DOI: 10.5958/0975-928X.2016.00017.X

Response of different maize accessions to pink stem borer Sesamia inferens Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

J.C Sekhar¹, P Lakshmi Soujanya¹, G K Chikkappa², N Sunil¹, J Kaul², KP Singh² and P Kumar²

¹Winter Nursery Centre, Directorate of Maize Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad500030

(Received: January 2015; Accepted: June 2016)

Abstract

Sesamia inferens (Walker) is the most important *Rabi* pest of maize causing serious losses in India. The objective of the study was to identify maize landraces with high levels of resistance to *S. inferens* by screening 427 maize land races sourced from different agro-ecological regions, along with CM 500 and CML 451 as resistant and susceptible checks, respectively under natural conditions during *Rabi*, 2012-13. The range of average per cent dead hearts observed in land races was 0.0 to 57.14%. The most resistant land races were IC258225, IC319533, IC321053, IC321110, IC321111, IC321119, IC326886, IC331795, IC 338827 and IC 350198 which showed no dead hearts infestation while the susceptible were IC331939, IC340368, IC369174, IC369184, IC406420, IC549985, IC549989, IC549990, IC569669 and IC547811 suffered to the extent of 46.15 to 57.14 % dead hearts. There was no dead hearts infestation on resistant check CM 500 while susceptible check CML 451 showed 50 - 100 % dead hearts. These resistant land races identified are recommended for use in the development of *S. inferens* resistant maize. **Key words:** Dead hearts, land races, maize, resistance, *S. inferens*, screening

Introduction

Maize is the most important cereal crop for food and feed accounting for about 20 % of the global area under cereals (FAO, 2005). In India, maize recorded an impressive annual growth (6.4%) during the period (2007-2011), the highest among all food crops in (Kumar et al., 2013). More than sixty species of insects have been reported to attack maize crop during its different stages of growth (Anon. 1998). Among them Sesamia inferens is the principal insect pest of maize particularly during Rabi season in India. The larvae feed on immature cobs, silks and tassel and severe infestation result in stunted plant growth and appearance of cob and tassel at one place. causing yield losses of 25.7 % to 78.9 % (Chatterjee et al., 1969) which can be greatly reduced by using resistant/least susceptible genotypes. The strategy of host plant resistance for the management of insect pests is environmentally safe, economically feasible and socially acceptable (Mugo et al., 2002). Landraces with the best adaptation to natural and anthropological environment (Maxted et al., 1997) are the real sources of genetic diversity, variability for maize improvement programmes. This implies that local landrace materials could be a repository for resistance genes to the biotic and other abiotic stresses for maize enhancement that needs to be exploited (Pressoir and Berthoid, 2004). Maize germplasm with improved levels of resistance against stem borers is clearly in high demand in tropical countries (Bergvinson, 2000). It is noted that less than 10% local maize landraces have been exploited for breeding programmes indicating much of the genetic diversity remains to be effectively utilized (Prasanna, et al., 2005); their traits have not been

fully exploited or documented (Chapman *et al.*, 2003). Keeping in view of the above aspects and Hyderabad being one of the hotspots for screening for *S. inferens* in winter, the present study was taken up to identify new sources of resistance to pink stem borer from among the landraces conserved in the National Gene Bank (NGB) of India at National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR).

Materials and Methods

A field trial was carried out at Winter Nursery Centre, Indian Institute of Maize Research, Hyderabad during Rabi, 2012-13 season to screen 427 maize land races sourced from NBPGR gene bank, along with resistant check CM 500 and susceptible check CML 451 against S. inferens under natural conditions. The experiment was laid out in augmented design by repeating resistant and susceptible check randomly in each block. The size of each plot was 1 row of 2.5 m length with 75 cm \times 20 cm spacing between row and plants within row respectively. Recommended agronomic practices irrigation including weeding, and application were followed. Migration is common in S. inferens hence the number of larvae per plant was not used for selecting resistant genotypes. Galal et al. (2002) indicated that natural infestation was suitable for studying the genetic behavior of resistance to the pink stem borer. Data was recorded on total number of plants and per cent dead hearts at 30 days after

