Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding

Research Article



Heterosis, character association and path analysis for grain protein content in rice (*Oryza sativa L*.)

G. Kiruba¹, S. Geetha^{2*}, R. Saraswathi³, R. Santhi⁴, D. Uma⁵ and R. Pushpa⁶

¹Department of Rice, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, India

²Department of Pulses, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, India

³Department of Plant Genetic Resources, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, India

⁴Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, India ⁵Department of Biochemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, India

6 Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu, India

*E-Mail: geethagovind1@gmail.com

Abstract

Rice grain protein content has many unique activities like hypo-allergenic, anticancer properties *etc.* which helps in reducing protein energy malnutrition. The knowledge on the magnitude of genetic effects and association of traits helps in identifying desirable superior hybrids to enhance protein content coupled with yield. The experimental material consisted of 30 hybrids generated in full diallel mating design by hybridizing six parents differing in protein content. The promising hybrid combination IG74 / Gandhasala, its reciprocal cross and IG74 / TKM13 exhibited significant standard heterosis over the high protein check IG74 and the crosses TKM13 / CO52, CO52 / Vellai chithiraikar and CO52 / Gandhasala were adjudged as heterotic promising hybrids for the yield when compared with the check CO52. The grain protein content was found to be negatively associated with grain yield but had positive association with thousand grain weight and number of productive tillers per plant. Path analysis indicated that protein content had a negligible direct effect over grain yield and had positive indirect effect through thousand grain weight whereas having negative indirect effect through number of filled grains. Therefore, it is suggested that selection of parents for high test weight would help to identify good genotypes with high grain yield and protein content.

Keywords: Rice, diallel analysis, protein content, heterosis, correlation and path analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is recognized as the "grain of life" (Singh *et al.*, 2020) as it serves as most important and staple food for more than half of the global population, enabling it as a critical factor of food security. India is one of the world's largest countries by area, which ranked the second and next to China. According to the Directorate of Economics and Statistics (D&ES, 2019- 20), India, the second largest country had 43.8 million hectares of area, with production of 118.4 million tonnes, and beholding productivity of 2705 kg per hectare. People usually

consume polished milled rice which mostly represents endosperm as both the embryo and bran layers were removed off during polishing. Hence, the nutritional value of polished rice by endosperm comprised of (70- 80%) carbohydrates but quite low in protein content (about 7% at 14% moisture) whereas dehulled brown rice contains around 8% protein (Aiyswaraya *et al.*, 2017). Protein energy undernourishment affects 25 percent of children whose diet consists primarily of rice and staple food crops that contain an insufficient amount of vital amino acids for

whom the recommended intake of protein is 13-19g/day/ child (Gearing, 2015). Infact rice protein were the best among other cereal proteins due to their better balance of essential amino acid and higher digestibility. Rice protein possess unique anti oxidative, hypo-allergenic, antiobesity and anticancer properties. Also, protein influences structural, functional, nutritional and grain guality in rice (Amagliani et al., 2017). The protein digestibility corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS) indicates the existence of essential amino acid and overall protein quality are higher in rice (0.55) compared to other popular cereals like wheat (0.40). Therefore, development of rice varieties with increased protein content is essential to meet demands of population. Screening and exploitation of landraces which possess valuable resources for genetic improvement of protein and other essential micronutrients can eradicate malnutrition. Development of rice varieties with high protein content either through conventional method or by marker assisted introgression holds a great potential for sustainable food-based solution (Graham et al., 2001). The development of protein rich rice lines, CRDhan 310(10.3%) and CRDhan 311(10.1%) by ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack brought a hope to plant breeders to develop more desirable protein rich rice varieties similar to this. For development of any hybrids, the primary step is to determine the nature and magnitude of heterosis for the desirable component traits. Genetically diverse parents are likely to express hybrid vigour in higher magnitude. Earlier reports revealed that grain protein content governed by both additive (Jinbao et al., 2014; Bassuony and Wissa, 2015) and non-additive (Anyanwu and Obi, 2015; Singh et al., 2019 and Lingaiah et al., 2021) gene effects. The superior parental and hybrids combinations can be recognized using standard heterosis, mid parent and better parent heterosis. Correlation studies deal with informations regarding interrelationships between the yield and its attributing traits and helps in determining magnitude of association among the traits. Path coefficient analyses helps to assess the direct and indirect contributions of each attributing traits to yield which could be helpful in picking up desirable traits for indirect selection (Rasel et al., 2018). With this information the current study is attempted to sort out superior hybrids and to determine inter-relationships and cause effects for grain protein content.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Department of Rice, TNAU, Coimbatore. The experimental material included six parents based on protein content namely high category (greater than >10%) IG74 (11.16%) and Gandhasala (13.17%); medium category (between 8-10%) CO52 (8.06%) and low category (lesser than <8%) CRDhan315 (6.88%), TKM13 (7.74%) and Vellai chithiraikar (7.73%). Crosses were effected among the parents by following full diallel mating design including reciprocals and 30 hybrids were developed. The six parental lines and 30 crosses were evaluated during Rabi 2021 in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications. The grain protein content was determined using micro-kjeldahl analysis suggested by Somichi et al. (1972). Through this method initially, nitrogen content was estimated and then it was converted to protein by multiplying the nitrogen value with conversion factor of 6.25. Ten biometrical observations and protein content (PC) were recorded as per Standard Evaluation System (IRRI, Philippines) from five randomly selected plants in each genotype. Standard agronomical practices were followed during the complete crop growth period. The data collected were subjected to estimate heterosis, correlation and path analysis to determine gene action and interrelationships among protein content and yield traits.

