

Research Article

Genetic analysis of seed yield and component characters over environments in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.)

A. R. Aher^{1*}, K. V. Patel², M. P. Patel² and J. A. Patel²

¹Cotton Improvement Project, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri 413 722

² Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand 388 110

*Email: araher76@gmail.com

(Received: 06 Nov 2014; Accepted:18 Feb 2015)

Abstract

Thirty six castor hybrids developed by half diallel mating design along with nine pistillate parents were studied for combining ability effects. The genotypes, parents and hybrids significantly differed among themselves for all the characters, parents and hybrids also differed among themselves for all the characters except shelling out turn. Significance of both σ^2 gca and σ^2 sca for most of the characters suggested importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variances for inheritance of traits. The estimates of potence ratio and predictability ratio revealed preponderance of additive genetic variance for number of nodes up to primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, total length of primary raceme, effective length of primary raceme, number of secondary spikes per plant, 100 seed weight, volume weight and shelling out turn. The parents JP-65 and DPC-9 were good general combiners and SKP-84, ANDCP-06-07-1 and ANDCP-06-07 were average general combiners for seed yield and important yield contributing characters. Crosses SKP-84 x JP-65, ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65 and JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1 were good specific combiners for seed yield and good / average specific combiners for rest of the characters. The crosses which involved at least one good general combiner parent would produce transgressive segregants. However, for full exploitation of existing genetic variance in these crosses intermating of elite plants in the early segregating generations would be profitable to built up elite population for early and dwarf pistillate lines with high seed yield.

Key words:

Castor, diallel, combining ability, GCA, SCA, potence ratio, predictability ratio.

Introduction

The genus *Ricinus* is monotypic and *R. communis* is the only species with the most polymorphic forms known. Several of these forms were designated as species (R. communis, R. macrocarpus, R. microcarpus) (Weiss, 2000) but they are intercrossable and fertile and are not true species. All the varieties investigated cytologically are diploids and it is presumed to be a secondary-balanced polyploid with a basic number of x=5 (Singh, 1976). Sexually is considered as polymorphic species with different sex forms viz., monoecious, pistillate, hermaphrodite and pistillate with interspersed staminate flowers (ISF). A variant of pistillate form with male flowers interspersed throughout the female flowers on the spike is termed as ISF. Cross pollinating nature and availability of pistillate lines facilitated an exploitation of hybrid vigour in castor. Inspite of number of hybrids released, the crop productivity has stagnated and reached plateau. This might be because of lack of variability for pistillate lines and their sources. It is equally important to plan breeding work to improve pistillate lines through conversion work or population improvement programme. In general, the available information on gene effects governing inheritance of various quantitative characters including seed yield is for single environment. Gene

effects estimated for single environment are biased, as castor crop has wide sowing duration (Late *kharif* to *Rabi*) and largely influenced by environment. Therefore, under present investigation, nine pistillate lines having considerable variation for morphological and yield attributing characters were studied through half diallel analysis over three environments of a year at single location.

Material and methods

The experimental material consisted of nine genetically diverse pistillate lines viz. ANDCP-08-01, ANDCP-06-07, ACP-1-06-07, SKP-84, VP-1, DPC-9, JP-65, ANDCP-06-07-1 and ANDCP-06-07-2 were crossed in half diallel mating fashion. The resulting 46 genotypes (36 hybrids + 9 parents + GCH-7 as check) were grown in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. The investigation was carried out at Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during 2012-13. Each genotype was grown in a single row of 7.2 meter length with 0.90 x 0.60 m^2 spacing. The observations were recorded for sixteen yield and yield contributing characters (Table 1). Mean value of each experimental unit was subjected to analysis of variance given by Tai (1971) and pooled analysis of variance used for combining ability with



expectation of mean squares reviewed by Singh(1973).

Results and discussion

Pooled analysis of variance for experimental design (Table1) revealed that mean squares due to environments were significant for all the characters except volume weight which indicated that created environments differed from each other. Mean square values due to genotypes, parents and hybrids were significant for all the characters suggesting existence of sufficient amount of variability among material studied, parents and hybrids also differed among themselves for all the characters except shelling out turn. Significance of mean square due to genotype x environment interaction for all the characters except volume weight and shelling out turn suggested that genotypes behaved differently to array of environments.

