and ICPA 2043/KA 91-25, ICPA 2092/KA 91-25, were attributed to the interactions of different nuclear genes of the two female parents.

#### Acknowledgements



The authors heartily thankful to Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi and ICRISAT, Hyderabad, India for providing materials for the study. The first author acknowledge to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for providing the Rajeev Gandhi National Fellowship during the study.

#### References

- Dalvi, V. A., Saxena, K. B., Madrap, I. A. 2008. Fertility restoration in cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterile lines derived from three wild relatives of pigeonpea. *J. Hered.*, **99**: 671-673.
- Hossain, M. S., Singh, A. K., Fasih-uz-Zaman, 2010. Genetics of fertility restoration of “WA”-based cytoplasmic male sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa*) using *indica/japonica* derivative restorers. *Sci. Asia*, **36**: 94-99.
- Kaul, M. L. H. 1988. Male sterility in higher plants. In: R Frankel *et al.* (Eds), Monographs on Theor. Appl. Genet. Springer Verlag, New York, USA. Pp. 15-96.
- Kyu, K. L. and Saxena, K. B. 2011. Inheritance of fertility restoration in pigeonpea. *J. Food Legumes*, **24**: 273-276.
- Liu, L., Guo, W., Zhu, X. and Zhang, T. 2003. Inheritance and fine mapping of fertility restoration for cytoplasmic male sterility in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **106**: 461- 469.
- Majumder, N. D. 2004. All India Coordinated Research Project on Pigeonpea. Annual Group Meet at MAU, Parbhani, 26-28 October, Pp. 73.
- Reddy, B. V. S., Green, J. M. and Bisen, S. S. 1978. Genetic male-sterility in pigeonpea. *Crop Sci.*, **18**: 362-364.
- Reddy, L. J. and Faris, D. J. 1981. A cytoplasmic genetic male-sterile line in pigeonpea. *Int. Pigeonpea Newsl.*, **1**: 16-17.
- Sawargaonkar, S. L., Madrap, I. A., and Saxena, K. B. 2012. Study of inheritance of fertility restoration in pigeonpea lines derived from *Cajanus*. *Plant Breed.*, **131**: 312-314.
- Saxena K. B., Kumar R. V, Bharathi M. 2014. Studies on fertility restoration of A<sub>4</sub> cytoplasm in Pigeonpea. *Euphytica*, **198** (1):127-135.
- Saxena, K. B., Chauhan, Y. S., Johansen, C. and Singh, L. 1992. Recent developments in hybrid pigeonpea research. In: B. Napompeth, and S. Subhadra Bandhu (eds), New Frontiers in Pulses Research and Development, pp. 58—69. Directorate of Pulses Res., Kanpur, India
- Saxena, K. B., Kumar, R. V., Dalvi, V. A., Pandey, L. B. and Gaddikeri, G. 2010. Development of cytoplasmic-nuclear male sterility, its inheritance, and potential use in hybrid pigeonpea breeding. *J. Hered.*, **101**: 497-503.
- Saxena, K. B., Kumar, R. V., Srivastava, N. and Shiyong, B. 2005. A cytoplasmicgenetic male-sterility system derived from a cross between *Cajanus cajanifolius* and *Cajanus cajan*. *Euphytica*, **145**: 291-296.
- Saxena, K. B., Kumar, R. V., Tikle, A. N., Saxena, M. K., Gautam, V. S., Rao, S. K., Khare, D. K., Chauhan, Y. S., Saxena, R. K., Reddy, B. V. S., Sharma, D., Raddy, L. J., Green, J. M., Faris, D. G., Nene, Y. L., Mula, M., Sultana, R., Srivastava, R. K., Gowada, C. L. L., Sawargaonkar, S. L. and Varshney, R. K. 2013. ICPH 2671- the world’s first commercial food legume hybrid. *Plant Breed.* **132**(5): 479-485.
- Thiruvengadam, V. and Muthiah, A. 2007. Interspecific hybridization between *Cajanus cajan* and *Cajanus cajanifolius*. *CBAP*, **7**: 204-211. Tikka, S. B. S., Parmar, L. D. and Chauhan, R. M. 1997. First record of cytoplasmic–genetic male sterility system in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) through wide hybridization. *GAU Res. J.*, **22**: 160-162.

**Table 1. The origin/source of different cytoplasmic male sterile and restorers/ varieties used in study**

S. No.	CMS/restorer	Days to maturity	A <sub>4</sub> Cytoplasm	Origin/source	Descriptors
<i>CMS Lines</i>					
1.	ICPA 2043	-	<i>Cajanus cajanifolius</i>	ICRISAT, Hyderabad	Compact plant type, Medium tall plant height, Yellow flower, Pod Green, Brown seed colour
2.	ICPA 2092	-	''	''	Spreading plant type, Medium tall plant height, Yellow flower, Pod GPS, Redish brown Seed colour
<i>Restorer Lines</i>					
3.	ICP 6399	237.41	<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	ICRISAT, Hyderabad	Compact plant type, Medium tall plant height, Yellow flower, Pod GPS, Whitish yellow seed colour
4.	ICP 9149	237.54	''	''	Compact plant type, Medium tall plant height, Yellow flower, pod Dark GPS, Brown seed colour
5.	ICPR 4105	237.43	''	''	Compact plant type, Tall plant height, Yellow flower, pod GPS, Redish brown seed colour
6.	K A 91-25	238.33	''	CSAU, Kanpur	Compact plant type, tall plant height, Yellow flower, pod GPS, Redish brown seed colour

**Table 2. Mean of pollen fertility of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and parents at low and high temperatures over three years**