Standard heterosis was calculated over two check varieties *viz.*, IG74 and CO 52 for grain protein content and yield traits respectively. The Relative heterosis (mid parental heterosis), Heterobeltiosis (better parental heterosis) and standard heterosis were determined

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for RBD was calculated at 5 % level of significance. The estimation of heterosis, correlation and path analysis were done through TNAUSTAT statistical package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance revealed highly significant variations among the genotypes, including parents and hybrids, for all parameters, including grain protein content, indicating the presence of sufficient genetic variability among the genotypes for all the traits studied (**Table 1**). Results on relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis

Table 1. M	lean sum o	f squares of	six parents	and thirty F	່, s in rice f	or yield and	d grain protein	content
							· ·	

Source of variance	Df	DFF	РН	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	TGW	SPY	TPDB	PC
Replication	1	8.00	43.55	0.89	5.12	16.43	0.01	190.13	0.09	4.12	13.00	0.06
Genotypes	35	146.97**	923.86**	9.19**	34.04**	60.29**	0.15**	5942.51**	2.78**	45.38**	2873.61**	4.16**
Error	35	2.43	27.58	2.52	1.85	5.39	0.03	174.67	0.35	4.53	34.60	0.11

* Significant at 5% level, "Significant at 1% level. Df = Degrees of freedom DFF=Days to 50% flowering, PH=plant height, NPT=number of productive tillers, PL=panicle length, FLL=flag leaf length, FLW=flag leaf width, NFG=number of filled grains per panicle, TGW=1000 grain weight, SPY=single plant yield, TPDB=total plant dry biomass, PC=protein content

are presented in **Table 2, 3 and 4.** For both grain protein content and yield, among the 30 hybrids, significant and positive relative heterosis (0.17% - 21.48%) was observed in thirteen crosses. The crosses IG74 / TKM13 and CRDhan315 / CO52 recorded significant positive heterosis for protein content coupled with yield related traits like 1000 grain weight and number of filled grains. Similar significant results for protein trait were reported by Rathava *et al.* (2019) and Patil *et al.* (2012). In contrast Solanke *et al.* (2019) revealed negative heterosis for

protein content along with yield. In case of other yield related traits, six hybrids were found to be positively significant for number of productive tillers and two hybrids were found to be significant in desirable direction for plant height as detailed in **Table 2**.

In the present study semi dwarf hybrids CRDhan315 / Vellaichithiraikar (15.49% for protein content) and Vellaichithiraikar / CRDhan315 (5.99%) were found to be superior as they had significantly positive heterobeltiosis