Combining ability analysis (Table 2) indicated significance of mean squares due to both general and specific combining ability for all the characters; whereas, mean squares due to environments were significant for all the characters except volume weight, which also revealed existence of differences among parents, hybrids and environments. The interaction variances resulted from GCA x environments and SCA x environments were significant for all the characters except for volume weight, oil content and shelling out turn in SCA x environments, which revealed that both σ^2 gca and σ^2 sca were influenced by environments, while for these three characters additive genetic variance was variable across the environments. The results were in conformity with report of Solanki and Joshi (2000).

Significance of both σ^2 gca and σ^2 sca for most of the characters suggested importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variances for inheritance of traits. While, non-significance of σ^2 gca was observed for total number of capsules per plant and seed yield per plant which revealed importance of only non-additive genetic variance for these characters and the findings confirmed the reports of Manivel *et al.* (1998) and Tank *et al.* (2003).

The estimates of potence ratio (genetic variance >1) and predictability ratio (genetic variance >0.5) revealed preponderance of additive genetic variance for number of nodes up to primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, total length of primary raceme, effective length of primary raceme, number of secondary spikes per plant, 100 seed weight, volume weight and shelling out turn. The results were in accordance with the findings of Manivel *et* *al.*(1998), Solanki and Joshi (2000), Tank *et al.* (2003) and Patel *et al.* (2012). Preponderance of non-additive variance was realized for number of tertiary spikes per plant, total number of capsules per plant and seed yield per plant.

The characters days to 50 % maturity of primary raceme, number of effective branches per plant, number of tertiary spikes per plant, total number of capsules per plant, seed yield per plant and oil content had above one value of average degree of dominance, which revealed over dominance behaviour of interacting alleles. The complete dominance behaviour of interacting alleles was observed for the characters days to 50 % flowering of primary raceme (1.13), total length of primary raceme (0.90) and number of capsules per primary raceme (1.16) as the ratio of average degree of dominance was equal to one. The said value was less than one for rest of the characters revealing existence of partial dominance. Since over dominance gene action was involved for an inheritance of seed yield per plant, heterosis breeding would be most effective approach to improve the character. However, for development of superior genotypes with high seed yield, selection should be postponed to later generations, thereby dominance would be diluted (Narayanan and Gunasekaran, 2008).

General combining ability effect of parents and specific combining ability effect of crosses were calculated in case of significance of combining ability variances of respective source. The results pertaining to per se performance and gca effect of the parents for various characters are presented in Table 3. The parents JP-65 as well as DPC-9 were good general combiners and SKP-84, ANDCP-06-07-1 and ANDCP-06-07 were average general combiners for seed yield per plant across the environments; whereas, parent ANDCP-06-07-2 was poor general combiner for most of the characters across the environments. Most of the parents had relatively high degree of correspondence between per se performance and their gca effects for majority of the characters, which could be because of existence of genes showing additivity and *pseudo* additive gene effects. Therefore, in selection of parents for hybridization work, equal importance should be given to their per se performance along with gca effects.

The information pertaining to different aspects of sca effect is presented in Table 4. The magnitude of sca effect for different characters was in both the directions. In general, the crosses which showed high desirable sca effect also had a high *per se*



performance and involved at least one parent as good general combiner for all the characters. For seed yield per plant, crosses SKP-84 x JP-65, ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65 and JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1 had higher sca estimates, all these crosses were good / average combiners for rest of the characters. specific Therefore, these crosses may be given due weightage in crop improvement work. In most of the crosses, the involvement of either one or both the parents with significant gca effect, contributed to significant sca effect for the crosses, indicating the occurrence of additive gene action in such crosses. The crosses which involved at least one good general combiner parent would produce transgressive segregants. However, for full exploitation of existing genetic variance in these crosses, internating of elite plants in the early segregating generations would be profitable to built up elite population for early and dwarf pistillate lines with high seed yield.

