

Research Article

Assessment of genetic variability in newly developed rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids

Manjunath Kencharahut^{1*}, Y. Chandra Mohan², V. Gouri Shankar³ and M. Balram⁴.

¹ Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

² Rice Research centre, Agriculture Research institute, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

³ Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

⁴ Institute of Biotechnology, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

*E-Mail: manjuyash49@gmail.com

(Received:02 May 2018; Revised:27 Aug 2018; Accepted: 27 Aug 2018)

Abstract

The knowledge of genetic variability in a given crop species for characters under improvement is important in any plant breeding programme. Genetic parameters for yield and its correspondent characters in rice were estimated from a trial conducted at Rice Research Centre, Agricultural Research Institute, PJTSAU, Hyderabad during Kharif, 2017. In the present study, four Cytoplasmic Male Sterility lines, eight male lines and thirty-two hybrids and four checks were evaluated. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters, indicated the presence of enormous amount of genetic variability. High phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was observed for number of grains per panicle (26.55, 23.16) and grain yield per plant (21.67, 21.24) indicating that these characters could use as selection for crop improvement. High heritability coupled with high genetic gain as percentage of mean was observed for number of grains per panicle (70.26, 41.61) and 1000 grain weight (61.9, 29.52) which showed presence of additive gene action and thus the chances of fixing by selection will be more to improve such traits through pure line selection in the evaluated genotypes.

Key words

Genetic advance, Genetic variability, Heritability, Hybrids, Rice.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important cereal crop of the world and about 75 per cent of the people of Asia consume rice as staple food. In India rice is cultivated in an area of 43.8 million hectares with the production of 111.01 million tonnes with second in world rice production (*Indiastat*, 2016-17). Despite the age old human concerns about balancing population and food supplies, the world population is expected to continue to grow, possibly reaching 9-11 billion sometimes during 2030- 2050 (Shinde *et al.* 2015), to meet the growing population we need to increase rice production through development of high yielding varieties, which requires the knowledge of existing genetic variability.

The large spectrum of genetic variability in segregating population depends on the amount of the genetic variability among genotypes and offer better scope for selection. The magnitude of heritable variation in the traits studied has immense value in understanding the potential of the genotype for further breeding programme. Assessment of variability for yield and its

component characters becomes absolutely essential

before planning of an appropriate breeding strategy for genetic improvement. Genetic parameters such as genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) are useful in detecting the amount of variability present in the germplasm (Tomar *et al.*, 2016).

The genotypic coefficient of variation does not offer full scope to estimate the variation that is heritable or environmental and therefore, estimation of heritability becomes necessary. Narrow sense heritability is more effective than broad sense heritability because it considers additive genetic variability which is heritable hence more accurate towards selection (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011). Crop improvement depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the desirable characters are heritable.

High heritability is not enough to make efficient selection in segregating generation, unless the information is accompanied with substantial amount of genetic advance. The information on heritability alone may be misleading, when used in combination with genetic gain the utility of heritability estimate increases (Rahman *et al.*, 2014). With the above background information, the



present investigation was undertaken to study the genetic parameters among the 48 rice genotypes for grain yield and yield contributing traits.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted with four CMS lines having the WA cytoplasmic background *viz.*, CMS 23A, CMS 59A, CMS 64A and JMS 13A used as females and eight promising rice varieties *viz.*, IET-19321, IET-26227, WGL 863, IET-26106, TP-30433, JGL 20649, JGL 5614 and JGL 21071 as males and their thirty-two hybrids generated adopting line x tester mating design in *Rabi*, 2016-17 and four checks (MTU 1010 and RNR 15048 as varietal checks, US 314 and 27-P-63 as hybrid checks). Details of 48 genotypes shown in table 1 and 2.

All the forty-eight genotypes were sown in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications at Rice Research Centre, Agricultural Research Institute, PJTSAU, Hyderabad during Kharif, 2017. A standard spacing of 30 cm x 15 cm was adopted for planting with a plot size of 1.2 m^2 each. Recommended packages of practices were followed during the crop growth period. Observations were recorded for fourteen characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm). number of productive tillers per plant, no. of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility %, 1000 grain weight (g), hulling per cent, milling per cent, head rice recovery (%), kernel length (mm), kernel breadth (mm), L/B ratio and grain yield per plant (g).