Crosses	DFF	PH	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	TGW	SPY	TPDB	PC
IG74/CO52	-14.21**	0.95**	6.00	25.17**	1.13	-5.26	-18.83	-2.80	8.96**	-1.48**	-8.87
IG74/TKM13	-18.13**	2.98	8.91	32.61**	-2.29**	-44.68	-18.79	6.24	12.23 [*]	-6.46	21.48**
IG74/CRDhan315	-15.87**	4.89**	10.42	15.31**	3.47	-15.73	-0.71	3.90**	- 7.78 [*]	-42.48**	8.31**
IG74/ Vellai chithiraikar	-15.24**	-10.7*	22.73**	9.91**	1.06	-5.56	-24.18	3.68*	19.53**	-8.22	-15.25**
IG74/Gandhasala	-6.49	-7.83	3.09	-14.16**	-8.09**	2.22	-38.76	4.03	4.77**	-30.41	-2.49
CO52 /IG74	-2.11**	23.67**	10.00	19.40**	-10.3	10.53	0.00	5.59	15.72**	45.03**	5.49
CO52/ TKM13	-9.05**	8.77	-10.68**	11.99*	61.23**	-16.98	63.29**	4.18*	9.15**	- 23.95*	3.19*
CO52/ CRDhan315	-8.25*	13.97*	12.24	36.51**	33.49**	39.42**	118.82**	7.64*	11.34*	14.21**	0.17**
CO52/ Vellai chithiraikar	-1.27	4.15	11.11	9.26**	1.53	-14.29	35.76**	8.92**	36.01**	42.63**	12.98**
CO52/Gandhasala	4.95	-4.83	3.03	22.06**	10.83**	5.88	53.88**	2.19	34.29**	46.25**	-5.84
TKM13/ IG74	5.70**	-14.29	12.87	-2.05**	-29.8**	27.66	42.95	-1.45	8.19 [*]	3.47	5.49**
TKM13 /CO52	0.00	-4.36	-30.1**	16.65*	13.72**	24.53	74.68**	6.65*	35.01**	9.46*	11.39 [*]
TKM13/ CRDhan315	2.39	-7.64	7.07	-14.08	-7.81	-24.90	73.64**	10.12**	29.47**	-4.52**	15.34**
TKM13/ Vellai chithiraikar	5.24	-14.00	20.88**	14.62**	20.27*	-25.49**	26.20	-0.37	8.18	15.42**	7.79
TKM13/Gandhasala	5.85	-12.22	0.00	12.79**	13.90	-26.67*	41.81 [*]	2.25	12.10	-13.02	-8.71**
CRDhan315 /IG74	-1.06**	16.30**	2.08	14.69**	2.79	12.36	8.79	14.29**	27.03*	-24.33**	10.48**
CRDhan315/ CO52	2.91*	5.07*	10.20	22.82**	20.26**	53.85**	88.62**	2.40*	11.69*	12.49**	20.39**
CRDhan315/ TKM13	-1.44	3.38	11.11	11.82	15.37	34.39	48.27**	7.90**	11.02**	20.78**	14.45**
CRDhan315/ Vellai	. =0	o / =					~~~~		~~ ~="		
chithiraikar	-1.78	-6.15	18.60	-1.81	-6.06	16.16	20.85	11.03	22.05	12.31	19.89
CRDhan315/Gandhasala	3.98	-3.30	11.58	13.76**	5.90	-5.35	37.66**	3.58	15.39	27.33*	4.42
Vellai chithiraikar/IG74	-0.28**	-3.92*	11.36**	28.56**	-5.69	33.33	12.85	6.44*	21.94**	13.20	-6.38**
Vellai chithiraikar/CO52	-1.77	-1.95	13.33	16.91**	17.02	9.52	26.87**	10.78**	17.45**	1.87**	11.18**
Vellai chithiraikar/TKM13	-2.74	15.78	7.69*	23.52**	5.86*	-37.25**	-2.64	3.93	9.05	17.73**	6.67
Vellai chithiraikar/ CRDhan315	-2.80	14.25	23.26**	12.66	33.10 [*]	1.01	11.91	9.39**	24.76**	5.52**	10.03**
Vellai chithiraikar/ Gandhasala	12.21**	7.69	3.45	18.98**	28.82**	-14.29	-6.59	8.76**	19.78**	36.57**	-10.49
Gandhasala/ IG74	1.08	2.10	9.28	-16.19**	-32.19**	-28.89	36.62	2.68	23.05**	32.82	0.27
Gandhasala/CO52	-1.98	9.36	9.09	6.26**	25.02**	-33.33	55.86**	6.08	13.54**	6.25**	-2.87
Gandhasala/ TKM13	-1.95	7.44	2.00	19.63**	10.40	- 16.67*	-8.42 [*]	6.27	2.24	5.69	-6.90**
Gandhasala/CRDhan315	-2.49	7.16	7.37	16.88**	15.40	27.57	14.64**	3.58	4.51 [*]	-11.19 [*]	-5.21
Gandhasala/ Vellaichithiraikar	0.26**	-3.07	12.64	13.91**	12.37**	-2.04	7.75	11.43**	13.73**	20.65**	1.41

for not only grain protein content but also for single plant yield and thousand grain weight. Sixteen hybrids showed positive significant better parental heterosis for single plant yield, six hybrids for 1000 grain weight, eleven hybrids for number of filled grains. None of the hybrids showed significant heterobeltiosis for number of productive tillers. This non realization of heterobeltiosis for productive tillers may be due to the fact of influence of additive gene action. Significant relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain protein content and yield associated characters suggested that the presence of greater genetic diversity among the parental lines and also reveals the unidirectional distribution of interallelic constitution resulting contribution towards desirable hybrid vigour in the present material (Rathava *et al.*, 2019).