References

- Manivel, P., Hussain, H. S. J. and Raveendran, T. S. 1998. Combining ability for earliness traits over environments in castor. *Madras Agric. J.*, 85 (3-4): 157-160.
- Narayanan, N. and Gunasekaran, M. 2008. Quantitative genetics and biometrical techniques in plant breeding. Kalyani Pub. New Delhi. pp. 120
- Patel, J. A.; Patel, K.V. and Patel, A. R. 2012. Genetic analysis of seed yield and component characters over environments in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 46 (2): 148-154.
- Singh, D. 1973. Diallel analysis for combining ability over several environments-II. *Indian J. of Genetics*, 33(3): 469-481.
- Singh, D. 1976. Castor *Ricinus communis* (Euphorbiaceae). In: Simmonds N. W., editor. Evolution of Crop Plants. London: Longman; p. 84-86.
- Solanki, S. S. and Joshi, P. 2000. Combining ability analysis over environments of diverse pistillate and male parents for seed yield and other traits in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*, 60(2): 201-212.
- Tai, G. C. 1971. Genotypic stability analysis and its applications to potato regional trials. *Crop Sci.*, 11: 184-190.
- Tank, C. J., Jaimini, S. N. and Ravindrababu, Y. 2003. Combining ability analysis over environments in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Crop Res. 26(1): 119-125.
- Weiss, E. A. 2000. Castor: Oilseed Crops. Oxford, U.K.; Blackwell Science; p. 13-52.



Table 1. Analysis of variance for experimental design pooled over the environments	Table 1. Ana	lysis of variance fo	r experimental design	n pooled over the environmen	its
--	--------------	----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-----

		Days to 5	60 %	No. of n	odes	Plant hei	ght	Days to 5	0%	Total leng	th of	Effective le	ength	Number	• of	Numb	er of
Source of	df	flowerin	g of	up to pri	mary	up to prin	nary	maturity	' of	primar	·у	of prima	ary	effectiv	/e	second	lary
variation	ui	prima	ry	racen	ne	raceme (cm)	primary ra	ceme	raceme (cm)		raceme (cm)	branches	per	spikes	per
		racem	ie											plant		plaı	
Environments	2	16845.9	**	336.52	**	1510.06	**	13583.00	**	981.50	**	741.62	**	1983.11	**	65.72	**
Genotypes	45	176.1	**	24.69	**	5895.71	**	401.89	**	687.33	**	681.08	**	33.21	**	6.59	**
Geno. x Envi.	90	10.90	**	1.69	**	53.58	**	20.00	**	53.96	**	52.24	**	3.36	**	0.74	**
Parents (P)	8	328.56	**	45.15	**	5535.89	**	760.34	**	1379.80	**	1433.35	**	12.11	**	4.46	**
Hybrids (H)	35	127.56	**	20.68	**	6014.85	**	284.12	**	429.65	**	460.46	**	27.02	**	5.49	**
P vs H	1	816.35	**	25.73	**	5326.12	**	1991.12	**	4852.65	**	2958.66	**	178.88	**	7.36	**
Check vs Hybrids	1	2.88	NS	0.81	NS	4404.69	**	25.05	NS	16.90	NS	40.07	NS	239.85	**	57.68	**
Error	90 (270)	13.93		1.63		36.68		19.68		47.70		47.51		1.28		0.66	
Table 1. Contd																	
Source of		Number of		Number of		Total number				Volume		Seed yield per		Oil content		Shelling out	
variation	df	tertiary sj	pikes	capsule	s per	capsule	s per j	plant wei	ght (g)	weig	ght	plant	(g)	(%)		turn (%	(0)
variation		per pla	nt	primary	raceme	:				(g/100)ml)						
Environments	2	165.48	**	2394.8	7 **	11329	56.00	** 52.	36 **	0.13	NS	659905.0)0 **	467.81	**	107.56	**
Genotypes	45	11.06	**	1502.30) **	626	608.53	** 51.	28 **	28.91	**	48962.4	4 **	23.66	**	72.23	**
		1.18	**	107.75	5 **	72	24.68	** 2.	54 **	2.08	NS	4846.6	58 **	0.75	**	3.58	NS
Parents (P)	8	9.50	**	1645.15	5 **	161	79.80	** 33.	39 **	44.55	**	8234.6	64 **	34.62	**	147.06	**
Hybrids (H)	35	7.89	**	1313.0	**	553	43.79	** 49.	27 **	23.78	**	41815.3	89 **	17.52	**	45.48	**
P vs H	1	45.60	**	7430.94	1 **	5934	70.89	** 291.	12 **	103.65	**	544881.9)9 **	165.14	**	13.07	NS
Check vs Hybrids	1	89.28	**	679.64	4 NS	1149	60.69	** 13.	93 NS	13.51	NS	92380.1	8 **	4.22	NS	454.61	**
Error	90 (270)	0.31		147.60	5	23	31.15		47	4.98		1371.4	5	1.31		11.42	