²Directorate of Maize Research, Pusa Campus, New Delhi -110 012 India

germination. The data in per cent was transformed using angular transformation before subjected to statistical analysis to normalize the distribution. Augmented block design analysis was done by using the IASRI design resources server. http://stat.iasri.res.in/sscnarsportal/main.do. Contrast analysis was used to separate means relative to the mean of checks.

Results and Discussion

The present study resulted in identification of ten least susceptible landraces. No dead hearts infestation was observed on these landraces namely IC258225, IC319533, IC321053, IC 321110, IC321111, IC321119, IC326886, IC331795, IC338827 and IC350198 (Table 1). These are the land races obtained from geographical areas of Himachal Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Kerala, Jammu & Kashmir, Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh. This indicated the ability of these land races to resist the attack of *S*. inferens. The range of average per cent dead hearts observed on all landraces was 0.0 to 100.0% (Table 1). Minimum per cent dead hearts (<10%) was observed on 124 landraces. 283 land races showed per cent dead hearts ranging between 10.1 to 45%. Ten landraces IC331939, IC340368, IC369174, IC369184. IC406420. IC549985. IC549989. IC549990, IC 569669, IC547811 showed maximum susceptibility by suffering to the extent of 46.15 to 57.14 % dead hearts. Out of these, land races sourced from Mizoram IC549989 and IC549990 showed maximum per cent dead hearts (57.14). There were no dead hearts recorded on resistant check CM 500 while susceptible check CML 451 showed 50 -100 % dead hearts. Analysis of variance revealed no significant difference (p>0.05) among treatments and the blocks (Table 2) with respect to host plant reaction to S. inferens infestation. Contrast analysis (Table 3) showed significant difference between controls and between controls and treatments. Identification of resistance sources to stem borers and their use in breeding programme has earlier been attempted by several workers. Varying degrees of resistance to Chilo partellus in land races were reported by Munyiri et al., (2013) with 75 tropical maize land races in Africa through artificial infestation. Based on number of exit holes, tunnel length, ear diameter, ear length, plant height, stem diameter, stem lodging and grain yield selection index was computed. GUAT 1050 was identified as the most resistant landrace with selection index of 0.56 while BRAZ 2179 was most susceptible with an index of 1.66. More recently Khalifa et al., (2013) determined resistance to the pink stem borer Sesamia cretica in twenty exotic maize populations with

different genetic background level and found that populations Tamps 23 and Antigua have relatively good level of resistance to infestation by larvae of S. cretica. Similarly Santosh et al. (2012) evaluated 48 exotic inbred lines for resistance to S. inferens and found that only 8 inbred lines (16%) were resistant. Similar work has been done by Pavani et al., (2011) who screened twenty maize genotypes against S. inferens and reported that the genotype HQPM 1 was moderately resistant with a mean LIR nearer to resistant check, CM 500. Further Sekhar et al. (2008) categorized CML 421, CAO 3141, CAO 3120 and CAO 0106 inbred lines and Single crosses - CML 429 x CML 474 and CML 421 x CML 470 as highly resistant and CML 427 x Pop 147-F2-#-105-2-1-B-1-B*4 and CML 426 x CML 470 crosses as highly susceptible to S. inferens based on 1-9 scale of LIR. Shahzad et al., (2006) screened ten maize cultivars namely EV-5098, Sahiwal-2002, Golden (full season yellow), EV-6 098, EV-6089, Sadaf, Pak Afgoyee (full season white), EV-1098, Agaiti-2002, Agaiti-85 against C. partellus during spring season and observed lowest borer infestation on V-5098, EV-6098, Agaiti-2002 and EV-1098 genotypes. Burton et al. (1999) screened 121 exotic maize inbred lines representing seven germplasm groups and they found that only 7 inbred lines (6%) were considered resistant to S. nonagrioidis. In the present study least susceptible sources belonged to different maturity groups as the anthesis time varied from 56.8 days (IC258225) to 73.5 days (IC321053 and IC321111) this is in contrast to the findings of Malvar et al., (1993), who reported that early or extra early suffered less loss to Sesamia nonagrioides than late and mid season material. The significant role of the landraces/ populations from different origins of populations in differentiating more and less resistant groups was highlighted by Malvar et al., (2004), who evaluated the European Union maize core collection against Sesamia nonagrioides, they found that southern and eastern populations from Spain showed less tunnel length and were hence were more resistant than the populations from other regions of Spain. The least susceptible accessions in the present from diverse agro-ecological regions viz. Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Kerala, Jammu & Kashmir, Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh may serve as contributors for allelic forms of the genes governing resistance to Sesamia inferens. Thus evaluation of germplasm, particularly well adapted and established landraces, could detect resistant populations to stem borer attack with different mechanism of resistance or/and resistance alleles, and then composite populations would enhance the resistance showed by each landrace by pyramiding