Significant standard heterosis for protein content was obtained in three hybrids namely IG74 / Gandhasala (6.27%), Gandhasala / IG74 (9.27%) and IG74 / TKM13 (5.14%) for protein content. Dodake *et al.* (2022) have also reported significant positive standard heterosis for protein content. For yield and yield attributing traits

Crosses	DFF	PH	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	1000GW	SPY	TPDB	PC
IG74/CO52	-21.26**	-4.61	3.92	21.25**	-12.99**	-18.18	-24.90	-5.23	7.86*	-7.57**	-21.55**
IG74/TKM13	-25.82**	-9.73**	5.77	31.5 [*]	-17.23**	-58.06**	-38.11 [*]	0.45	11.46	-10.24	3.14
IG74/CRDhan315	-22.44**	4.63*	8.16	0.71	-7.77	-23.47	-3.24	0.00	-10.07	-51.62**	-12.46**
IG74/ Vellai chithiraikar	-18.62**	-23.3**	10.2	-6.67	-1.94	-15.00	-31.50	3.10	17.33**	-19.16 [*]	-29.44**
IG74/Gandhasala	-12.18**	-10.05	2.04	-18.32**	-12.50**	-20.69**	-45.42	-0.23	-1.65	-38.50**	-9.91**
CO52 /IG74	-10.14**	16.86	7.84	15.66**	-22.82**	-4.55	-7.47	2.95	14.55*	36.07**	-9.18**
CO52/ TKM13	-10.33**	0.43	-11.54**	7.61	58.25**	-29.03	31.97**	0.96	7.31**	-31.34**	1.49
CO52/ CRDhan315	-8.70*	7.44	7.84	22.64**	28.22**	31.82*	107.47**	6.22	7.50	1.55	-7.14
CO52/ Vellai chithiraikar	-5.80**	-14.73**	-1.96	-4.67	-10.32	-18.18	32.28**	5.62*	32.18**	18.92	8.57
CO52/Gandhasala	2.42	-12.12	0.00	19.83*	-0.40	-6.90*	47.71**	0.48	24.87**	22.31	-24.12**
TKM13/ IG74	-4.23**	-24.87**	9.62	- 2.86*	-40.53**	-3.23**	8.95*	-6.82	7.45	-0.71	-10.44
TKM13 /CO52	-1.41**	-11.70	-30.77**	12.08	11.62**	6.45	41.18**	3.35	32.74**	-1.18**	9.56
TKM13/ CRDhan315	0.47	-19.20**	1.92	-25.49**	-13.02	-38.71	34.78**	8.11 [*]	27.10**	-22.33**	8.60
TKM13/ Vellai chithiraikar	-0.94**	-33.80**	5.77	-3.33	4.52	-38.71**	4.09	-6.29	6.91	5.53	5.26
TKM13/Gandhasala	1.88	-24.65**	-3.85	6.47	0.67	-29.03 [*]	18.41	0.74	5.91	-20.20**	-27.35**
CRDhan315 /IG74	-8.78**	16.02*	0.00	0.18	-8.37	2.04	6.02	10.00	23.86	-36.36**	-10.71**
CRDhan315/ CO52	2.42 [*]	-0.95	5.88	10.34**	15.50**	45.45*	78.84**	1.05	7.83	0.03	11.61
CRDhan315/ TKM13	-3.29	-9.56**	5.77	-3.03**	8.84	9.68	15.09**	5.92**	8.99**	-1.75**	7.77
CRDhan315/ Vellai chithiraikar	-5.85**	-19.29**	8.51	-5.00	-13.94	15.00	11.81	6.29 [*]	21.23**	-14.67**	15.49 [*]
CRDhan315/Gandhasala	1.95	-5.41	10.42	3.92	-1.21	-20.69	25.57	3.19	10.97	-3.01**	-20.51**
Vellai chithiraikar/IG74	-4.26**	-17.54**	0.00	9.17	-8.50	20.00	1.97	5.84	19.70**	-0.29*	-22.04**
Vellai chithiraikar/CO52	-6.28**	-19.73**	0.00	2.00	3.35	4.55	23.62**	7.42 [*]	14.14**	-15.06	6.83
Vellai chithiraikar/TKM13	-8.45**	-10.87**	-5.77	4.17	-8.00	-48.39**	-19.69	-2.25	7.77	7.65	4.17
Vellai chithiraikar/ CRDhan315	-6.83**	-1.75**	12.77	9.00	21.94	0.00	3.54	4.72 [*]	23.92**	-19.83**	5.99*
Vellai chithiraikar/ Gandhasala	9.64*	-5.63**	-6.25	5.50	26.32**	-27.59	-8.02	3.75	14.44 [*]	36.06**	-30.00**
Gandhasala/ IG74	-5.08**	-0.36	8.16	-20.26**	-35.44**	-44.83**	21.76	-1.52	15.50	17.38**	-7.37**
Gandhasala/CO52	-4.35	0.98	5.88	4.31 [*]	12.35	-41.38 [*]	49.62**	4.31	5.57**	-11.15	-21.72**
Gandhasala/ TKM13	-5.63	-7.77**	-1.92	12.93	-2.42	- 19.35 [*]	-23.53	4.70	-3.40	-3.03**	-25.90**
Gandhasala/CRDhan315	-4.39	4.83	6.25	6.77	7.65	6.90	4.58	3.19	0.51	-32.35**	-27.84**
Gandhasala/ Vellaichithiraikar	-2.03 [*]	-15.05**	2.08	1.00	10.19**	-17.24	6.11	6.29	8.67*	20.20**	-20.70**