Data on days to 50% flowering recorded on plot basis at flowering stage. Five representative plants for each genotype were randomly selected to record observations on the quantitative characters under study. Data on plant height, panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant were recorded at physiological maturity and number of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, 100-grain weight, hulling per cent, milling per cent, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, L/B ratio and grain yield per plant were post-harvest estimates. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variance, heritability and genetic advance were estimated for all fourteen characters by following standard procedures.

The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were calculated according to the formula given by Falconer (1981).

Heritability in the narrow sense refers to the proportion of additive variance to the total observed variance in the total population. Heritability (h^2) in

the narrow sense was calculated according to the formula given by Lush (1945).

Genetic advance refers to the expected gain or improvement in the next generation by selecting superior individuals under certain amount of selection pressure. From the heritability estimates the genetic advance was estimated by the formula given by Burton (1952).

Results and Discussion

Variability in any crop is pre-requisite for selection of superior genotypes over the existing cultivars. analysis of variance for different characters is presented in (Table-3). The treatment *i.e* mean sum of squares of genotypes showed significant differences to all 14 quantitative characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant, no. of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, 1000 grain weight, hulling per cent, milling per cent, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, L/B ratio and grain yield per plant shown presence of sufficient genetic variability for these traits. This indicates that there is an ample scope of selection in the present gene pool for yield and its components. The presence of large amount of variability might be due to diverse source of materials taken as well as environmental influence affecting the phenotype.

The mean values of genotypes presented in Table 4. The study revealed that in case of, days to 50 percent flowering showed range of 74 to 109 with a huge difference of 35 days. Among the males range varied from 82 (JGL 20649) to 109 days (IET 19321), 79 (CMS 23B) to 96 days (JMS 13B) in females and 74 (CMS 23B \times JGL 20649) to 97 days (JMS13A \times IET 19321) in hybrids.

The plant height ranged from 89.25 cm -131.25 cm with variation of 42 cm. The plant height for males ranged from 91.5 (JGL 20649) to 117.0 cm (IET 19321), while in the females, the range was from 89.3 (CMS 23B) to 113.3 cm (CMS 59B) and 100 (CMS23A \times JGL 5614) to 131.3 cm (CMS 59A \times IET19321) in hybrids.

Panicle length had variation from 22.8 (IET 26227) to 29.0 cm (IET 19321) in males, 22.3 (CMS 23B) to 25.8 cm (CMS 59B) in females and 22.3 (CMS23A \times IET 26227) to 31.3 cm (CMS 59A \times JGL 20649) in hybrids.

number of productive tillers per plant ranged from 12 (CMS 64B) to 16 (JMS 13B) in females, 11 (WGL 823) to 20 (JGL 5614) in males and 10 (JMS13A \times JGL 20649) to 18 (CMS23A \times JGL 20649) in hybrids.



No. of grains per panicle showed enormous variation from 138 (CMS 23B) to 296 (JMS 13A) in females, 114 (TP 30433) to 254 (WGL 823) in males and 140 (CMS 23A \times IET 26106) to 323 (CMS 64A \times WGL 823) in hybrids.

Spikelet fertility % ranged from 61.56 to 93.59 with difference of 32.03 %, 78.9 (CMS 64B) to 90.2 % (JMS 13B) in females, 80.8 (JGL 20649) to 93.0 % (IET 26106) in males and 61.6 (JMS 13A \times IET 26106) to 93.6 % (JMS 13A \times WGL 823) in hybrids.

1000 grain weight ranged from 12.64 g to 26.59 g with variation of 13.91 g, 17.71 (JMS 13B) to 22.22 g (CMS 59B) in females, 13.14 (WGL 823) to 25.60 g (TP 30433) in males and 13.37 (JMS 13A \times WGL 823) to 26.59 g (CMS 23A \times TP 30433) in hybrids.

Hulling per cent ranged from 75.42 % to 82.5 % with variation of 7.08 %, 79.2 (CMS 64B) to 80.4 % (JMS 13B) in females, 75.4 (WGL 823) to 81.3 % (JGL 5614, JGL 21071 and JGL 5614) in males, 76.3 (JMS 13A \times IET 26106) to 82.5 % (JMS 13A \times JGL 20649) in hybrids.

