Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding

Research Article



Validation for the major fertility restorer genes, Rf3 and Rf4 of F_4 generation of CBSN 25/ WRM 21-24 and CBSN 25/ WRM 93-20 crosses in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

H. Naveen¹, D. Kumaresan^{2*}, S. Manonmani², N. Manikanda Boopathi³, N. Sritharan² and R.Saraswathi

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore ²Department of Rice, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore ³Department of Plant Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

⁴Department of PGR, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

*E-Mail: kumaresan.d@tnau.ac.in

Abstract

The first step in developing high yielding heterotic hybrids is to find restorers that can effectively restore the fertility of CMS lines. Two distinct and dominant nuclear genes for restoring fertility, Rf3 and Rf4, are primarily in charge of the fertility restoration of CMS-WA lines in rice. Identifying fertility restorer lines can be accelerated and made simpler by molecular mapping of Rf3 and Rf4. The present investigation was carried out to validate the presence of two fertility restorer genes Rf3 and Rf4 in the F_4 generations of two populations *viz.*, CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 (86 families) and CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 (79 families) using seven SSR molecular markers. The results revealed that 17 plants in the cross CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 and 47 plants in CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 were found to be double positives for both Rf3 and Rf4 genes. Hence, after stabilization these identified plants from both crosses could be used as male parents or restorers in CMS-based hybrid rice breeding programs.

Keywords: Fertility restorer genes, Rf3 and Rf4 genes, CMS-WA, hybrid rice, SSRs

INTRODUCTION

The developing countries like India, which has to produce approximately 125 million tonnes of rice by 2030 to feed the increasing population, breeding rice for higher yield continues to be the top goal. Since, rice is a selfpollinating crop, the adoption of male sterility system is essential for economic exploitation of heterosis. One of the realistic, viable, sustainable and environmental friendly ways to break the yield barriers in rice is hybrid rice technology (Sheeba *et al.*, 2009). Under identical circumstances, hybrid rice has a yield advantage of roughly 15-20% over the best commercial inbred varieties (Virmani, 1996). In rice, there are three main forms of CMS: Wild-abortive (WA), BaotaiType (BT) and Honglian (HL), whose inheritance patterns and physiological traits have undergone substantial study. The WA type CMS is a sporophytic abortion, which eventually results in the creation of typical abortive pollen, hence failing to produce normal pollen (Sattari *et al.*, 2008). Based on cytoplasmic male sterility and fertility restoration systems, the main cause for cytoplasmic male sterility is the malfunction or transformation of the mitochondrial genome prevents it from producing viable pollen (Nematzadeh and Kiani, 2010). Nuclear-encoded genes known as the fertility restorer (Rf) gene are responsible for restoring pollen fertility. The first step in creating high-yielding heterotic hybrids is to locate restorers that can effectively restore the fertility of the CMS lines. It has already been reported that fertility restoration is controlled by two distinct nuclear genes that

are dominant, with one gene acting more strongly than the other genes. A significant dominant gene regulates WA-cytoplasm fertility restoration was already reported by Huang et al. (1986) and Anandakumar and Subramanian (1992). All the identified 17 fertility restoration alleles in rice are dominant except Rf17. Among them, two genes viz., Rf3 on chromosome 1 and Rf4 on chromosome 10 are known to regulate the WA cytoplasm's ability to restore fertility (Zhang et al. (1997); Yao et al. (1997)). The experiment conducted by Nas et al. (2003) explained how to identify restorative lines using molecular markers and the PCR-based marker RG140STS had an 83% accuracy rate for locating potential restorers. Molecular mapping of Rf3 and Rf4 can speed up and simplify the process of identifying fertility restorer lines (Sattari et al. (2007); Sheeba et al. (2009)). Jing et al. (2001), Ahmadikhah and Karlov (2006), Bazrkar et al. (2008), Sheeba et al. (2009) and Sattari et al. (2008) employed SSR markers to examine genetic diversity and fine mapping of fertility restoration genes. Therefore, the aim of the present research was to use SSR markers to validate the presence of two key fertility restorer genes Rf3 and Rf4 in rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out with F_4 populations of Restorer x Wild Rice MAGIC lines. The wild rice MAGIC (WRM) lines developed by International Rice Research Institute, Philippines involving AA genome species *viz., O.rufipogon, O.longistaminata, O.nivara, O.barthii, O.meridonalis* of *O.glumaepatula* with two cultivated species, *O. sativa and O.glaberimma*. The two F₄ populations namely CBSN 25/WRM 21- 24 (86 families) and CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 (79 families) were raised in the nursery bed at Department of Rice, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during summer

2023 season. Twenty-eight days old seedlings of F_4 families were transplanted along with their parental lines at a spacing of 20 x 20 cm in two rows of 3.6 m length/ family in the main field and crop agronomy was taken care of throughout the cropping period.