Crosses	DFF	PH	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	TGW	SPY	TPDB	PC
IG74/IG74	-16.43**	12.39**	-3.92	-6.26	38.72**	-27.27	-14.94	5.26	-2.02	-12.36**	0.00
IG74/CO52	-21.26**	7.20	3.92	21.25**	20.71*	-18.18	-24.9 [*]	-0.24	7.86	-7.57*	-21.55**
IG74/TKM13	-23.67**	1.46	7.84	23.27**	14.81	-40.91**	0.41	5.74*	9.20 [*]	-21.33**	5.14**
IG74/CRDhan315	-23.19**	18.17**	3.92	26.4**	27.95**	-31.82 [*]	-13.28	5.26	-11.89 [*]	-37.85**	-12.46**
IG74/ Vellai chithiraikar	-26.09**	20.23**	5.88	25.28**	36.03**	-22.73	- 27.80*	9.81**	14.95**	-29.15**	-29.44**
IG74/Gandhasala	-16.43**	6.22	-1.96	-15.21 [*]	21.38**	4.55	-40.66**	5.02	-3.64	-46.10**	6.27*
CO52/IG74	-10.14**	31.33**	7.84	15.66**	7.07	-4.55	-7.47	8.37**	14.55**	36.07**	-9.18**
CO52/CO52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-27.82**
CO52/ TKM13	-7.73**	0.43	-9.80	7.61	58.25**	0.00	114.11**	0.96	7.31	-31.34**	-26.75**
CO52/ CRDhan315	-8.70**	21.35**	7.84	53.91**	39.23**	31.82*	107.47**	6.22*	7.50	30.47**	-32.97**
CO52/ Vellai chithiraikar	-5.80**	33.78**	-1.96	27.96**	17.00*	-18.18	39.42**	12.44**	32.18**	18.92**	-21.64**
CO52/Gandhasala	2.42	3.77	0.00	24.38**	24.92**	22.73	60.58**	0.48	24.87**	22.31**	-10.48**
TKM13/ IG74	-1.45	-15.56**	11.76	-8.95	-17.51 [*]	36.36*	76.76**	-1.91	5.27	-12.98**	-10.44**
TKM13 /CO52	1.45	-11.70 [*]	-29.41**	12.08	11.62	50.00**	129.05**	3.35	32.74**	-1.18	-20.92**
TKM13/ TKM13	2.90	-15.35**	1.96	-7.83	-3.70	40.91**	62.24**	-6.22 [*]	-3.37	-19.44	-30.20**
TKM13/ CRDhan315	3.38 [*]	-8.74	3.92	-6.49	-5.56	-13.64	118.67**	5.26	22.82**	-0.21	-24.19**
TKM13/ Vellai chithiraikar	1.93	3.86	7.84	29.75**	36.36**	-13.64	68.88**	-0.24	3.31	-14.99**	-26.52**
TKM13/Gandhasala	4.83**	- 11.02 [*]	-1.96	10.51	26.26**	0.00	92.12**	-2.63	2.35	-35.72**	-14.29**
CRDhan315 /IG74	-9.66**	31.03**	-3.92	25.73**	27.10**	-9.09	-4.98	15.79**	21.36**	-18.24**	-10.71**
CRDhan315/ CO52	2.42	11.87*	5.88	38.48**	25.42**	45.45**	78.84**	0.96	7.83	28.51**	-19.44**
CRDhan315/ TKM13	-0.48	2.14	7.84	21.70**	18.18 [*]	54.55**	86.72**	3.11	5.32	26.23**	-24.78**
CRDhan315/ CRDhan315	-0.97	12.94**	-7.84	25.50**	8.59	-10.91	-10.37	-2.63	-6.90	28.48**	-38.35**
CRDhan315/ Vellai chithiraikar	-6.76**	26.62**	0.00	27.52**	12.29	4.55	17.84	13.16**	14.4**	9.63**	-23.16**
CRDhan315/Gandhasala	0.97	11.70 [*]	3.92	30.43**	23.91**	4.55	36.51**	0.48	3.31	24.61**	-6.23**
Vellai chithiraikar/IG74	-13.04**	29.36**	-3.92	46.53**	26.94**	9.09	7.47	12.68**	17.27**	-12.61**	-22.04**
Vellai chithiraikar/CO52	-6.28**	25.93**	0.00	36.91**	34.85**	4.55	30.29**	14.35**	14.14**	-15.06**	-22.89**
Vellai chithiraikar/TKM13	-5.80**	39.82**	-3.92	39.82**	20.03*	-27.27	30.29**	4.07	4.14	-13.29**	-27.28**
Vellai chithiraikar/ CRDhan315	-7.73**	54.14**	3.92	46.31**	59.09**	-9.09	9.13	11.48**	16.94**	3.00	-29.48**
Vellai chithiraikar/ Vellai chithiraikar	-9.18**	56.88**	-23.53**	34.23**	30.47**	-9.09	5.39	6.46 [*]	-5.63	-33.25**	-33.47**
Vellai chithiraikar/ Gandhasala	4.35**	48.05**	-11.76	41.61**	64.81**	-4.55	0.00	10.53**	8.00	-8.49 [*]	-17.43**
Gandhasala/ IG74	-9.66**	17.66**	3.92	-17.23**	-10.44	-27.27	32.37**	3.59	13.17 [*]	2.87	9.27**
Gandhasala/CO52	-4.35**	19.25**	5.88	8.28	40.91**	-22.73	62.66**	4.31	5.57	-11.15**	-7.66*
Gandhasala/ TKM13	-2.90	8.92	0.00	17.23**	22.39**	13.64	24.07*	1.20	-6.65	-21.89**	-12.59**
Gandhasala/CRDhan315	-5.31**	23.79**	0.00	34.00**	35.02**	40.91**	13.69	0.48	-6.43	-13.08**	-14.87**
Gandhasala/ Vellaichithiraikar	-6.76**	33.26**	-3.92	35.57**	43.77**	9.09	15.35	13.16**	2.55	-19.16**	-6.45**
Gandhasala/Gandhasala	-4.83**	18.09**	-5.88	3.80	25.42**	31.82 [*]	8.71	-3.35	-14.04**	-32.74**	17.97**