Milling per cent ranged from 66.66 % to 75.41 % with variation of 8.75%, 66.7 (JMS 13B) to 75.4 % (CMS 23B) in females, 67.9 (WGL 823) to 72.5 % (IET 19321) in males and 67.1 ((CMS 64A \times TP 30433) to 75.4 % (CMS 59A \times IET 19321) in hybrids.

Head rice recovery (%) had values from 55 % to 70.42 % with variation of 15.42 %, 55.0 % (JGL 20649) to 67.5 % (JGL 5614) in males 58.8 (CMS 64B) to 64.5 % (CMS 23B) in females and 58.3 (CMS 23A × IET 26227) to 70.4 % (CMS 59 × TP 30433) in hybrids.

Genotypes exhibited variation of 2.67 mm with range of 5.43 to 8.10 for kernel length, 5.49 (JGL 5614) to 8.1 mm (JGL 20649) in males, 6.46 (CMS 23B) to 7.64 mm (CMS 64B) in females and 5.43 (JMS 13A \times JGL 20649) to 7.87 mm (CMS 59A \times IET 19321 and CMS 59A \times JGL 20649) in hybrids. Kernel breadth ranged from1.63 mm to 2.59 mm with difference 0.96 mm, 1.76 (JGL 20649) to 2.58 mm (JGL 5614) in males, 2.03 (CMS 64B) to 2.47 mm (CMS 23B) in females and 1.78 (CMS 64A \times JGL 20649) to 2.51 mm (CMS 23A \times JGL 5614) in hybrids.

L/B ratio displayed from range of 2.13 to 4.62 with variation of 2.49, 2.13 (JGL 5614) to 4.61 (JGL 20649) in males, 2.62 (CMS 23B) to 3.77 (CMS 64B) in females and 2.24 (CMS 23A \times JGL 5614) to 3.93 (CMS 64A \times JGL 20649) in hybrids.

Grain yield per plant recorded 38.6 g variation with range 18.5 to 57.1 g, 27.35 (CMS 64B) to 31.45 g (JMS 13A) in females, 23.00 (JGL 20649) to 35.20 g (IET 26227) in males and 18.50 (JMS 13A \times IET 26106) to 57.10 g (CMS 64A \times IET 19321) in

hybrids. The study revealed that, the presence of monstrous variability in experimental material for all the traits, therefore simple selection was effective for these characters.

The extent of variability present in the genotypes was measured in terms of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), it is shown in Table 5.

The genotypes were highly variable for number of grains per panicle and grain yield per plant as indicated by the estimates of PCV & GCV (> 20 %) and revealed the existence of wide range of genetic variability in the genotypes for these traits. This also indicated broad genetic base and less environmental influence. High GCV and PCV for number of grains per panicle was in accordance with Shekawat *et al.* (2015) and Devi *et al.* (2016), high GCV and PCV for grain yield per plant was in accordance with Thippeswamy *et al.* (2016) and Lakshmi *et al.* (2017).

The genotypes were moderately variable for number of productive tillers, 1000 grain weight, kernel length and length/breadth ratio for GCV and PCV values (10.1-20 per cent). The similar results of moderate variability for number of productive tillers were reported by Dhurai et al. (2014), Shekawat et al. (2015) and Devi et al. (2016), 1000 grain weight by Devi et al. (2016), kernel length by Shekawat et al. (2015) and Devi et al. (2016) and for length/breadth ratio with moderate GCV and PCV values reported by Dhurai et al. (2014) and Devi et al. (2016), While, the genotypes were less variable for days to fifty percent flowering, plant height, panicle length, spikelet fertility, milling per cent, hulling per cent, head rice recovery and kernel breadth with low GCV & PCV values (<10). Low variability for fifty percent flowering, plant height head, rice recovery and kernel breadth observed by Rahman et al. (2014), Suresh et al. (2014) and Mohan et al. (2016), spikelet fertility by Shekawat et al. (2015), panicle length, milling per cent by Rahman et al. (2014), Devi et al. (2016) and Mohan et al. (2016), and low variability for hulling per cent reported by Shekawat et al. (2015) and Suresh et al. (2014).

Heritability and genetic advance are important parameters that directly affect the response to selection. Heritability is a measure of genetic relationship between parent and progeny has been widely using to assess the degree to which a character may be transmitted from parent to offspring. It also indicated that relative importance of heritability and environment in the expression of characters. The heritability is a combination with intensity of selection variability present *i.e.* the



population influences the grain to be obtained from selection. If heritability of a character is very high selection would be fairly easy and effective because characters having high heritability values could be improved directly through selection as they less affected by environment (Thippeswamy *et al.*, 2016).