mechanisms and/or alleles of resistance. This followed by Identification and characterization genes and its allelic forms conferring resistance and means to introduce them into improved hybrids/varieties would be the breeding goal for stem borer resistance.

References

- Anonymous, 1998. Annual Progress Report, Directorate of Maize Research, Cunning Laboratory, IARI, New Delhi.
- Bergvinson, H.J. 2000. Storage pest resistance in maize.

 Maize Research Highlights 1999

 2000. CIMMYT, Mexico DF.
- Chapman, S., Cooper, M., Podlich, D., Hammer, G. 2003. Evaluating plant breeding strategies by simulating gene action and dry land environment effects. Agron. J. 95: 99-13.
- Burton, A, Malver, R. A, Cartea, M. E, Ordas, A, and Velasco, P. 1999. Resistance of maize inbreds to pink stem borer. Crop Sci.39, 102-107.
- Chatterji, S.M, Young, W.R., Sharma, G.C, Sayi, I.V., Chaal, B.S, Khare, B.P, Rathore, V.S,
- Panwar, V.P.S, Siddiqui, K.H.1969. Estimation of loss in yield of maize due to insect pests with special reference to borers. Indian J. Entomol. 31(2):109-115.
- FAO, Food and Agricultural Organisation 2009 Post harvest losses: Aggravate hunger. Media centre- FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Galal, A. A, El-Shenawy, A. A, and Amer, E. A. 2002. Additive, dominance, and epistatic effects cotrolling resistance to *Sesamia cretica* led. In maize. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. Minufiya University Egypt, 27, 1209-1215.
- Khalifa, K.I, Tamer, A. E, Abdallah, and Adel, M. E, Elrawy. 2013. Resistance to the Pink Stem Borer in Twenty Exotic Maize Populations Under Natural and artificial infestation Conditions. J. Agric. Sci. 5 (8): 117-124.
- Kumar, R, K. Srinivas and N. Sivaramane (2013),
 Assessment of the maize situation, outlook and investment opportunities in India. Country Report
 Regional Assessment Asia (MAIZE-CRP),
 National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, Hyderabad, India.
- Malvar, R.A, M.E. Cartea, P. Revilla, A. Ordás, A. Álvarez, and Mansilla, J.P. 1993. Sources of resistance to pink stem borer and European corn borer in maize. Maydica 38:313–319.
- Malvar, R. A, Butrón, A., Alvarez, A, Ordás, B., Soengas, P, Revilla, P, and Ordás, A. 2004. Evaluation of the European Union Maize Landrace Core Collection for Resistance to Sesamia nonagrioides (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and

Acknowledement We thank National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR), New Delhi, for providing the material in this study.