Table 4. Estimates of standard heterosis for grain protein content and yield traits (in percent)

compared with the check CO52, eight crosses were found to be positively significant. The hybrids TKM13 / CO52 (32.74%), CO52 / Vellai chithiraikar (32.18%) and CO52 / Gandhasala (24.87%) possessed significant economic heterosis for single plant yield. These hybrids also possessed highly positive significant for 1000 grain weight besides early duration (Table 4). Hussein, 2021 found significant standard heterosis in six crosses for number of filled grains together with yield. In our study two hybrid combinations Gandhasala / IG74 and IG74 / TKM13 were found to have significant positive standard heterosis for grain protein content and grain yield. This may be due to the influence of non-additive gene action and specific combining ability. Hence a careful selection of the parents helps in improving the yield along with grain protein content.

Genotypic correlation Table 5 revealed that grain protein content had a significant and negative correlation with single plant yield but positive association with 1000 grain weight and number of productive tillers. Similar type of association was obtained by Sheju et al. (2013) and Thuy et al. (2023). Inverse relationship between grain protein content and yield resulted due to environmental influence, source-sink interactions and dilution of the protein by non-protein compounds. (Mahesh et al., 2022 and Kibite and Evans, 1984). Interestingly, significant positive correlation was observed between protein content and thousand grain weight as well as number of productive tillers. Single plant yield was found significant and positively correlated with number of filled grains per panicle, thousand grain weight and panicle length. These traits were used as selection criteria for grain yield improvement. These observations were supported by earlier findings of Arunkumar et al. (2022) and Jangala et al. (2022) for the number of filled grains and Prasannakumari et al. (2020) for grain weight.

Panicle height and flag leaf width were found to have negative significant correlation with grain yield. For other major yield traits, number of productive tillers per plant displayed positive association with flag leaf length, 1000 grain weight and protein content and exhibited negative correlation with number of filled grains per panicle. These results indicated that indirect selection for 1000 grain weight will help in simultaneous improvement of yield and protein content. Pujar *et al.* (2020) reported similar significant correlation between 1000 grain weight and protein content, suggesting the possibility of simultaneous improvement.

The results of path coefficient analysis for single plant yield and grain protein content are presented in Table 6. The path coefficient analysis revealed that grain protein content had a negligible direct effect (0.013) on single plant yield indicating that direct selection of protein may not be useful for improving yield. In contrast high direct effect on grain yield by protein content was recorded by Patel et al. (2016). In the present study, high positive direct effect on grain yield was contributed by 1000 grain weight, total plant biomass and number of filled grains per panicle. Whereas, a negative direct effect on grain vield was observed by plant height, flag leaf width, number of productive tillers, days to fifty percent flowering, panicle length and flag leaf length. The grain protein content had a negative indirect effect via number of filled grains number of productive tillers on single plant yield which were in accordance with findings of Sheju et al. (2013). While considering the indirect effects of different traits on yield it was observed that plant height had positive indirect effect via thousand grain weight (0.365) and number of productive tillers influence via thousand grain weight (0.254) and days to fifty percent flowering via number of filled grains (0.212).