Heritability is measure of extent of phenotypic variance caused by the actions of genes. The magnitude of heritability ranged from 12.42 % to 78.46 %. Heritability estimates were high (>60%) for days to fifty percent flowering, plant height, panicle length, number of grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight, which showed, these traits are under the control of additive genes and hence there is a good scope for the further improvement of these characters through selection. High heritability for days to fifty percent flowering and 1000 grain weight were reported by Rahman et al. (2014), Hasan et al. (2015) and Thippeswamy et al. (2016), plant height by Pandey et al. (2012) and Hasan et al. (2015), number of grains per panicle by Thippeswamy et al. (2016) and high heritability for panicle length reported by Pandey et al. (2012).

Moderate heritability (30-60%) was observed for kernel length, length/breadth ratio, head rice recovery and kernel breadth. Rahman et al. (2014) and Hasan et al. (2015) were also reported moderate heritability for length/breadth ratio and kernel breadth. Moderate heritability for kernel length by Pandey et al. (2012) and moderate heritability for head rice recovery by Hasan et al. (2015) and Thippeswamy et al. (2016). Low heritability (<30%) observed for number of productive tillers, spikelet fertility, milling percent, hulling percent and grain yield per plant. Low heritability for number of productive tillers, hulling per cent and grain yield per plant were reported by Sharma and Sharma (2007), milling per cent and grain yield per plant by Pandey et al. (2012) and Hasan et al. (2015) and spikelet fertility by Thippeswamy et al. (2016) and Rahman et al. (2014). Which implied that the environmental effects constitute a major portion of the total phenotypic variation and hence, direct selection for these traits will be less effective.

Crop improvement depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the desirable characters are heritable. High heritability is not enough to make efficient selection in segregating generation unless the information is accompanied with substantial amount of genetic advance. Heritability and genetic advance, when calculated together, would prove more useful in predicting the resultant effect of selection on phenotypic expression, without genetic advance the estimation of heritability will not be of practical value and emphasized the concurrent use of genetic advance along with heritability.

In the present study, the value of genetic advance as percentage of mean ranged from 2.03 to 42.96%. High heritability coupled with high genetic gain (>20%) as percentage of mean was observed for number of grains per panicle (41.61%) and 1000 grain weight (29.52%).

High heritability and high genetic advance for 1000 grain weight reported by Thippeswamy *et al.* (2016) and Mohan *et al.* (2016) and for number of grains per panicle by Babu *et al.* (2012), Hasan *et al.* (2015). The knowledge of heritability along with genetic advance estimates provide a better picture of genetic improvement through selection. Heritability is due to additive gene effects and thus the chances of fixing by selection will be more to improve such traits through pure line selection in the evaluated genotypes.

However, few characters like kernel length (20.95%), length/breadth ratio (29.83%) and grain yield per plant (42.96%) had moderate heritability with high genetic advance (>20%). Rahman et al. (2014) and Hasan et al. (2015) reported moderate heritability and high genetic advance for kernel length and grain yield per plant, which attributes that genetic advance is due to additive gene action and heritability was influenced by environmental factors thus the selection may be effective. These results are in accordance with Hulling percent and milling percent had low heritability and low genetic advance (<10%). Shekawat et al. (2015) and Mohan et al. (2016) reported similar results for low heritability coupled with low genetic advance as percent of mean for hulling percent and milling percent. Selection would be ineffective due to low expected gain or improvement in the next generation by these traits. Therefore, priority should be given to those traits which showed higher estimates of genetic advance as percent mean while deciding selection strategies and selection based on these characters may be useful in realizing better gain by selection.

Based on the present results it may be concluded that high heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for number of grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight indicating the major role of additive gene action in the inheritance of these characters. Thus, these characters could be improved through simple selection methods. The characters showing high heritability with low genetic advance indicated the presence of nonadditive gene action. Hence selection could be postponed for these characters or these characters



could be improved by intermating of superior genotypes of segregation population from recombination breeding.

