Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding



Research Article

Determination of breeding approaches for improvement of economic traits in Indian muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) through assessment of gene action

Mani Lohani¹, Mangaldeep Sarkar^{*2}, B. N. Chaudhari², N. K. Jadav², S. A. Aklade² and D. K. Singh¹

¹Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballav Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

²Faculty of Horticulture, Navsari Agricultural University, Waghai-394730, The Dangs, Gujarat, India ***E-Mail**: mangaldeepsarkar@gmail.com

Abstract

Muskmelon is an important cucurbitaceous vegetable due to its excellent taste, flavour, aroma and other guality traits present in its fruit. Architectural phenotypes of this crop have great potential to increase its fruit yield at the commercial level. Generation mean analysis was conducted in the year 2023 comprising five crosses of muskmelon (PMM-4A x Hara Madhu, PMM-4A x PMM-37, PMM-1 x Hara Madhu, PMM-32 x PMM-16, PMM-13 x PMM-16) derived from seven inbreds to study the gene action for implying correct breeding method to improve some important yield attributing traits. The results found in the present experiment suggest choosing specific breeding technique for a particular cross for improvement in a single character as both the type and intensity of gene action varied for a solitary trait in different crosses. Character-cross combination specified the role of simple additive gene for governing days to first female flower (Cross I and II) and total soluble solid content (Cross I, II, III and IV). Thus, simple pedigree method and selection in early generation could be adopted to improve these traits. Effect of dominance was observed in vine length (Cross-III) and number of fruits (Cross-II) where heterosis could be viable. Most of the cross combinations showed non allelic interaction in digenic level where duplicate epistasis was reported for all the traits like days to female flower (Cross III and IV), vine length (Cross I, II, IV and V), number of fruits per plant (Cross I and III), average fruit weight (Cross I, III, IV and V), fruit flesh thickness (Cross I, III,IV and V), fruit yield (Cross II and V) and TSS content (Cross V) indicating selection to be done in later generations at high intensity. Breeding methods like population improvement through biparental mating or recurrent selection would be effective to achieve sufficient improvement in these characters. On the contrary, the presence of complementary gene action in cross V for earliness, cross IV for number of fruits and cross II for fruit weight showed the significance of heterotic improvement.

Keyword: Gene action, Generation Mean Analysis, Muskmelon, Scaling test, Epistasis

INTRODUCTION

Identification of superior genotypes and development of new cultivars are the results of genetic recombination and further selection of plants exhibiting worthwhile phenotypes. The mode of gene action with the number of genes involved and its interaction with environment are the prerequisites in progress of quantitative genetic variation (Sprague, 1963). Determination of proper breeding technique for optimization of gene action more efficiently depends on the knowledge of the way genes act and interact (Fasoula and Fasoula, 1997). The type and magnitude of gene action, measured in terms of components of genetic variance are responsible for expression of quantitative traits in a breeding population and indispensable to choose the exact breeding strategy for improvement of such economic characters (Sharmila *et al.*, 2007). Increase in the number of segregating generations with higher number of observational plants are the factors to enhance the accuracy of gene effect

(Cavalli, 1952) controlling the inheritance for yield and yield attributing traits (Gamble, 1962). Generation mean analysis (Hayman, 1958) is the most appropriate quantitative biometric approach (Mather and Jinks, 1982) to estimate the kind and extent of gene action based on the phenotypic performance of specific quantitative trait on maximum possible plant individuals in primary investigational breeding generations (Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). This method can assess the main genetic effect and most importantly their digenic epistatic interaction liable for inheritance of quantitative characters. It produces the mean genotypic value of an individual and can calculate the same for the families or generations (Pooni and Treharne, 1994) and ultimately enables the breeders to recognize the capability of the parents involved in crosses as well as the potential of crosses to be utilized either for heterotic exploration or pedigree method (Sharma and Sain, 2003).

Muskmelon (Cucumismelo L.; 2n=2x=24) is one of the commercial warm season vegetables of cucurbitaceae family grown all over the tropical and subtropical parts of the world with Asian origin (Schaefer et al., 2009; Sebastian et al., 2010) having centre of diversity from Mediterranean Sea to East Asia (Pitrat, 2008). Melon fruits are mostly consumed fresh and its economic importance lies in its refreshing sweetness and flavour, uniquely pleasant aroma, texture and nutritional enrichment (Lester, 2008;Weng et al., 2021). The pulp has low calorific value with appreciable quantity of ascorbic acid, folic acid and potassium with phenolics, flavonoids and tochopherols and thereby a good source of antioxidants (Lohani et al., 2023; Hiremata et al., 2024). In addition, the orange fleshed cultivars are rich in beta carotene (Lester and Hodges, 2008; Laur and Tian, 2011). The crop is highly cross pollinated and most diverse species under genus Cucumis (Bates and Robinson, 1995) due to existence of wide morphological diversity specially in fruit traits like shape, size, firmness, rind pattern, skin fragrance, pulp colour and texture, aroma and sugar content (Mitchell et al., 2007; Pitrat, 2008; Fergany et al., 2011; Pitrat, 2016). Higher productivity, uniform fruit size and shape with admirable quality are the primary requirements for varietal release in this crop. There is an association between yield and several characters like days to anthesis, vine length, number of primary branches, number of fruits and average fruit weight (Lippert and Hall, 1982; Kultur et al., 2001; Abdalla and Aboul-Nasr, 2002; Taha et al., 2003). Thus, an insight in to the genetic control of these traits is of prime importance for yield improvement. Although fruit weight and other associated traits are reported (Lippert and Legg, 1972; Monforte et al., 2004; Feyzian et al., 2009) to be controlled both by simple additive and dominance effect, the complete information regarding the nature and extent of gene action in terms of genetic components of variance including the most important digenic epistatic interaction for expression and inheritance of the above said yield contributing traits in muskmelon are scarcely any (Zalapa et al., 2006). The

