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# Studies on hybrid sterility in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under temperate conditions of Kashmir 
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#### Abstract

: The inter sub- specific variability generated has been advanced at two experimental farms constituting two locations in order to study for more promising although less frequent segregants and New Plant Types for two agro ecologies of Kashmir. Besides intervarietal indica and intervarietal japonica variability has been evaluated at 4500 and 7500 feet MSL respectively based on their different degree of tolerance to cold, biotic and abiotic stresses viz-a-viz their growth duration in terms of earliness to flowering and maturity, the most important criteria for selecting a genotype for agro ecology like Kashmir.
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Number of varieties have been developed in rice throughout the world by utilizing intervarietal variability generated through indica x indica and japonica x japonica crosses followed by handling the material through conventional methods of breeding ( Pedigree/bulk method). In the past two decades a stronger heterosis of inter- subspecific hybrids between indica and japonica varieties highlighted the importance for super rice/ super hybrid rice breeding. In addition to this development of new plant types (NPTs) or ideal genotypes specific for particular agro ecology have been initiated by optimization of source and maximization of sink so as to harness more benefits per unit area. The novel attempt in this direction under temperate Kashmir conditions were made by affecting hybridization program between indical indica, japonical japonica and indical japonica genotypes. To combine the traits or to broaden the variability across the sub-species of rice the hybrid sterility is the popularly studied genetic impediment (Ikehashi, 1982). Hence the objective behind the study was to observe the nature and magnitude of hybrid sterility (in terms of pollen and spikelet sterilities)among various crossing blocks generated through two half diallel and one line x tester mating design in Kharif 2008 and evaluated under two agro- ecologies of Kashmir ( 4500 and 7500 feet amsl ) during Kharif 2009.

It was observed that estimates of pollen and spikelet sterilities were high in inter-sub specific crosses ( $i \mathrm{x}$ $j$ ) with values of 58.26 and 76.7 percent respectively followed by intervarietal indica ( $i \times i$ ) crosses ( 30.25 and $27.31 \%$ ) and intervarietal japonica crosses (10.97 and $16.78 \%$ )(Table-1). The distribution range was again wider for inter-subspecific crosses for both types of sterilities. It ranged between 8. 3-96.3 per cent and 31.80-96.6 per cent for pollen and spikelet sterility respectively followed by relatively shorter range for intervarietal japonica crosses i. e, 6.9919.60 and 6.50- 32.07 per cent. The distribution range for both types of sterilities were unexpectedly wider for intervarietal indica crosses i. e.,9.27-85.4 per cent and 13.11-74.00 per cent. The non uniform trend for pollen and spikelet sterility among and within the crosses for different crossing blocks attribute the phenomenon to manifold reasons. The possible reasons might be differential degrees of pollen and female gamete abortions, role of environment and effect of different genetic backgrounds. In some crosses, the male and female gamete abortion was positively and highly correlated. The magnitude of pollen and spikelet sterilities were registered high for some inter-subspecific cross combinations namely L1 x T1, L4 x T3, L1 x T3, L5 x T2 and L3 x T1. The only one inter-varietal indica cross i. e., P2 x P6 recorded higher estimates for both types of sterilities. Barring some contradictory
reports, the results obtained in the present study were similar to the results of Oka (1988), Liu et. al. (1996) and Zhang et. al. (1997).

The inter sub- specific variability generated has been advanced at two experimental farms constituting two locations in order to look for more promising although less frequent segregants and NPTs for two agro ecologies of Kashmir. Besides intervarietal indica and intervarietal japonica variability has been evaluated at 4500 and 7500 feet amsl respectively based on their different degree of tolerance to cold, biotic and abiotic stresses viz-a-viz their growth duration in terms of earliness to flowering and maturity, the most important criteria for selecting a genotype for agro ecology like Kashmir. The results of wide compatibility variety (WCV) used and to overcome hybrid sterility through three- way crosses and development of broad spectrum indica compatible japonica and vice- versa has been discussed in a separate note.