S. No.	Hybrids	Pollen fertility (%)					
		(2009-2010)		(2010-2011)		(2011-2012)	
		November/December	March	November/December	March	November/December	March
		Low temp. (27.08 °C)	High temp. (32.15 °C)	Low temp. (26.18 °C)	High temp. (32.83 °C)	Low temp. (24.97 °C)	High temp. (31.90 °C)
1.	ICPA 2043/ICP 6399	96.40	97.06	95.44	95.66	96.57	96.60
2.	ICPA 2092/ICP 6399	96.53	97.86	97.05	97.40	96.21	96.87
3.	ICPA 2043/ICP 9149	95.66	95.26	96.44	97.77	97.06	97.50
4.	ICPA 2092/ICP 9149	97.42	96.66	96.20	96.79	95.81	97.07
5.	ICPA 2043/ICPR 4105	97.38	95.36	97.81	97.56	97.08	98.08
6.	ICPA 2092/ICPR 4105	95.67	98.65	98.01	98.35	98.04	98.67
7.	ICPA 2043/KA 91-25	94.74	97.26	97.38	98.04	96.93	98.27
8.	ICPA 2092/KA 91-25	96.09	95.36	98.20	98.54	96.87	98.24
<i>Lines</i>							
5.	ICPA 2043	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.	ICPA 2092	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	ICPB 2043	98.70	98.57	98.30	98.13	99.35	97.36
8.	ICPB 2092	97.99	98.79	97.97	97.47	98.51	96.54
<i>Testers</i>							
9.	ICP 6399	100	100	100	100	100	100
10.	ICP 9149	100	100	100	100	100	100
11.	ICPR 4105	100	100	100	100	100	100
12.	KA 91-25	100	100	100	100	100	100

**Table 3. Description of % success crossed seeds harvested through manual pollinations**

S. No.	Crosses	Bud Pollinated	Seeds harvested	% Success
<i>F<sub>1</sub> hybrids</i>				
1.	ICPA 2043 / ICP 6399	98	52	53.06
2.	ICPA 2092 / ICP 6399	90	53	58.89
3.	ICPA 2043 / ICP 9149	91	49	53.85
4.	ICPA 2092 / ICP 9149	97	51	52.58
5.	ICPA 2043 / ICPR 4105	106	56	52.83
6.	ICPA 2092 / ICPR 4105	98	53	54.08
7.	ICPA 2043 / KA 91-25	102	50	49.02
8.	ICPA 2092 / KA 91-25	99	51	51.52
	<i>Total/ mean</i>	781	415	53.23
<i>Test crosses</i>				
9.	ICPA 2043 // ICPA 2043 / ICP 6399	225	89	39.56
10.	ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / ICP 6399	209	89	42.58
11.	ICPA 2043 // ICPA 2043 / ICP 9149	241	98	40.66
12.	ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / ICP 9149	248	99	39.92
13.	ICPA 2043 // ICPA 2043 / ICPR 4105	249	100	40.16
14.	ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / ICPR 4105	297	93	31.31
15.	ICPA 2043 // ICPA 2043 / KA 91-25	238	97	40.76
16.	ICPA 2092 // ICPA 2092 / KA 91-25	246	89	36.18
	<i>Total/ mean</i>	1953	754	38.89

**Table 4. Pollen fertility of F<sub>2</sub> segregating populations**

S. No.	Crosses	No of Plants				Segregation ratio
		Total	Fertile	Partial fertile	Sterile	
1.	ICPA 2043/ICP 6399	237	203	0	34	$\chi^2_{13:3} = 3.02^{NS}$
2.	ICPA 2092/ICP 6399	232	143	36	53	$\chi^2_{9:3:4} = 2.92^{NS}$
3.	ICPA 2043/ICP 9149	218	166	0	52	$\chi^2_{13:3} = 3.73^{NS}$
4.	ICPA 2092/ICP 9149	240	174	44	22	$\chi^2_{12:3:1} = 3.49^{NS}$
5.	ICPA 2043/ICPR 4105	236	201	0	35	$\chi^2_{13:3} = 2.38^{NS}$
6.	ICPA 2092/ICPR 4105	221	189	0	32	$\chi^2_{13:3} = 2.65^{NS}$
7.	ICPA 2043/KA 91-25	219	136	0	83	$\chi^2_{9:7} = 3.05^{NS}$
8.	ICPA 2092/KA 91-25	226	173	0	53	$\chi^2_{13:3} = 3.28^{NS}$

Non- significant at P= 0.05 & 0.01 level respectively.

**Table 5. Pollen fertility of testcross populations**

S. No.	Test crosses	No of Plants				Segregation ratio
		Total	Fertile	Partial fertile	Sterile	
1.	ICPA 2043//ICPA 2043/ICP 6399	86	57	0	29	$\chi^2_{3:1} = 3.49^{NS}$
2.	ICPA 2092//ICPA 2092/ICP 6399	81	17	13	51	$\chi^2_{1:1:2} = 5.84^{NS}$
3.	ICPA 2043//ICPA 2043/ICP 9149	89	61	0	28	$\chi^2_{3:1} = 1.98^{NS}$
4.	ICPA 2092//ICPA 2092/ICP 9149	93	43	31	19	$\chi^2_{2:1:1} = 3.62^{NS}$
5.	ICPA 2043//ICPA 2043/ICPR 4105	92	61	0	31	$\chi^2_{3:1} = 3.71^{NS}$
6.	ICPA 2092//ICPA 2092/ICPR 4105	82	54	0	28	$\chi^2_{3:1} = 3.66^{NS}$
7.	ICPA 2043//ICPA 2043/KA 91-25	92	30	0	62	$\chi^2_{1:3} = 2.84^{NS}$
8.	ICPA 2092//ICPA 2092/KA 91-25	81	68	0	13	$\chi^2_{3:1} = 3.46^{NS}$

Non- significant at P= 0.05 & 0.01 level respectively.