DNA extraction and PCR: Young disease-free leaf samples were collected from one plant per family, which was randomly chosen for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted following the Doyle and Doyle (1987) methodology, using a modified CTAB (CetylTrimethyl Ammonium Bromide) method. Utilizing Nanodrop device (GENEVA, Nano), the quantity and quality of DNA were assessed. The concentration of DNA was standardized to 50 ng/µl and stored at -20°C for further usage. For one reaction (volume 10µl) the cocktail (pcr product) includes 1µl DNA, 3.5µl PCR master mix, 4.5µl sterile water and 1µl Primer (0.5µl forward +0.5 µl reverse primer). The smART Prime 2x PCR master mix was used, which consisted of Tag DNA Polymerase (0.0125 U/µL), Reaction buffer 1mM Mgcl., 0.1 mM of each dNTPs and 1.25 mL Nuclease free water. PCR amplification (Thermo scientific) was done by initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, extension for around 10 minutes at 72°C, then infinite retrieval at 4°C. Ethidium bromide was added to the gel cast at a concentration of 10µg /10ml, and electrophoresis was performed on a 3% polyacrylamide gel for one hour at 120 volts. Under UV illumination, the gel was seen in the gel documentation unit (Bio-Rad Gel Doc XR imaging system). To confirm the presence of restorer genes, three markers viz., RM171, RM258, and RM228 for Rf4 and four markers namely RM1, RM443, RM10313 and RM10318 for Rf3 were employed as detailed in Table 1.

	Primer name	Primer sequences	Gene linked	Chromo -some location	Amplifica- tion product size (bp)		Annealing temperature (°C)	References
1	RM1	F GCGAAAACACAATGCAAAAA	R <i>f</i> 3	1	113	5.1	55	Alavi <i>et al.</i> (2009)
		R GCGTTGGTTGGACCTGAC						
2	RM443	F GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTACG	R <i>f</i> 3	1	124	4.4	55	Bazrkar <i>et al.</i>
		R AGTCCCAGAATGTCGTTTCG						(2008)
3	RM10313	F ACTTACACAAGGCCGGGAAAGG	R <i>f</i> 3	1	188	4.2	55	Neeraja <i>et al.</i>
		R TGGTAGTCGTAACTCTACTCCGATGG	i					(2009)
4	RM10318	F TGTCTCACACATTGCACACTTACC	R <i>f</i> 3	1	187	-	55	Shah <i>et al.</i>
		R GGCCTAACCCAACACATGTCC						(2012)
5	RM171	F AACGCGAGGACACGTACTTAC	R <i>f</i> 4	10	340	6.2	58	Nematzadeh
		R ACGAGATACGTACGCCTTTG						and Kiani(2010)
6	RM258	F TGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC	R <i>f</i> 4	10	148	3.1	55	Nematzadeh
		R TGGCCTTTAAAGCTGTCGC						and Kiani(2010)
7	RM228	F CTGGCCATTAGTCCTTGG	R <i>f</i> 4	10	120	-	55	Ahmadikhah and
		R GCTTGCGGCTCTGCTTAC						Karlov(2006)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the current study, two F₄ populations of the crosses CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 and CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 were used for molecular screening of the fertility restorer genes, Rf3 and Rf4. Allelic differences, interactions and background factors are impacting fertility more than the presence of Rf3 and Rf4 genes on fertility restoration in rice (Cai et al., 2013). The effects of these two fertility restorer genes appear to be additive in nature, with Rf4 having a stronger impact than Rf3 (Yao et al., 1997; Sattari et al., 2008). Many Rf4 markers have been created (Ahmadikhah and Karlov 2006; Ngangkham et al., 2010; Balaji et al., 2012), but just a few Rf3 markers were developed (Nas et al., 2003). However, the accuracy of these markers in identifying restorers is restricted since they are all linked markers and not particular for the putative candidate genes underpinning Rf3 or Rf4. Many researchers have employed SSR markers associated with fertility restorer genes or gene-based functional markers to screen their breeding materials. However, the marker of choice differs between investigations. In the present investigation four SSR markers viz., RM1, RM443, RM10313, RM10318 for Rf3 and three markers viz., RM171, RM258, RM228 for Rf4 were used to confirm the presence of restorer genes in F, generation of the crosses CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 and CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 with 86 and 79 plants respectively. The list of families, the chosen plant from each family and their results of molecular markers screening for fertility restorer genes, Rf3 and Rf4 are given in Table 2 and Table 3.