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively; figure in parenthesis is pooled error df

(270)



		Days to 50 flowering		No. of no up to		Plant hei up to prin	0	Days to 5 maturity		Total ler of prim	0	Effecti length		Number effectiv		Numbo second	
Source of variation	df	primar	-	prima		raceme (•	primar		raceme (cm)		prima		branches	spikes	•	
		racem	e	racem	ie			racem	e			raceme (cm)	plant	;	_ plar	_
Environments	2	5549.76	**	109.76	**	453.68	**	4425.37	**	322.18	**	239.50	**	640.53	**	21.33	**
GCA	8	169.60	**	33.71	**	9097.39	**	348.91	**	779.10	**	801.54	**	23.52	**	6.27	**
SCA	36	35.55	**	2.79	**	387.00	**	89.30	**	113.25	**	104.67	**	6.08	**	0.78	**
GCA x Environment	16	21.57	**	4.96	**	65.76	**	29.78	**	98.29	**	83.76	**	3.97	**	0.88	**
SCA x Environment	72	8.59	**	0.99	**	50.88	**	17.84	**	45.26	**	46.42	**	3.14	**	0.73	**
Pooled error	264	4.62		0.55		12.05		6.55		16.10		15.98		0.43		0.22	
Estimates of components of	genetic	variance an	d rela	ted param	eters												
$\Box^2 \text{GCA}(\Sigma gi^2)$		12.19	*	2.81	*	791.85	*	23.60	*	60.53	*	63.35	*	1.59	*	0.50	*
\Box^2 SCA ($\Sigma\Sigma$ sij ²)		30.93	*	2.24	*	374.95	*	82.75	*	97.14	*	88.69	*	5.66	*	0.56	*
Potence ratio																	
$\frac{\frac{1}{df}\sigma^2 gca}{\left \frac{1}{df}\sigma^2 sca\right }$		1.77		5.65		9.50		1.28		2.80		3.21		1.26		3.99	
Predictability ratio $2\sigma^2 gca / 2\sigma^2 gca + 2\sigma^2 sca$		0.44		0.72		0.81		0.36		0.55		0.59		0.36		0.64	
$\sigma^2 A$		24.37		5.62		1583.71		47.20		121.06		126.70		3.17		1.00	
$\sigma^2 D$		30.93		2.24		374.95		82.75		97.14		88.69		5.66		0.56	
$(\Box^2 D / \Box^2 A)^{0.5}$		1.13		0.63		0.49		1.32		0.90		0.84		1.34		0.75	



Table 2. Contd..

Source of variation	df	Number tertiary spikes pe plant	capsules	per	Total numbe capsules po plant		100 So weight		Volu weig (g/100	ht	Seed yield plant (g	-	Oil con (%)		Shellin turn (
Environments	2	56.81 *	** 816.04	**	341829.61	**	17.29	**	0.10	NS	194997.43	**	157.67	**	34.67	**
GCA	8	5.65 *	** 1375.67	**	26260.31	**	59.88	**	35.02	**	19462.05	**	19.63	**	73.46	**
SCA	36	2.43 *	** 310.48	**	18793.47	**	7.83	**	4.19	**	14881.56	**	5.41	**	9.43	**
GCA x Environment	16	1.44 *	** 155.65	**	8673.54	**	4.96	**	3.94	**	5287.18	**	2.22	**	7.41	*
SCA x Environment	72	1.09 *	** 99.30	**	6349.53	**	2.04	**	1.68	NS	4237.79	**	0.40	NS	2.83	NS
Pooled error	264	0.11	50.00		788.35		1.18		1.66		453.04		0.44		3.87	
			Estimates of	compoi	nents of genetic	varia	ance and	relate	ed parame	eters						
\Box^2 GCA ($\sum gi^2$)		0.29 *	\$ 96.84	*	678.80		4.73	*	2.80	*	416.41	NS	1.29	*	5.82	*
\Box^2 SCA ($\sum sij^2$)		2.33 *	\$ 260.48	*	18005.12	*	6.65	*	2.52	*	14428.53	*	4.97	*	5.57	*
Potence ratio $\frac{\frac{1}{df}\sigma^2 gca}{\frac{1}{df}\sigma^2 sca}$		0.57	1.67		0.17		3.20		5.00		0.13		1.17		4.71	
Predictability ratio $2\sigma^2 gca / 2\sigma^2 gca + 2\sigma^2 sca$		0.20	0.43		0.07		0.59		0.69		0.05		0.34		0.68	
$\sigma^2 A$		0.58	193.67		1357.61		9.46		5.61		832.82		2.58		11.64	
$\sigma^2 D$		2.33	260.48		18005.12		6.65		2.52		14428.53		4.97		5.57	
$(\square^2 \mathrm{D}/\square^2 \mathrm{A})^{0.5}$		1.99	1.16		3.64		0.84		0.67		4.16		1.39		0.69	

*, ** significant at 0.5 and 0.01 probability level, respectively.