Ostrinia nubilalis (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) Evaluation of the European Union Maize

Landrace Core Collection for Resistance to *Sesamia nonagrioides* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). J Eco. Ento. 97(2):628-634.

- Maxted, N.,Ford-Lloyd, B. and Hawkes, J.G. 1997.
 Complementary conservation strategies.
 In: Plant Genetic Conservation: The in situ Approach, Maxted N., Ford-Lloyd B. and Hawkes J.G. (eds), 15–40, ISBN-10 0412637308, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Mugo, S.N., Songa, J., DeGroote, H., Hoisington ,D. 2002. Insect Resistant Maize for Africa (IRMA) Projecct: An overview. CIMMYT, Washington DC.
- Munyiri, S.W, Mugo, S.N,Otim, M.,Tefera,T, Beyene, Y, Mwololo, J. K. and Okori, P. 2013. Responses of tropical maize land races to damage by *Chilo partellus* stem borer. African J. Biotech. 12 (11): 1229-1235.
- Pavani,T, Umamaheswari,T, Sekhar J C and Rangareddy R 2011 Screening Maize Genotype for Resistance to Pink Stem Borer, *Sesamia inferens*. IndianJ. Plt. Protec. 39 (4): 314-315.
- Prasanna, B.M, Singode, A, Garg, A, Kumar, R. and Singh, B. B. 2005 Molecular characterization of maize genetic resources in India: Present status and prospects. In proceedings of Ninth Asian Regional Maize Workshop, Beijing, China.
- Pressoir, G. and Berthaud , J. 2004. Population structure and strong divergent selection shape phenotypic diversification in maize land races. Heridity. 92: 95- 101.
- Santosh, H. P., Sekhar, J. C., Sujay Rakshit, Gadag, R. N. and Sain, D. 2012. Detection of epistatic interaction for susceptibility toward pink borer (*Sesamia inferens* Walker) in maize. Indian J. Genet. & Pl. Breed. 72, 284-289.
- Sekhar, J.C., Pradyumn Kumar., Sujay Rakshit., Mehrajuddin., Anuradha, M. and Sain Dass. 2008. Differential response of CMLS and their hybrid combinations to pink borer Sesamia inferens Walker. Annls. Plt. Protec. Sci. 16(2):404-406.
- Shahzad, M. A., Shaheen, M.S., Hafiz Khan, M.T. and Iqbal, B. 2006. Field screening of promising cultivars of maize against shoot fly (*Atherigona soccata*) and maize borer (*Chilo partellus*) during spring season. Pakistan J. Entomol. 28 (2): 15-17.

DOI: 10.5958/0975-928X.2016.00017.X

Table 1. Classification of maize land races based on reaction to Sesamia inferens