Validation of fertility restorer genes in the cross CBSN 25/WRM 21- 24: Four SSR markers were used to validate the presence of the Rf3 gene. The marker RM1 is found on the long arm of chromosome 1 (5.1cM), and its amplified product size is 113 bp (Alavi et al., 2009). RM1 amplification at 113 bp was observed in 62 plants out of 86 plants screened. Ahmadikhah and Karlov (2006) reported that RM1 is closely linked to the Rf3 gene which can be used to identify the restorer gene. The marker RM443 resides on chromosome 1 at a genetic distance of 4.4cM, also used to determine the Rf3 gene (Bazrkar et al., 2008). The presence of Rf3 was detected in all 86 plants of this cross by amplifying at 124 bp. Likewise plants from each family were screened with another SSR marker RM10313 linked to Rf3 gene (Neeraja et al., 2009) and 84 plants were found to have Rf3 by amplifying at 188 bp. Revathi et al. (2013) reported that the SSR primers RM10313 associated with Rf3 gene on chromosome 1 and RM6100 linked to the Rf4 gene on chromosome 10 were 81% and 86% efficient in identifying restorer lines respectively and Thippeswamy et al. (2014) reported two DNA markers in combination (RM10313 and RM6100) showed 100% selection efficiency in identifying restorers. The SSR marker RM10318 situated on chromosome 1 (Shah et al., 2012) indicated that 83 out of the 86 plants had Rf3 gene amplified at 187 bp (Fig.1a). On the whole, 59 plants (49%) could possibly be selected as

restorers based on marker banding for all four markers RM1, RM443, RM10313, and RM10318 analysed for R*f*3 gene.

Three SSR markers, RM171, RM258, and RM228 were used to validate the existence of Rf4 gene. The marker RM171 occupies a position on the long arm of chromosome 10 and whose amplified product size was 340 bp (Nematzadeh and Kiani, 2010), which has been amplified in 81 plants at 340 bp (Fig 1b.). Another marker RM258 present on the long arm of chromosome 10 and has an amplicon size of 148 bp (Nematzadeh and Kiani, 2010). It amplified the Rf4 gene in 42 plants at a size of 148 bp. Nematzadeh and Kiani (2010) reported similar findings and revealed Rf4 molecular tagging using RM171 and RM258 markers. Marker RM228 has an amplicon size of 120 bp and located on the long arm of chromosome 10 (Ahmadikhah and Karlov, 2006). A similar kind of banding pattern was observed in 47 plants. The Rf4 gene has been located using three markers namely, RM171, RM258 and RM228 and all three were amplified in 21 plants (18%) out of 86 confirming the probable presence of Rf4 gene in this population. A total of 17 plants (14%) (3-13,4-6,5-11,7-14,18-24,20-11,21-2,22-12,33-11,36-21,39-24,42-8,63-3,64-9,65-6,66-17, 68-21) out of 86 plants screened of the F, generation of the cross CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 were found to be positive for both Rf3 and Rf4 genes and the spikelet fertility in those 17 plants found ranging from 77-95%. Similar findings has already been reported by Surender et al. (2021), identified 10 potential restorers with 100% efficiency based on molecular screening with SSR primers for both Rf4 and Rf3 genes and also Prasanna et al. (2022) reported 44 potential restorers (Rf4 and Rf3 present) with a hundred percentage efficiency through molecular screening.

Validation of fertility restorer genes in the cross CBSN 25/WRM 93-20: A total of 79 plants each from the families of F, population of the cross CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 were screened with the same set of markers that were used in the previous cross to confirm the presence of Rf3 and Rf4 restorer genes. The marker RM1 was amplified at 113 bp in 69 plants. Ahmadikhah and Karlov (2006) reported that RM1 is closely linked with Rf3gene. Whereas all 79 plants were amplified for both markers RM443 and RM10313 (Fig 1a.) at 124 bp and 188 bp respectively. Kumar et al. (2017) found that iso-cytoplasmic restorer lines expressing solely Rf4 genes had a high frequency, followed by lines carrying combination of Rf3 and Rf4 genes and concluded that Rf3 had a synergistic effect on fertility restoration. Another marker RM10318 located on chromosome 1 (Shah et al., 2012) had amplification at 187 bp for 77 plants. A total of 68 plants (39%) were observed bands for all the four molecular markers employed namely RM1, RM443, RM10313, RM10318 which confirms the probable existence of the Rf3 gene in these plants.