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| Indica $x$ Indica | Pollen sterility (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spikelet } \\ \text { sterility (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Japonica $x$ <br> Japonica | Pollen sterility (\%) | Spikelet sterility (\%) | Indica $x$ Japonica | Pollen sterility (\%) | Spikelet sterility (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jhelum x SK-382 | 25.12 | 14.35 (22.26) | Koshihikari x K-332 | 15.21 | 32.07 | Jhelum x Koshihikari | 95.85 | 75.81 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | (30.07) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{7} \times \mathrm{P}_{8}$ | (22.95) | (34.49) | $\mathrm{L}_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{1}$ | (78.23) | (60.54) |
| Jhelum x SR-1 | 30.49 | 13.11 (21 22) | Koshihikari x GS- | 8.19 (16.62) | 11.91 | Jhelum x K-332 | 47.05 | 31.80 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{3}$ | (33.51) | 13.11 (21.22) | $503 \mathrm{P}_{7} \times \mathrm{P}_{9}$ |  | (20.17) | $\mathrm{L}_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}$ | (43.32) | (34.32) |
| Jhelum x Ch-1039 | 14.36 | 24.11 (29.31) | Koshihikari x GS- | $\begin{gathered} 11.25 \\ (19.59) \end{gathered}$ | 9.79 (18.22) | Jhelum x Kohsar $\mathrm{L}_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $92.03$ | $86.08$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | (22.25) |  | $504 \quad \mathrm{P}_{7} \times \mathrm{P}_{10}$ |  |  |  | (73.00) | (68.10) |
| Jhelum $x$ Chenab | $37.13$ | 17.5 (24.78) | Koshihikari x | $\begin{gathered} 14.09 \\ (22.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.05 \\ (30.03) \end{gathered}$ | SK-382 x <br> Koshihikari $\mathrm{L}_{2} \times \mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 62.06 | 60.58 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $(37.54)$ |  | Kohsar $\mathrm{P}_{7} \times \mathrm{P}_{11}$ |  |  |  | $(52.34)$ | (51.11) |
| Jhelum $x$ Dular | $24.27$ | 15.85 (23.45) | Koshihikari x K-508 | 6.99 (15.32) | $\begin{gathered} 31.74 \\ (34.28) \end{gathered}$ | SK-382 x K-332 | $17.25$ | $81.09$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{6}$ | (29.51) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{7} \times \mathrm{P}_{12}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}$ | (24.56) | (64.22) |
| SK-382 x SR-1 | 16.9 | 19.82 (26.43) | K-332 x GS-503 | $11.83$ | 9.79 (18.23) | SK-382 x Kohsar | 37.21 | 82.56 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{xP}_{3}$ | (24.27) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ x $^{\text {P }}$ | (20.12) |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{3}$ | (37.58) | (65.32) |
| SK-382 x Ch-1039 | 16.9 | 27.16 (31.41) | K-332 x GS-504 | 10.77 | 10.80 | SR-1 x Koshihikari | 91.66 | 84.20 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{x}^{\text {P }} 4$ | (37.41) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{8} \times \mathrm{P}_{10}$ | (19.16) | (19.90) | $\mathrm{L}_{3} \times \mathrm{T}_{1}$ | (73.23) | (66.58) |
| SK-382 x Chenab | 28.15 | 32.33 (34.65) | K-332 x Kohsar | 19.6 (26.28) | 10.84 | SR-1 x K-332 | 20.79 | 85.64 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | (32.04) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{8} \times \mathrm{P}_{11}$ |  | (19.21) | $\mathrm{L}_{3} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}$ | (27.12) | (67.73) |
| SK-382 x Dular | 85.4 (67.53) | 74.00 (59.35) | K-332 x K-508 | $\begin{gathered} 11.98 \\ (20.24) \end{gathered}$ | 10.75 | SR-1 x Kohsar | 44.65 | 69.52 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{6}$ | 85.4 (67.53) |  | $\mathrm{P}_{8} \times \mathrm{P}_{12}$ |  | (19.13) | $\mathrm{L}_{3} \times \mathrm{T}_{3}$ | (41.93) | (56.49) |
| SR-1 x Ch-1039 |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.99 \\ (27.963) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GS-503 x GS-504 } \\ & \mathrm{P}_{9} \times \mathrm{P}_{10} \end{aligned}$ | 7.33 (15.70) | $\begin{gathered} 25.29 \\ (30.19) \end{gathered}$ | Ch-1039 x <br> Koshihikari <br> $\mathrm{L}_{4} \times \mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3} \times \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | (31.13) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JJ.43 } \\ (48.12) \end{gathered}$ | (56.49) |