Parents	Days to 50 % flowering of primary raceme		No. of nodes up to primary raceme		Plant height up to primary raceme (cm)		Days to 50 % maturity of primary raceme		Total length of primary raceme (cm)		Effective length of primary raceme (cm)		Number of effective branches per plant		Number of secondary spikes per plant	
	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA
ANDCP-08-01	64.0	- ** 2.22	15.0	- ** 1.01	26.7	- ** 16.82	141.4	- 0.71	61.5	-3.11 **	56.8	-2.73 **	9.1	0.26 *	4.8	- 0.07
ANDCP-06-07	65.6	- ** 1.36	16.0	0.33 **	44.3	-9.11 **	136.7	0.35	70.0	-2.50 **	62.9	-2.97 **	8.4	- ** 0.84	5.0	- ** 0.50
ACP-1-06-07	78.3	4.48 **	20.3	1.86 **	57.4	1.82 **	148.8	4.66 **	99.7	11.07 **	94.5	10.66 **	7.3	- ** 1.14	4.2	- ** 0.46
SKP-84	67.0	1.16 **	18.0	0.99 **	46.2	-6.86 **	138.1	1.02 *	78.5	2.76 **	73.9	2.99 **	8.9	0.11	4.4	0.00
VP-1	60.6	- ** 2.58	12.8	- ** 1.27	35.3	- ** 12.04	128.4	- ** 3.78	70.8	-2.92 **	63.7	-2.90 **	10.4	0.67 **	5.0	0.10
DPC-9	70.4	0.13	14.8	- ** 0.81	73.9	19.48 **	134.6	- ** 1.62	55.5	-4.59 **	49.2	-4.77 **	9.9	0.63 **	5.6	0.35 **
JP-65	73.2	1.56 **	18.0	0.45 **	109.5	34.65 **	156.4	5.74 **	67.8	2.65 **	65.3	3.91 **	10.9	1.56 **	6.4	0.91 **
ANDCP-06-07- 1	59.3	- ** 1.76	15.2	0.18	45.7	-4.46 **	128.0	- ** 3.35	74.6	-1.14	65.4	-1.86 **	9.0	0.22 *	4.7	0.04
ANDCP-06-07- 2	65.7	0.85 *	16.6	0.30 *	43.0	-6.65 **	142.7	0.43	69.3	-2.22 **	61.9	-2.34 **	8.1	- ** 0.51	4.4	0.30 **
Mean	67.1	-	16.3	-	53.6	-	139.5	-	72.0	-	65.9	-	9.1	-	4.9	-
Range Min. Max.	59.3 78.3	-2.58 4.48	12.8 20.3	-1.27 1.86	26.7 109.5	-16.82 34.65	128.0 156.4	-3.78 5.74	55.5 99.7	-4.59 11.07	49.2 94.5	-4.77 10.66	7.3 10.9	-1.14 1.56	4.2 6.4	-0.50 0.91
SE $(g_i) \pm$	-	0.35	-	0.12	-	0.57	-	0.42	-	0.66	-	0.66	-	0.11	-	0.08
SE (g _i -g _i)± C.D. 5 % (g _i -g _i)	-	0.53 1.04	-	0.18 0.36	-	$0.85 \\ 1.68$	-	0.63 1.24	-	0.99 1.95	-	0.98 1.94	-	0.16 0.32	-	0.12 0.23



Table 3 Contd..