It was concluded that protein content was governed

Table 5. Genotypic correlation coefficient between single plant yield with yield components and grain protein content

	DFF	PH	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	TGW	TPDB	PC	SPY
DFF	1.000										
PH	-0.241	1.000									
NPT	-0.145	-0.576*	1.000								
PL	-0.037	0.605*	-0.030	1.000							
FLL	-0.123	-0.595*	0.766**	0.525*	1.000						
FLW	0.466	-0.299	-0.044	0.024	-0.070	1.000					
NFG	0.603*	-0.483	-0.732**	-0.076	-0.128	0.429	1.000				
1000GW	-0.336	-0.612*	0.686**	0.425	0.307	-0.285	-0.270	1.000			
TPDB	0.344	0.049	0.223	0.558*	-0.203	0.223	0.241	0.006	1.000		
PC	-0.205	-0.105	0.538*	-0.439	-0.105	-0.086	-0.242	0.590*	-0.252	1.000	
SPY	0.092	-0.531 [*]	0.488	0.731**	-0.367	-0.669*	0.899**	0.615*	0.382	-0.157*	1.000

	DFF	PH	NPT	PL	FLL	FLW	NFG	TGW	TPDB	PC	SPY
DFF	-0.049	0.059	0.020	0.001	0.003	-0.091	0.212	-0.201	0.139	-0.011	0.092
PH	0.012	-0.244	0.025	-0.024	-0.013	0.059	-0.169	0.365	0.020	0.001	0.531
NPT	0.007	0.043	-0.141	0.001	0.004	0.009	-0.011	0.254	0.090	0.005	0.488
PL	0.002	-0.147	0.004	-0.039	-0.014	-0.005	-0.026	-0.051	0.104	-0.010	0.731
FLL	0.006	-0.121	0.023	-0.020	-0.027	0.014	-0.045	0.183	-0.082	0.000	-0.069
FLW	-0.023	0.073	0.006	-0.001	0.002	-0.196	0.150	-0.170	0.090	0.000	-0.069
NFG	-0.030	0.118	0.005	0.003	0.003	-0.084	0.349	-0.161	0.097	-0.008	0.899
1000GW	0.016	-0.149	-0.211	-0.017	-0.008	0.056	-0.094	0.597	0.003	0.000	0.615
TPDB	-0.017	-0.012	-0.031	-0.010	0.005	-0.044	0.084	0.004	0.404	-0.001	0.382
PC	0.010	0.026	-0.017	0.017	0.003	0.017	-0.084	0.030	-0.102	0.013	-0.157

Table 6. Path coefficients between single plant yield with yield components and grain protein content

Residual effect: 0.312

DFF=Days to 50% flowering, PH=plant height, NPT=number of productive tillers, PL=panicle length, FLL=flag leaf length, FLW=flag leaf width, NFG=number of filled grains per panicle, TGW=1000 grain weight, SPY=single plant yield, TPDB=total plant dry biomass, PC=protein content

both by additive and with the predominant non additive effects as the significance was observed on relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis. The two promising heterotic hybrids Gandhasala / IG74 and IG74 / TKM13 was found to have higher significantly positive standard heterosis for both protein content and plant yield. So, the careful selection of parents will help in concurrent improvement of plant yield coupled with grain protein content. Henceforth, these hybrids could be utilised for further heterosis breeding programme, where the selection can be deferred to future generations. The grain protein content had negative interrelation with grain yield and had a positive association with thousand grain weight and number of productive tillers per plant. Hence, selection of parents for high test weight would help to identify good genotypes with high grain yield and protein content.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to acknowledge Department of Rice, TNAU for providing field and lab facilities to conduct the research.

REFERENCES

- Aiyswaraya, S., Saraswathi, R., Ramchander, S., Vinoth, R., Uma, D., Sudhakar, D. and Robin, S. 2017. An insight into total soluble protein across rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) germplasm accessions. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(12): 2254-2269. [Cross Ref]
- Amagliani, L., O'Regan, J., Kelly, A. L. and O'Mahony, J. A. 2017. The composition, extraction, functionality and applications of rice proteins: A review. *Trends in food science & technology*, **64**: 1-12. [Cross Ref]