S. No	CBSN 25 / WRM 21-24 F ₄ families	Plant		Mar	ker scorin	g (R <i>f</i> 3)	I	Rf3 &				
		number	RM 1	RM 443	RM 10313	RM 10318	TOTAL	RM 171	RM 258	RM 228	TOTAL	Rf4
1	1	1-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
2	2	2-9	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
3	3	3-13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	4	4-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	5	5-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	6	6-20	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
7	7	7-14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	8	8-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
9	9	9-3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
10	10	10-5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
11	11	11-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
12	12	12-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
13	13	13-19	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
14	14	14-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
15	15	15-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
16	16	16-4	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
17	17	17-12	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
18	18	18-24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
19	19	19-19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
20	20	20-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
21	21	21-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
22	22	22-12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
23	23	23-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
24	24	24-4	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
25	25	25-18	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
26	26	26-3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
27	27	27-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
28	28	28-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
29	29	29-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
30	30	30-18	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
31	31	31-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
32	32	32-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
33	33	33-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
34	34	34-9	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
35	35	35-1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
36	36	36-21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
37	37	37-13	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
38	38	38-66	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
39	39	39-24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
40	40	40-15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
41	41	41-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
42	42	42-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
43	42	43-16	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

Table 2. Molecular marker screening of 86 F_4 families of CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 for fertility restorer genes Rf3 and Rf4

Table 2. Continued..

S. No	CBSN 25 / WRM 21-24 F ₄ families	Plant		Mar	ker scorin	g (R <i>f</i> 3)	I	R <i>f</i> 3 &				
		number	RM 1	RM 443	RM 10313	RM 10318	TOTAL	RM 171	RM 258	RM 228	TOTAL	Rf4
44	44	44-19	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
45	45	45-22	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
46	46	46-10	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
47	47	47-14	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
48	48	48-6	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
49	49	49-2	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
50	50	50-9	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
51	51	51-23	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
52	52	52-12	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
53	53	53-17	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
54	54	54-12	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
55	55	55-8	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
56	56	56-3	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
57	57	57-9	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
58	58	58-20	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
59	59	59-14	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
60	60	60-8	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
61	61	61-5	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
62	62	62-9	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
63	63	63-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
64	64	64-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
65	65	65-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
66	66	66-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
67	67	67-13	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
68	68	68-21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
69	69	69-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
70	70	70-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
71	71	71-3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
72	72	72-19	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
73	73	73-4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
74	74	74-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
75	75	75-22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
76	76	76-5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
77	77	77-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
78	78	78-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
79	79	79-25	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
80	80	80-5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
81	81	81-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
82	82	82-14	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
83	83	83-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
84	84	84-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
85	85	85-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
86	86	86-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

1 -Indicates amplification product at respective bp for each marker.

0 -Indicates amplification absent.

In this cross the plants with both Rf genes had the spikelet fertility ranging from 77 - 95% i.e fertile to highly fertile

Naveen et al.,

S. No	CBSN 25 / WRM 93-20 F ₄ families	Plant	Marker scoring (Rf3)						Marker scoring (Rf4)				
		number	RM1	RM443	RM10313	RM10318	TOTAL	RM171	RM258	RM228	TOTAL	R <i>f</i> 4	
1	1	1-6	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
2	2	2-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	
3	3	3-7	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
4	4	4-18	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
5	5	5-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
6	6	6-23	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
7	7	7-18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
8	8	8-16	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
9	9	9-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
10	10	10-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
11	11	11-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
12	12	12-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
13	13	13-18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
14	14	14-3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
15	15	15-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
16	16	16-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	
17	17	17-11	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	
18	18	18-7	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
19	19	19-24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
20	20	20-20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
21	21	21-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
22	22	22-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
23	23	23-5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
24	24	24-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
25	25	25-15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
26	26	26-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
27	27	27-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
28	28	28-8	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
29	29	29-7	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
30	30	30-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	
31	31	31-18	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
32	32	32-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
33	33	33-22	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
34	34	34-20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
35	35	35-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
36	36	36-16	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
37	37	37-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
38	38	38-2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
39	39	39-7	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	
40	40	40-17	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
41	41	41-10	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
42	42	42-2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	
43	43	43-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Table 3. Molecular marker screening of 79 F_4 families of CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 for fertility restorer genes Rf3 and Rf4

Table 3. Continued.