Parents		umber of ry spikes per plant	ca	umber of psules per nary raceme		l number of les per plant	100 \$	Seed weight (g)		me weight (/100ml)		l yield per lant (g)	Oil c	ontent (%)		elling out ırn (%)
	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA
ANDCP-08-01	3.9	-0.17 **	58.8	-2.18	138.2	-38.98 **	31.1	1.79 **	55.2	0.20	116.8	- ** 22.39	47.0	0.14	60.2	- 0.07
ANDCP-06-07	2.9	-0.24 **	68.8	-3.48 **	233.6	-14.27 **	27.4	-0.90 **	56.8	1.17 **	175.0	- ** 16.91	45.9	0.42 **	68.7	2.04 **
ACP-1-06-07	2.6	-0.59 **	93.4	6.70 **	215.4	-17.62 **	28.8	0.65 **	52.3	- * 0.46	169.6	-9.31 **	45.0	0.26 *	61.8	0.53
SKP-84	4.0	0.07	79.4	4.64 **	242.1	6.15	28.9	-0.04	54.0	0.24	195.2	7.38 *	45.0	- ** 0.46	66.8	2.05 **
VP-1	4.3	0.35 **	45.1	-13.03 **	242.4	-17.32 **	30.0	0.14	56.9	0.71 **	196.2	15.23 **	45.5	- ** 0.32	62.1	- ** 1.18
DPC-9	3.6	0.17 **	66.5	5.49 **	168.5	10.41 *	28.4	1.72 **	51.5	- ** 1.75	128.7	21.25 **	45.7	1.26 **	60.5	- ** 2.00
JP-65	6.1	0.83 **	61.3	6.34 **	182.2	59.63 **	27.4	0.36 *	51.7	- ** 1.50	135.5	52.79 **	40.6	- ** 1.49	55.3	- ** 1.68
ANDCP-06- 07-1	3.9	-0.19 **	63.2	-2.20	271.1	17.37 **	25.4	-1.90 **	56.3	0.86 **	193.3	0.27	46.9	0.61 **	64.6	0.59
ANDCP-06- 07-2	3.1	-0.23 **	62.8	-2.28	231.9	-5.37	25.5	-1.82 **	56.0	0.51 *	167.7	- ** 17.86	46.8	0.10	65.2	0.78 *
Mean	3.8	-	66.6	-	213.9	-	28.1	-	54.5	-	164.2	-	45.4	-	62.8	-
Range Min.	2.6	-0.59	45.1	-13.03	138.2	-38.98	25.4	-1.90	51.5	-1.75	116.8	-22.39	40.6	-1.49	55.3	-2.00
Range Max.	6.1	0.83	93.4	6.70	271.1	59.63	31.1	1.79	56.9	1.17	196.2	52.79	47.0	1.26	68.7	2.05
SE $(g_i) \pm$	-	0.05	-	1.16	-	4.61	-	0.18	-	0.21	-	3.49	-	0.11	-	0.32
SE (g _i -g _i)± C.D. 5 % (g _i -	-	0.08	-	1.74	-	6.91	-	0.27	-	0.32	-	5.24	-	0.16	-	0.48
C.D. 5 % (g _i - g _i)	-	0.16	-	3.43	-	13.61	-	0.53	-	0.63	-	10.32	-	0.32	-	0.95