- Anyanwu, C. P. and Obi, I. U. 2015. Heterosis and combining ability effect of protein content on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Plant breeding and Crop Science*, **7**(8): 256-261. [Cross Ref]
- Arunkumar, M., Geetha, S., Amudha, K., Suresh, R., Ravichandran, V. and Geetha, K. 2022. Genetic diversity and QTL-marker association analysis of rice germplasm for grain number per panicle and its contributing traits. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **13**(2): 558-566. [Cross Ref]
- Bassuony, N. N. and Wissa, M. T. 2015. Heterosis and combining ability for protein content % in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Journal of Plant Production*, 6(6): 1037-1045. [Cross Ref]
- Dodake, M. M., Intwala, C. G. and Patel, P. B. 2022. Heterosis and combining ability studies for yield and yield component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(7): 1206-1210.
- Gearing, M.E., 2015. Good as gold: Can golden rice and other biofortified crops prevent malnutrition. *Science in the News, Harvard University. http://sitn. hms. harvard. edu.*
- Graham, R. D., Welch, R. M., and Bouis, H. E. 2001. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. [Cross Ref]
- Hussein, F. A. 2021. Heterosis and combining ability of some colored rice genotypes for yield characteristics and grain micronutrient content using Line X Tester analysis. *Journal of Plant Production*, **12**(6): 635-643. [Cross Ref]

- Jangala, D.J., Amudha, K., Geetha, S. and Uma, D. 2022. Studies on genetic diversity, correlation and path analysis in rice germplasm. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **13**(2): 655-662. [Cross Ref]
- Jinbao, Y. A. O., Hongxiang, M. A., Xueming, Y. A. N. G., Miaoping, Z. H. O. U. and Dan, Y. A. N. G. 2014. Genetic Analysis of The Grain Protein Content in Soft Red Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, **19**(2): 246-251. [Cross Ref]
- Kibite, S., and Evans, L. E. 1984. Causes of negative correlations between grain yield and grain protein concentration in common wheat. *Euphytica*, 33: 801-810. [Cross Ref]
- Lingaiah, N., Raju, S., Sarla, N. and Radhika, K. 2021. Understanding of gene action for nutritional traits in elite rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) crosses. *Agricultural Science Digest - A Research Journal*, [Cross Ref]
- Mahesh, G., Ramesh, T., Narendar Reddy, S., Meena, A., Rathod, S., Fiyaz, R. A., ... and Jukanti, A. K. 2022. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and path coefficients for grain protein content, quality traits and grain yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm lines. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(3): 1836-1839.
- Patil, P. R., Surve, V. H. and Mehta, H. D. 2012. Line x Tester analysis in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **99**(apr-jun): 1. [Cross Ref]
- Prasannakumari, M., Akilan, M., Kalaiselvan, S., Subramanian, A., Janaki, P. and Jeyaprakash, P. 2020. Studies on genetic parameters, correlation and path analysis for yield attributes and Iron content in a backcross population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **11**(03): 881-886. [Cross Ref]
- Pujar, M., Govindaraj, M., Gangaprasad, S., Kanatti, A. and Shivade, H. 2020. Genetic variation and diversity for grain iron, zinc, protein and agronomic traits in advanced breeding lines of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* L. R. Br.] for biofortification breeding. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, **67**: 2009-2022. [Cross Ref]
- Rasel, M., Hassan, L., Hoque, M. I. U. and Saha, S. R. 2018. Estimation of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in local landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) for the improvement of salinity tolerance. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, **16**(1): 41-46. [Cross Ref]
- Rathava, K. V., Patel, P. B., Patel, A., Balat, J. R. and Delvadiya, I. R. 2019. Heterosis for yield and its components traits of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in aerobic condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(5): 1605-1610.

- Shejul, M. B., Deosarkar, D. B. and Kalpande, H. V. 2013. Correlation and path analysis in grain quality characters in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) association of soils and crops research scientists Nagpur ms India, **23**(2): 287.
- Singh, R., Pandey, D. P. and Kumari, A. 2019. Combining ability and gene action studies for physiological, phenological and yield traits in rice (*Oryza sativa*.) *Journal of Cereal Research*, **11**(3): 231-241. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, T. V. J., Raju, C. D., Mohan, Y. C., Jagadeeshwar, R., Balram, M., and Krishna, L. 2020. Combining ability and heterosis studies for grain yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Int. J. eco. Env. Sci*, **2**(3): 67-75.
- Solanke, A. C., Patel, P. B. and Patel, P. K. 2019. Study of heterosis and inbreeding depression in F2 generation of aromatic rice. *The Pharma Innov. Journal*, **8**(8): 10-15.
- Somichi, Y., Doughlus, S. Y. and James, A. P. 1972. Laboratory manual. Physiological studies in rice analysis for total nitrogen organic N in plant tissue. *The inter. Res. Instti. Los Banos, Languna, Phillipine: II.*
- Thuy, N. P., Trai, N. N., Khoa, B. D., Thao, N. H. X., Phong, V. T. and Thi, Q. V. C. 2023. Correlation and Path Analysis of Association among Yield, Micronutrients, and Protein Content in Rice Accessions Grown Under Aerobic Condition from Karnataka, India. *Plant Breeding and Biotechnology*, **11**(2): 117-129. [Cross Ref]