References

- Babu, V.R. Shreya, K. Dangi, K.S. Usharani, G. and Nagesh, P. 2012. Genetic variability studies for qualitative and quantitative traits in popular rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids of India. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 2(6): 1-5.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceeding on 6th International Grassland Congress Journal.* 1: 277-283.
- Devi, R.K. Parimala, K. Venkanna, V. Lingaiah, N. Hari, Y. and Chandra, S.B. 2016. Estimation of variability for grain yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*. 4 (2): 250-255.
- Dhurai, S.Y. Bhati, P.K. and Saroj, S.K. 2014. Studies on genetic variability for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under integrated fertilizer management. *The Bioscan*. 9(2): 845 – 848.
- Falconer, D.S. 1981. Introduction to quantitative genetics. *Longmann*. 294-300.
- Hasan, M.J. Kulsum, M.U. Emran, H. Manzur, H.M. Mustafizur, R.M. and Niaz, M. 2015. Combining ability analysis for identifying elite parents for heterotic rice hybrids. *Academia Journal of Agricultural Research.* 3(5): 070-075.
- Lakshmi, L. Rao, B.M.N. Raju, S. Ch. and S. Reddy, N.S. 2017. Variability, correlation and path analysis in advanced generation of aromatic rice. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 6(7): 1798-1806.
- Lush, J.L. 1945. Intra-sire correlation on regression of off-spring on dams as a method of estimating heritability of characters. *American Society of Animal Production*. 33: 292-301.
- Mohan, C.Y. Srinivas, B. Thippeswamy, S. and Padmaja, D. 2016. Diversity and variability analysis for yield parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. **50** (6): 609-613.

- Pandey, V.R. Singh, P.K. Verma, O.P. and Pandey, P. 2012. Inter-relationship and path coefficient estimation in rice under salt stress environment. *International Journal of Agricultural Research.* **7**: 169-184.
- Rahman, M.A. Hossain, M.S. Chowdary, I.F. Matin, M.A. and Mehraj, H. 2014. Variability study of advanced fine rice with correlation, path coefficient analysis of yield contributing characters. *International Journal of Applied Science and Biotechnology.* 2(3): 364-370.
- Sharma, A.K. and Sharma, R.N. 2007. Genetic variability and character association in early maturing rice. *Oryza*. 44(4): 300-303.
- Shekhawat, R. Harsh, V.S. Singh, R.P. and Yashpal. 2015. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm lines. *Annals of Agri-Bio Research*. 20 (1): 22-25.
- Shinde, S.R., Kumar, Kamlesh and Pawar, R.M. 2015. Genetic studies in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*. 10: 33-37.
- Suresh, K. Shivakumar, N. Kiran, K.K. Krishnappa, M. and Somu, G. 2014. Genetic variability and heritability studies for grain yield and yield attributes in diverse rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Inbred lines. *Trends in Biosciences*. 7(10): 958-960.
- Thippeswamy, S. Mohan, C.Y. Srinivas, B. and Padmaja, D. 2016. Selection of diverse parental lines for heterotic hybrid development in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics. 48 (3): 285-294.
- Tiwari, D.K., Pandey, P., Tripathi, S., Giri, S.P sand Dwivedi J.L. 2011. Studies on genetic variability for yield components in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). AAB Bioflux. 3(1): 76-81.
- Tomar, S.S. Suresh, B.G. Rout, S. and Patra, S.S. 2016. Evaluation of medium early maturing rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids for grain yield and quality traits. *Research in Environment and Life Sciences.* 9(7): 834-840.



Genotypes	Source	Features
CMS 23B	IRRI, Philippines	Maintainer line
CMS 59B	IRRI, Philippines	Maintainer line
CMS 64B	IRRI, Philippines	Maintainer line
JMS 13B	RARS, Jagital	Maintainer line
JGL 20649	RARS, Jagital	Short duration, long slender grain
JGL 21071	RARS, Jagital	Short duration, long slender grain
JGL 5614	RARS, Jagital	Medium duration, medium bold grain
IET 19321	IIRR, Hyderabad	Medium duration, medium bold grain, high yield
IET 26227	IIRR, Hyderabad	Medium duration, long bold grain
WGL 823	RARS, Warangal	Medium duration
IET 26106	IIRR, Hyderabad	Mid early duration, dwarf, medium slender grain
TP 30433 RNR-15048	IRRI, Philippines RRC, R'Nagar	Short duration, long bold grain Short slender grain, short duration
MTU-1010	RARS, Maruteru	Long slender grain, short duration
US-314	Private company (Seed works)	Medium slender, Short duration
27-P-63	Private company (Pioneer)	Medium slender, Medium duration

Table 1. List of genotypes used for evaluation

Table 2.List of experimental hybrids evaluated during Kharif, 2017.