			g ability effect and per se perfor					
Characters	Range of SCA	Range of crosses for	Top ranking three crosses	Per se perform	SCA effect of	GCA effect of parents	Number of with sig	nificant
	effect	their per se		ance	the	involved	+ve and -	
		performance			crosses	with a cross	eff	
D (50.0/	< 7 5				4.20**	0.0	+ve	-ve
Days to 50 %	-6.75	55.6	VP-1 x ANDCP-06-07-1	55.6	-4.38**	GxG	6	13
flowering of	to 5 20	to	ANDCP-08-01 x ANDCP-06-07	56.7	-4.04**	G x G		
primary raceme	5.29	74.3	ANDCP-08-01 x JP-65	58.8	-4.85**	G x P		
Number of	-1.98	13.1	VP-1 x ANDCP-06-07-1	13.1	-1.24**	GxA	5	9
nodes up to	to	to	ANDCP-06-07 x VP-1	13.5	-0.68	GxG		
base of primary	1.95	19.8	ANDCP-08-01 x DPC-9	13.5	-0.47	GxG		
raceme								
Plant height up	-16.86	31.4	ANDCP-06-07 x VP-1	31.4	-8.30**	GxG	11	10
to base of	to	to	ANDCP-08-01 x ANDCP-06-07	33.2	-1.72	GxG		10
primary raceme	25.44	122.7	VP-1 x ANDCP-06-07-2	34.8	-7.31**	GxG		
							-	
Days to 50 %	-10.95	122.7	VP-1 x ANDCP-06-07-1	122.7	-5.22**	GxG	8	16
maturity of	to	to	ANDCP-08-01 x ANDCP-06-	126.9	-4.07**	AxG		
primary raceme	9.50	144.8	07-1	126.9	-6.95**	G x A		
T-4-1 1	C 07 to	(2, 2, 4, -00, 1)	DPC-9 x ANDCP-06-07-2 ACP-1-06-07 x SKP-84	00.1	C 10**	C = C	11	4
Total length of	-6.07 to	68.8 to 99.1	ACP-1-06-07 x SKP-84 ACP-1-06-07 x JP-65	99.1 02.0	6.40** -1.69	G x G G x G	11	4
primary raceme	9.16		SKP-84 x DPC-9	93.0 91.6	2.61	GxG GxP		
Effective	-8.97	60.9	ACP_1-06-07 x SKP-84	91.6 94.4	2.01 9.42**	GxP GxG	10	3
length of	-0.97 to	to	ACP_1-06-07 x JP-65	94.4 84.7	-1.24	GxG	10	5
primary raceme	10.31	94.4	ACP-1-06-07 x ANDCP-06-07-1	83.0	2.86	GxD		
Number of	-2.01	8.0	SKP-84 x JP-65	15.6	3.48**	A x G	11	6
effective	to	to	ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65	13.8	2.63**	PxG		
branches per	3.48	15.6	JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1	13.0	1.19**	G x P		
plant								
Number of	-0.87	3.8	SKP-84 x JP-65	7.4	1.29**	A x G	5	3
secondary	to	to	ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65	6.4	0.73**	P x G		
spikes per plant	1.29	7.4	SKP-84 x DPC-9	6.4	0.81**	A x P		
			VP-1 x DPC-9	6.4	0.72**	A x G		
			JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1	6.4	0.32	G x A		
Number of	-1.31	3.1	DPC-9 x ANDCP-06-07-2	6.5	2.09**	G x P	14	9
tertiary spikes	to	to	SKP-84 x JP-65	6.4	0.98**	AxG		
per plant	2.09	6.5	ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65	6.3	1.26**	P x G		
Number of	-14.32	52.1	DPC-9 x JP-65	97.0	10.06**	GxG	9	5
capsules per	to	to	ACP-1-06-07 x ANDCP-06-07-1	93.6	13.92**	GxA		
primary raceme	19.67	97.0	DPC-9 x ANDCP-06-07-2	93.2	14.79**	GxA		
Total number	-109.26	187.3	SKP-84 x JP-65	494.4	138.10**	A x G	14	6
of capsules per	to	to	JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1	463.7	96.15**	GxG		0
plant	138.10	494.4	JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-2	410.4	65.70**	GxA		
100 Seed	-1.99	25.0	ANDCP-08-01 x JP-65	25.0	3.08**	C = C	o	3
weight		25.0	VP-1 x DPC-9	35.0 34.2	2.52**	G x G A x G	8	3
weight	to 3.08	to 35.0	ANDCP-08-01 x DPC-9	33.8	0.43	GxG		
Volume weight	-1.60	50.7	ANDCP-08-01 x ANDCP-06-07	57.8	0.45	AxG	5	2
volume weight	to	to	VP-1 x ANDCP-06-07-2	57.8	1.03	GxA	5	2
	1.97	57.8	SKP-84 x ANDCP-06-07-2	57.7	1.38*	AxA		
Seed yield per	-92.30	128.6	SKP-84 x JP-65	410.3	112.59**	GxG	15	8
plant	to	to	ANDCP-06-07 x JP-65	371.2	97.70**	P x G		
-	112.59	410.3	JP-65 x ANDCP-06-07-1	368.7	78.10**	GxA		
Oil content	-2.48	43.9	DPC-9 x ANDCP-06-07-1	50.2	1.65**	GxG	12	3
	to	to	ANDCP-06-07 x DPC-9	49.9	1.61**	GxG		
	2.03	50.2	ACP-1-06-07 x DPC-9	49.5	1.81**	P x G		
Shelling out	-4.08	58.2	ANDCP-08-01 x SKP-84	67.2	2.08*	A x G	5	2
turn	to	to	SKP-84 x ANDCP-06-07-1	66.9	1.09	GxA		
	2.44	67.2	ANDCP-06-07 x SKP-84	66.4	-0.87	GxG		