S. No	CBSN 25 / WRM 93-20 F ₄ families	Plant		Ма	rker scori	ng <i>(</i> R <i>f</i> 3)	ľ	R <i>f</i> 3 &				
		number	RM1	RM443	RM10313	RM10318	TOTAL	RM171	RM258	RM228	TOTAL	Rf4
44	44	44-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
45	45	45-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
46	46	46-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
47	47	47-4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
48	48	48-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	49	49-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	50	50-9	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
51	51	51-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
52	52	52-16	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
53	53	53-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
54	54	54-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
55	55	55-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
56	56	56-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
57	57	57-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
58	58	58-17	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
59	59	59-4	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
60	60	60-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
61	61	61-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
62	62	62-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
63	63	63-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
64	64	64-21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
65	65	65-25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
66	66	66-15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
67	67	67-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
68	68	68-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
69	69	69-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
70	70	70-11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
71	71	71-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
72	72	72-9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
73	73	73-17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
74	74	74-19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
75	75	75-6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
76	76	76-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
77	77	77-15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
78	78	78-8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
79	79	79-4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

1 -Indicates amplification product at respective bp for each marker

0 -lindicates amplification absent

In this cross the plants with both Rf genes had the spikelet fertility ranging from 82 - 97% i.e fertile to highly fertile

Three SSR markers namely RM171, RM258 and RM228 were utilized to examine the existence of the Rf4 gene. The marker RM171 amplified in 71 plants, while marker RM258 amplified in 64 plants at 340 bp and 148 bp respectively. Nematzadeh and Kiani (2010) identified

molecular tagging with markers RM171 and RM258 for Rf4. Whereas the marker RM228 was amplified for 76 plants at 120 bp (**Fig 1b**.). All three of the markers *viz.*, RM171, RM258 and RM228 were amplified in 56 (33%) of the 79 plants screened which indicates the presence



Fig. 1a. RM10318 marker amplification pattern of 86 F₄ families (1-86) of CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 at 187 bp and RM10313 marker amplification pattern of 79 F₄ families (1-79) of CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 at 188 bp.
(L represents 100 bp ladder)

of the Rf4 gene. A total of 47 plants (27%) (7-18,8-16,9-7,10-2,11-17,12-6,13-18,15-8,19-24,20-20,21-7,22-9,23-5,24-1,25-15,26-8,27-11,32-9,35-11,36-16,37-9,43-8,44-11,45-6,46-10,47-4,48-17,49-3,51-7,52-16,53-7,64-21, 65-25, 66-15,67-8,68-17,69-8,70-11,71-3,72-9,73-17,74-19,75-6,76-2,77-15,78-8,79-4) out of 79 plants chosen from F_4 generation of the cross CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 were found double positives for Rf3 and Rf4 genes and these 47 plants had spikelet fertility ranging from 82-97%. This is in accordance with the results of double positives for Rf3 and Rf4 genes by Venkanna *et al.* (2022) and suggested that these genotypes may be employed as male parents or restorers in CMS-based hybrid rice breeding programmes. The results obtained on molecular screening are represented in **Fig .2a and Fig .2b**.

In hybrid rice breeding programs, restorer lines with both Rf3 and Rf4 fertility restorer genes would be

highly beneficial. In the present investigation, a total of seven SSR markers namely RM1, RM443, RM10313, RM10318, RM171, RM258 and RM228 were used to identify the presence of Rf3 and Rf4 genes. Seventeen plants (3-13,4-6,5-11,7-14,18-24,20-11,21-2,22-12,33-11,36-21,39-24,42-8,63-3,64-9,65-6,66-17,68-21) among 86 plants screened from the cross CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 were found to be double positives for Rf3 and Rf4 genes and the spikelet fertility in these plants ranged from 77-95 % i.e. fertile to highly fertile. While, 47 plants (7-18,8-16,9-7,10-2,11-17,12-6,13-18,15-8,19-24,20-20,21-7,22-9,23-5,24-1,25-15,26-8,27-11,32-9,35-11,36-16,37-9,43-8,44-11,45-6,46-10,47-4, 48-17,49-3,51-7,52-16,53-7,64-21,65-25,66-15,67-8,68-17,69-8,70-11,71-3,72-9,73-17,74-19,75-6, 76-2,77-15,78-8,79-4) out of 79 plants screened from the cross CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 of the F, generation were found to be double positives for Rf3 and Rf4 genes. The range of spikelet fertility for