Sl. No.	Crosses	Sl. No.	Crosses
1	CMS 23A × IET 19321	17	CMS 64A × IET 19321
2	CMS 23A \times IET 26227	18	CMS 64A × IET 26227
3	CMS 23A \times WGL 863	19	CMS $64A \times WGL 863$
4	CMS $23A \times IET 26106$	20	CMS 64A × IET 26106
5	CMS 23A × TP 30433	21	CMS $64A \times TP 30433$
6	CMS $23A \times JGL 20649$	22	CMS 64A × JGL 20649
7	CMS $23A \times JGL 5614$	23	CMS $64A \times JGL 5614$
8	CMS 23A × JGL 21071	24	CMS 64A × JGL 21071
9	CMS 59A × IET 19321	25	JMS 13A × IET 19321
10	CMS 59A \times IET 26227	26	JMS 13A × IET 26227
11	CMS 59A \times WGL 863	27	JMS $13A \times WGL 863$
12	CMS 59A × IET 26106	28	JMS 13A × IET 26106
13	CMS 59A × TP 30433	29	JMS $13A \times TP 30433$
14	CMS 59A × JGL 20649	30	JMS 13A × JGL 20649
15	CMS 59A \times JGL 5614	31	JMS $13A \times JGL 5614$
16	CMS 59A × JGL 21071	32	JMS 13A × JGL 21071



Table 3. Analysis of variance for 14 different characters in rice

Source of Variation	DF	DFF	РН	PL	РТ	GP	SF	GW	Н	М	HRR	KL	KB	LBR	GYP
Replications	1	4.59	32.90	1.30	1.63	1502.29	0.023	0.04	0.02	0.35	0.33	0.00001	0.000001	0.000009	5.75
Treatments	47	122.97**	184.72**	11.77**	6.95**	6165.94**	82.86**	20.74**	3.96**	7.35**	22.39**	0.98**	0.072**	0.42**	102.83**
Error	47	1.84	16.74	0.42	2.59	834.44	11.31	1.26	1.38	1.84	4.81	0.03	0.0007	0.01	1.93

*Significant at P=0.05 level

**Significant at P=0.01 level

DFF= Days to fifty percent flowering **PH** = Plant height $\mathbf{GP} = \mathbf{No.}$ of grains per panicle **M** = Milling **LBR** = Length/breadth ratio

PL= Panicle length **SF** = Spikelet fertility **HRR** =Head rice recovery

 $\mathbf{GW} = 1000 \text{ grain weight}$ KL =Kernel length GYP = grain yield per plant **DF** = degrees of freedom