| SR-1 x Chenab | 9.27 (17.72) | 19.29 (26.05) | GS-503 x Kohsar | 7.72 (16.66) | $\begin{gathered} 26.55 \\ (31.01) \end{gathered}$ | Ch-1039 x K-332 | $61.67$ | $96.60$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3} \times \mathrm{P}_{5}$ SR-1 | 28.00 |  | $\mathrm{P}_{9} \times \mathrm{P}_{11}$ $\mathrm{GS}-503 \times \mathrm{K}-508$ |  | (31.01) | $\mathrm{L}_{4} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{Ch}-1039 \times$ Kohsar | (51.75) | (79.30) |
| $P_{3} \times P_{6}$ | (31.94) | 59.87 (50.69) | $\mathrm{P}_{9} \times \mathrm{P}_{12}$ | 9.37 (17.82) | 9.35 (17.82) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ch-1039 x Kohsar } \\ & \mathrm{L}_{4} \times \mathrm{T}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | 96.3 (78.91) | (74.74) |
| Ch-1039 x Chenab |  | 15.91 (23.50) | GS-504 x Kohsar $\mathrm{P}_{10} \times \mathrm{P}_{11}$ | 9.35 (17.80) | $\begin{gathered} 13.75 \\ (21.76) \end{gathered}$ | Chenab x <br> Koshihikari $\quad \mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{X}$ | 8.3 (16.73) | $\begin{gathered} 83.22 \\ (65.81) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4} \times \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.51 \\ (34.14) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ch-1039 x Dular | 26.87 | 15.17 (22.92) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GS-504 x K-508 } \\ & \mathrm{P}_{10} \times \mathrm{P}_{12} \end{aligned}$ | 7.08 (15.43) | $\begin{gathered} 17.63 \\ (24.82) \end{gathered}$ | Chenab x K-332 | 92.60 | 91.50 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4} \times \mathrm{P}_{6}$ | (31.22) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}$ | (74.21) | (73.06) |
| Chenab x Dular | 32.72 | 39.42 (38.89) | Kohsar x K-508$\mathrm{P}_{11} \times \mathrm{P}_{12}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.88 \\ (21.88) \end{gathered}$ | 6.50 (14.76) | Chenab x Kohsar$\mathrm{L}_{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 51.10 | 81.35 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5} \times \mathrm{P}_{6}$ | (34.89) |  |  |  |  |  | (49.08) | (64.42) |
| Mean | 30.25 | 27.32 |  | 10.97 | 16.78 |  | 58.26 | 76.51 |
| Range | 9.27-85.40 | 13.11-74.00 |  | 6.99-19.60 | 6.50-32.07 |  | 8.3-96.3 | 31.80-96.60 |
| CD at 5\% level | 4.30 | 1.216 |  | 4.044 | 8.632 |  | 7.97 | 7.22 |
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| Parents | Pollen sterility | Spikelets sterility | Parents | Pollen sterility | Spikelet sterility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (Jhelum) | 7.35 (15.76) | 6.59 (14.86) | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ (Koshihikari) | 6.80 (15.10) | $\begin{gathered} 51.40 \\ (45.80) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (SK-332) | 9.55 (18.00) | 7.26 (15.63) | $\mathrm{P}_{8}(\mathrm{~K}-332)$ | 4.88 (12.76) | $\begin{gathered} 11.88 \\ (20.14) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ (SR-1) | $\begin{gathered} 12.20 \\ (20.44) \end{gathered}$ | 10.12 (18.54) | $\mathrm{P}_{9}(\mathrm{GS}-503)$ | 7.49 (15.86) | $\begin{gathered} 55.29 \\ (48.03) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (China-1039) | 8.30 (16.73) | 6.91 (15.24) | $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ (GS-504) | 6.66 (14.95) | $\begin{gathered} 60.18 \\ (50.87) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ (Chenab) | $\begin{gathered} 12.65 \\ (20.40) \end{gathered}$ | 9.28 (17.73) | $\mathrm{P}_{11}$ (Kohsar) | 3.86 (11.53) | 8.62 (17.07) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ (Dular) | 6.29 (15.09) | 6.46 (14.72) | $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ (K-508) | 4.65 (12.43) | 9.57 (18.02) |
| Mean | 9.39 (17.74) | 7.77 (16.79) | Mean | 5.72 (13.77) | $\begin{gathered} 32.82 \\ (33.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