Fig. 1b. RM171 marker amplification pattern of 86 F₄ families (1-86) of CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 at 340 bp and RM228 marker amplification pattern of 79 F₄ families (1-79) of CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 at 120 bp (L represents 100 bp ladder)







Fig. 2a.Percentage distribution of Rf genes in 86 plants (from 86 families) of the cross CBSN 25/WRM 21-24 of F_4 population

Fig. 2b. Percentage distribution of Rfgenes in 79 plants (from 79 families) of the cross CBSN 25/WRM 93-20 of F_4 population

these 47 plants possessing both Rf3 and Rf4 genes was from 82-97 % *i.e.* fertile to highly fertile. After stabilization, the plants identified for the presence of both Rf3 and Rf4 genes from the two crosses of the F_4 population can be used as male parents or restorer lines in CMS-based hybrid rice breeding programme to develop superior hybrid rice combinations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the ICAR for funding through 'Consortium Research Platform on hybrid technology of selected crops – Rice aimed for genetic improvement of restorer lines for hybrid rice.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadikhah, A. and Karlov, G. I. 2006. Molecular mapping of the fertility-restoration gene Rf4 for WA-cytoplasmic male sterility in rice. *Plant breeding*, **125**(4): 363-367. [Cross Ref]
- Alavi, M., Ahmadikhah, A., Kamkar, B. and Kalateh, M. 2009.Mapping Rf3 locus in rice by SSR and CAPS markers. Int J Genet MolBiol, 7: 121-126.
- Anandakumar, C. R. and Subramaniam, S. 1992. Genetics of fertility restoration in hybrid rice. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 83:994-996. [Cross Ref]
- Balaji Suresh, P., Srikanth, B., Hemanth Kishore, V., Subhakara Rao, I., Vemireddy, L. R., Dharika, N. and Neeraja, C. N. 2012. Fine mapping of Rf3 and Rf4 fertility restorer loci of WA-CMS of rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) and validation of the developed marker system for identification of restorer lines. *Euphytica*, **187**: 421-435. [Cross Ref]
- Bazrkar, L., Ali, A. J., Babaeian, N. A., Ebadi, A. A., Allahgholipour, M., Kazemitabar, K. and Nematzadeh, G. 2008.Tagging of four fertility restorer loci for wild abortive—cytoplasmic male sterility system in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) using microsatellite markers. *Euphytica*, **164:** 669-677. [Cross Ref]
- Cai, J., Liao, Q. P., Dai, Z. J., Zhu, H. T., Zeng, R. Z., Zhang, Z. M. and Zhang, G. Q. 2013. Allelic differentiations and effects of the Rf3 and Rf4 genes on fertility restoration in rice with wild abortive cytoplasmic male sterility. *Biologiaplantarum*, **57**(2): 274-280. [Cross Ref]
- Doyle, J. J. and Doyle, J. L. 1987.A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical bulletin*.
- Huang, C. S., Tseng, T. H. and Liu, C. 1986.Inheritance of fertility restoration of cytoplasmic male sterility in indica rice. In *Rice Genetics I: (In 2 Parts)* (pp. 649-654). [Cross Ref]