PT =No of productive tillers H =Hulling **KB** = Kernel breadth



Parents	DFF	PH	PL	РТ	GP	SF	TW	HP	MP	HRR	KL	KB	LBR	GYF
						F	EMALES							
CMS 23 B	79	89.3	22.3	13	138	79.7	21.45	80.0	75.4	64.5	6.46	2.47	2.62	31.1
CMS 59 B	94	113.3	25.8	15	176	80.8	22.22	79.6	69.2	63.3	7.52	2.20	3.42	30.20
CMS 64 B	94	93.3	24.5	12	167	78.9	20.22	79.2	69.2	58.8	7.64	2.03	3.77	27.35
JMS 13 B	96	109.0	24.0	16	296	90.2	17.71	80.4	66.7	60.8	6.86	2.08	3.30	31.45
	100		•••		101		MALES							
IET 19321	109	117.0	29.0	14	196	91.3	22.12	78.8	72.5	66.3	6.00	2.37	2.53	34.40
IET 26227	99	111.5	22.8	16	184	92.3	21.62	80.0	71.3	65.4	6.87	2.29	3.00	35.20
WGL 823	94	100.0	24.8	11	251	85.3	13.14	75.4	67.9	60.4	5.56	1.90	2.93	25.40
IET 26106	90	101.3	24.5	14	191	93.0	23.49	79.2	69.2	60.0	6.12	2.39	2.56	31.30
TP 30433	87	107.5	25.5	14	114	82.3	25.60	78.8	69.6	55.4	6.58	2.27	2.90	28.90
JGL 20649	82	91.5	24.8	14	183	80.8	17.59	81.3	70.0	55.0	8.10	1.76	4.61	23.00
JGL 5614	105	100.5	23.8	20	188	82.0	21.05	81.3	71.7	67.5	5.49	2.58	2.13	31.00
JGL 21071	92	108.3	26.5	14	211	92.2	18.19	81.3	70.8	62.5	6.79	1.98	3.42	31.90
						Hy	brids							
MS 23A × IET 19321	86	112.5	24.0	14	202	91.0	21.54	80.4	74.6	67.1	5.75	2.33	2.46	40.40
MS 59A × IET 19321	96	131.3	29.8	16	263	86.4	24.82	80.0	75.4	69.6	7.87	2.10	3.76	36.25
MS 64A × IET 19321	96	113.3	24.3	13	231	84.5	22.49	80.4	70.8	67.1	7.20	2.13	3.38	57.10
AS 13A × IET 19321	97	121.0	26.8	17	233	85.4	20.82	79.6	71.3	65.3	6.21	1.99	3.12	36.40
MS 23A × IET 26227	77	102.8	22.3	13	193	80.6	21.26	81.0	70.8	58.3	6.97	2.12	3.29	25.50
MS 59A × IET 26227	82	118.5	24.5	14	215	81.7	22.67	80.8	70.8	61.3	6.90	2.17	3.18	28.60
MS 64A × IET 26227 MS 13A × IET 26227	96 97	105.0 109.8	23.8 23.8	13 12	238 279	78.0 82.4	20.93 20.11	81.3 81.7	70.4 70.4	58.8 60.8	7.23 6.91	2.07 2.17	3.50 3.19	35.00 38.50
$MS 13A \times HE1 20227$ $MS 23A \times WGL 823$	97 77	109.8	23.8 24.0	12	160	82.4 77.0	20.11	78.8	69.2	62.9	6.91 6.92	2.17	3.19	27.20
MS 59A \times WGL 823	81	107.5	23.0	13	292	90.4	18.57	79.6	70.0	61.7	6.31	2.13	2.91	35.90
MS $64A \times WGL 823$	85	107.5	24.8	14	323	92.9	17.32	80.4	69.6	63.3	6.24	2.02	3.09	42.90
MS 04A × WGL 823 MS 13A × WGL 823	83 97	107.3	24.8 22.5	14 17	525 281	92.9 93.6	17.32	80.4 81.8	73.0	66.4	6.24 5.89	2.02 1.97	3.09	42.90
MS 23A × IET 26106	84	100.8	22.9	13	140	84.5	21.07	81.0	68.5	58.8	6.44	2.20	2.93	27.00
MS 59A × IET 26106	94	106.3	26.8	13	148	88.3	24.32	80.0	70.8	68.8	7.41	2.22	3.33	30.90
MS 64A × IET 26106	95	104.5	24.0	13	205	85.1	22.84	80.8	70.0	64.6	7.12	2.13	3.35	33.10

923



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 9 (3): 916 - 925 (Sep 2018) ISSN 0975-928X