| Category | Land races |
|------------------------|--|
| | IC 258225, IC 319533, IC 321053, IC 321110, IC 321111, IC 321119, IC 326886, IC 331795, IC 338827, IC 350198, |
| Dead hearts < 10% | IC 320605, IC 320607, IC 320893, IC 321115, IC 321117, IC 321121,IC 321128, IC 321130, IC 321134, IC 325992, IC 326080, IC 326865, IC 326883, IC 326887, IC 326891, IC 328539, IC 328588, IC 328602, IC 328609, IC 328613,IC 328623, IC 329214, IC 329335, IC 330111, IC 330939, IC 330966, IC 331028,IC 331086, IC 331107, IC 331126, IC 331153, IC 331412, IC 332070, IC 332191,IC 332212, IC 332237, IC 332259, IC 332264, IC 332265, IC 332283, IC 332310,IC 332320, IC 332663, IC 333286, IC 333286, IC 333334, IC 333339, IC 334237,IC 334238, IC 334242, IC 335917, IC 336781, IC 336969, IC 336982, IC 336989,IC 337006, IC 337008, IC 337016, IC 337024, IC 337035, IC 337038, IC 337046,IC 337060, IC 338825, IC 338854, IC 338859, IC 338926, IC 338953,IC 338954,IC 338962, IC 340264, IC 340276, IC 340294, IC 340323, IC 340324, IC 340346,IC 342526, IC 342529, IC 342536, IC 342538, IC 344015, IC 344678,IC 344692, IC 344701, IC 344716, IC 344794, IC 347133, IC 354430,IC 362121, IC 362123, IC 362125, IC 362132, IC 363739, IC 363745, IC 363772,IC 363776, IC 363780, IC 363787, IC 369185, IC 369188, IC 370761,IC 381150, IC 381193, IC 383645, IC 392283, IC 392297, IC 392301, IC 395739,IC 396068, IC 396673, IC 397310, IC 397315, IC 397921, IC 406424, IC 410330,IC 410339, IC 410417, IC 410476, IC 410497, IC 552825, |
| Dead hearts 10.1 – 45% | IC258224, IC 258226, IC 258227, IC 258228, IC 319441, IC 319490, IC 319512, IC 321116, IC 321118, IC 321124, IC 326004, IC 326063, IC 326090, IC 326863, IC 328666, IC 328546, IC 328547, IC 328552, IC 328586, IC 328587, IC328603, IC 328604, IC 328605, IC 328611, IC 328616, IC 328624, I 328632, IC 28640, IC 330007, IC 330130, IC 330941, IC 330965, IC 330994, IC 331005, IC 31018, IC 331093, IC 331141, IC 331144, IC 331164, IC 331193, IC 331198, IC 31205, IC 331361, IC 331385, IC 331388, IC 331399, IC 331417, IC 331678, IC 31679, IC 331796, IC 331797, IC 331961, IC 332069, IC 332072, IC 332073, IC 32238, IC 332261, IC 332271, IC 332276, IC 332232, IC 33260, IC 33295, IC 333305, IC 333328, IC 334236, IC 334240, IC 334241, IC 334243, IC334246, IC 334350, IC 334373, IC 336396, IC 336397, IC 336398, IC 336400, IC 36402, IC 336799, IC 336730, IC 336752, IC 336572, IC 336991, IC 336994, IC 37001, IC 337004, IC 337023, IC 337025, IC 337033, IC 337042, IC 337057, IC 37068, IC 337090, IC 338807, IC 338816, IC 338823, IC 338824, IC 342525, IC 342528, IC 342530, IC 349677, IC 340259, IC 340265, IC 340313, IC 40337, IC 340339, IC 340342, IC 342277, IC 342281, IC 342525, IC 342528, IC342531, IC 342532, IC 342533, IC 34 |
| Dead hearts > 45% | 552835, IC 552836, IC 420901 IC331939, IC 340368, IC 369174, IC 369184, IC 406420, IC 549985, IC 549989, IC 549990, IC 547811, IC 569669 |

Table 2. The Anova of screening maize land races under natural infestation against *Sesamia inferens* ANOVA. Treatment Adjusted

| 7111011 | i, i i cutificitt i ic | | | | |
|------------|------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Source | Df | SS | MS | F | Prob>F |
| Block | 11 | 3317.57 | 301.59 | 1.000000 | 0.500000 |
| Treatments | 428 | 47634.85 | 111.29 | 0.369023 | 0.997823 |
| Error | 11 | 3317.57 | 301.59 | | |
| Total | 450 | 53073.572692 | | | |

Table 3. Contrast analysis of screening maize land races under natural infestation against *Sesamia inferen*

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F | Prob>F |
|-----------------|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| Among-Controls | 1 | 20168.262364 | 20168.262364 | 66.871418 | 0.000010 |
| Among-Tests | 426 | 18998.203154 | 44.596721 | 0.147868 | 0.999990 |
| Test-vs-Control | 1 | 8567.519082 | 8567.519082 | 28.407115 | 0.000241 |