- Jing, R., Li, X., Yi, P. and Zhu, Y. 2001.Mapping fertilityrestoring genes of rice WA cytoplasmic male sterility using SSLP markers. *Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica*, 42.
- Kumar, A., Bhowmick, P. K., Singh, V. J., Malik, M., Gupta, A. K., Seth, R. and Singh, A. K. 2017.Markerassisted identification of restorer gene (s) in isocytoplasmic restorer lines of WA cytoplasm in rice and assessment of their fertility restoration potential across environments. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 23: 891-909. [Cross Ref]
- Nas TMS, Casal CL, and Li Z and Virmani, S.S.2003. Application of molecular markers for identification of restorers. Rice Genet News, **I20**:69–71.
- Neeraja, C. N., Balaji, S. P., Dharika, N.,Ramesha, M, S., Vemireddy. L, R., Sundaram. R, M., et al. 2009. Fine mapping of fertility restorer loci 'Rf3' and 'Rf4' for WA-CMS, In: 7th International Symposium on Rice Functional Genomics, 2009, 5-15, Manila, Philippines
- Nematzadeh, G. A. and Kiani, G. 2010.Genetic analysis of fertility restoration genes for WAtype cytoplasmic male sterility in Iranian restorer rice line DN-33-18. African journal of Biotechnology, 9(38): 6273-6277.
- Ngangkham, U., Parida, S. K., De, S., Anand Raj Kumar, K., Singh, A. K., Singh, N. K., and Mohapatra, T. 2010. Genic markers for wild abortive (WA) cytoplasm based male sterility and its fertility restoration in rice. *Molecular breeding*, **26:** 275-292. [Cross Ref]
- Prasanna, G., Eswari, K. B., Senguttuvel, P., Reddy, S. N., Sundaram, R. M., Beaulah, P. and Veerendra, J. 2022. Studies on genetic divergence and screening of parental lines for Rf3 and Rf4 genes through molecular markers in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **13**(4): 1170-1179. [Cross Ref]
- Revathi, P., Medoju, P., Singh, A. K., Sundaram, R. M., Raju, S., Senguttuve, P. and Viraktamath, B. C. 2013. Efficiency of molecular markers in identifying fertility restoration trait of WA-CMS system in rice. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **73**(01): 89-93. [Cross Ref]
- Sattari, M., Kathiresan, A., Gregorio, G. B. and Virmani, S. S. 2008. Comparative genetic analysis and molecular mapping of fertility restoration genes for WA, Dissi, and Gambiaca cytoplasmic male sterility systems in rice. *Euphytica*, **160**: 305-315. [Cross Ref]
- Sattari, M., Kathiresan, A., Gregorio, G. B., Hernandez, J. E., Nas, T. M. and Virmani, S. S. 2007. Development and use of a two-gene marker-aided selection system

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.122

for fertility restorer genes in rice. *Euphytica*, **153**: 35-42. [Cross Ref]

- Shah, G., Sasidharan, N., Chakraborty, S., Trivedi, R., Ravikiran, R. and Davla, D. 2012.Genetic diversity and molecular analysis for fertility restorer genes in Rice (Oryza sativa L.) for wild abortive (WA) cytoplasm using microsatellite markers. *J. Agric. Technol*, 8(1): 261-271.
- Sheeba, N. K., Viraktamath, B. C., Sivaramakrishnan, S., Gangashetti, M. G., Khera, P. and Sundaram, R. M. 2009. Validation of molecular markers linked to fertility restorer gene (s) for WA-CMS lines of rice. *Euphytica*, **167**: 217-227. [Cross Ref]
- Surender, R., Suneetha, K., Revathi, P. R., Aneela, M., Chiranjeevi, M., Nagaraju, P., and Rao, L. S. 2021. Screening of rice (*O. sativa* L.) germplasm accessions for fertility restoration genes Rf3 and Rf4. The Pharma Innov J, **10**(1): 296-299.
- Thippeswamy, S., Chandramohan, Y., Madhavilatha, B., Pravalika, K., Samreen, Z., Vinod, G. and Kalpana, E. 2014. Identification of gall midge resistant parental lines and validation of fertility restoration linked markers for hybrid rice technology. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 5(3): 415-427.
- Venkanna, V., Hari, Y., Devi, K. R., Shravan, G. and Shasthree, T. 2022. Marker assisted selection for two major fertility restorer genes in promising Warangal rice genotypes. *The Pharma Innov J*, **11**(6): 240-242.
- Virmani, S.S. 1996. Hybrid rice.*Adv Agron***57**:377–462. [Cross Ref]
- Yao, F. Y., Xu, C. G., Yu, S. B., Li, J., Gao, Y. J., Li, X. H. and Zhang, Q. 1997. Mapping and genetic analysis of two fertility restorer loci in the wildabortive cytoplasmic male sterility system of rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Euphytica*, **98**: 183-187.
- Zhang, G., Lu, Y., Bharaj, T. S., Virmani, S. S. and Huang, N. 1997.Mapping of the Rf-3 nuclear fertility-restoring gene for WA cytoplasmic male sterility in rice using RAPD and RFLP markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **94**: 27-33. [Cross Ref]