JMS $13A \times IET 26106$	93	110.5	23.8	16	194	61.6	17.70	76.3	67.9	62.5	6.68	2.32	2.88	18.50	
CMS 23A × TP 30433	82	102.5	25.5	16	161	93.4	26.59	81.3	70.8	62.5	7.18	2.19	3.28	36.50	
CMS 59A × TP 30433	89	114.3	24.8	15	163	91.2	26.42	81.3	73.3	70.4	6.89	2.33	2.96	37.00	
CMS 64A × TP 30433	96	113.5	27.5	12	200	77.4	20.98	79.6	70.8	62.5	7.20	2.27	3.18	24.95	
JMS 13A × TP 30433	90	109.0	27.0	13	206	72.1	19.21	78.8	67.1	60.4	5.50	2.26	2.43	27.85	
CMS $23A \times JGL 20649$	86	112.5	24.0	14	202	91.0	21.54	80.4	74.6	67.1	5.75	2.33	2.46	40.40	
CMS 59A \times JGL 20649	96	131.3	29.8	16	263	86.4	24.82	80.0	75.4	69.6	7.87	2.10	3.76	36.25	
CMS 64A \times JGL 20649	96	113.3	24.3	13	231	84.5	22.49	80.4	70.8	67.1	7.20	2.13	3.38	57.10	
						н	ybrids								
JMS 13A × JGL 20649	80	105.0	28.5	10	286	87.5	19.85	82.5	70.8	62.5	5.43	1.96	2.77	29.50	
CMS 23A \times JGL 5614	83	100.0	26.5	18	171	76.6	23.30	82.1	70.4	66.3	5.62	2.51	2.24	39.60	
CMS 59A × JGL 5614	91	107.8	24.0	13	201	84.1	23.33	77.5	69.2	63.8	7.24	2.17	3.34	25.60	
CMS $64A \times JGL 5614$	89	105.8	22.8	13	195	83.2	26.34	79.8	71.0	64.2	7.15	2.10	3.40	28.45	
JMS $13A \times JGL 5614$	90	103.5	22.5	15	320	82.0	18.99	82.1	72.9	65.8	5.59	2.38	2.35	46.90	
CMS $23A \times JGL 21071$	80	120.3	29.5	13	229	79.9	19.33	80.0	69.6	60.4	6.21	2.28	2.72	33.80	
CMS 59A × JGL 21071	85	127.5	29.0	16	254	83.2	20.06	80.4	70.0	61.3	6.66	2.16	3.08	37.55	
CMS 64A × JGL 21071	89	126.8	28.8	13	265	87.3	20.20	80.4	71.3	63.8	5.79	2.20	2.63	40.15	
JMS $13A \times JGL 21071$	91	130.0	27.0	14	290	88.9	17.90	82.3	73.3	65.1	5.58	1.94	2.88	40.50	
Range Lowest	74	89.3	21.5	10	114	61.6	12.68	75.4	66.7	55.0	5.43	1.63	2.13	18.50	
Range Highest	109	131.3	31.3	20	323	93.6	26.60	82.5	75.4	70.4	8.10	2.59	4.62	57.10	
$\mathbf{DFF} = \mathbf{Days} \text{ to } 50\% \text{ fl}$	0	_	PH		height (cm)			= Panicle leng				•	tillers per pla	ınt	
GP = No. of grains per panicle			SF	= Spikelet fertility (%)			$\mathbf{TW} = 1000$ grain weight (g)				$\mathbf{HP} = \mathbf{Hulling percent}$				
MP = Milling percent			HRR		rice recovery		$\mathbf{KL} = \text{Kernel length (mm)}$				$\mathbf{KB} = \mathbf{Kernel \ breadth} \ (\mathbf{mm})$				
LBR = Kernel length	breadth ra	10	GYP	= grain	yield per pla	int (g)									

DOI: 10.5958/0975-928X.2018.00114.X



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 9 (3): 916 - 925 (Sep 2018) ISSN 0975-928X

Table 5. Variability, heritability and genetic advance as percent mean.

Character	Range lowest	Range highest	Range variation	Coefficient of v	ariation	Heritability (%)	Genetic advance as percent mean at 5%	
Character	Kange lowest	Kange ingnest	Kange variation _	Genotypic	Phenotypic	(Narrow sense)		
Days to 50% flowering	74	109	35	8.71	8.84	78.46	17.67	
Plant height (cm)	89.25	131.25	42	8.32	9.11	74.79	15.65	
Panicle length (cm)	21.5	31.25	9.75	9.36	9.7	61.30	18.61	
Number of productive tillers	10	19	9	10.53	15.57	12.52	14.67	
Number of grains per panicle	114	322	208	23.16	26.55	70.26	41.61	
Spikelet fertility (%)	61.56	93.59	32.03	7.03	8.07	20.45	12.63	
1000 grain weight (gm)	12.68	26.59	13.91	15.23	16.18	61.90	29.52	
Hulling (%)	75.42	82.50	7.08	1.42	2.04	3.71	2.03	
Milling (%)	66.66	75.41	8.75	2.34	3.02	12.65	3.74	
Head rice recovery (%)	55.00	70.42	15.42	4.73	5.85	34.84	7.87	
Kernel length (mm)	5.43	8.10	2.67	10.50	10.83	57.25	20.95	
Kernel breadth (mm)	1.63	2.59	0.96	8.83	8.89	51.53	17.99	
Length/Breadth ratio	2.13	4.62	2.49	14.82	15.17	48.66	29.83	
Grain yield per plant (g)	18.5	57.1	38.6	21.24	21.67	20.95	42.96	