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigational resources consisted of five crosses of muskmelon (PMM-4A x Hara Madhu, PMM-4A x PMM-37, PMM-1 x Hara Madhu, PMM-32 x PMM-16, PMM-13 x PMM-16) derived through manual pollination from seven inbred lines of diverse morphological background (Table 1). F₁ plants were selfed to generate F₂ seeds and backcrossed with both the parents to produce the seeds of B₁ and B₂. Therefore, a total of six populations were developed, each including two parents (P₁ and P₂), F₁, F₂, B, and B, generations. The developed generations with both the parents were grown under open field situation during 2023, at Vegetable Research Centre, Govind Ballav Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, located at an elevation of 243.83 m above MSL, 29º North latitude and 79.3º East longitude under Tarai belt of Shiwalik ranges of Himalayas. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and healthy crop stand was maintained by following the recommended agronomic practices. The quantitative observations were taken from 10 plants in each parent, 20 plants in F₁, 40 plants in B₁ and B₂ and 120 plants in F, generations. The traits under observation were days to first female flowering, vine length (cm), number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit flesh thickness (cm), total fruit yield (q/ha) and total soluble solid (^oBrix). Five ripe marketable fruits were taken at random and total soluble solids were measured by digital refractometer (Atago Digital Refractometer by ATAGO CO. LTD, Tokyo, Japan).

Presuming the existence of non-homogenous variances in all the populations the generation mean analysis of six populations and scaling test were executed. The six generations were employed to conduct scaling test (Cavalli, 1952) in order to test the adequacy of six parameter model. Among the four scales (A, B, C and D) as described by Mather (1949) and Hayman and Mather (1955), the significance of A and B specifies the existence of all three types (additive x additive [i], additive x dominance [j] and dominance x dominance [l]) of non-allelic interaction. Significance of C scale indicates the presence of dominance x dominance [I] while D points to the additive x additive [i] epistatic interaction. The mean values of populations for all the generations were employed to estimate the components of genetic variances and evaluate the types of gene action for all the traits (Hayman, 1958; Mather and Jinks, 1971 and 1982). The mid parent [m], additive [d], dominance [h], additive x additive [i], additive x dominance [i] and dominance x dominance [I] are the components of six parameter or digenic interaction model. Student's 't' test was performed at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability

present study was undertaken to gain information about

gene action including non-allelic interaction regulating

S.No.	Parent	Morphological trait	Source
1	PMM-4A	Andromonoecious, intermediate growth, elongated globe shaped fruit with pointed peduncle end, yellowish green rind colour and creamy white flesh with high TSS content	PCPGR, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand
2	Hara Madhu	Andromonoecious, viny growth, round shaped large fruit with prominent green suture, light yellow rind colour and light green crispy flesh with high TSS content	PAU, Ludhiana, Punjab
3	PMM-37	Andromonoecious, bushy growth habit, ovate shaped fruit with tapering peduncle end, creamy white rind colour and yellowish green thin flesh with low TSS content	PCPGR, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand
4	PMM-1	Andromonoecious, determinate type, round shaped fruit with yellow rind colour and creamy white medium flesh thickness with moderate TSS content	CIAH, Bikaner, Rajasthan
5	PMM-32	Andromonoecious, long vine, oval shaped fruit with yellowish green rind and greenish white thick mesocarp and high TSS content	CHES, Godhra, Gujarat
6	PMM-16	Andromonoecious, long vine, round shaped fruit with yellow rind and creamy white thick mesocarp and high TSS content	RARI, Durgapura, Rajasthan
7	PMM-13	Andromonoecious, long vine, ovate shaped fruit with prominent suture and truncated peduncle end, creamy white rind and light yellow thick mesocarp and high TSS content	RARI, Durgapura, Rajasthan

Table 1. Morphological features and source of muskmelon inbred lines

(Singh and Singh,1992) to estimate the significance of m, d, h, i, j and I. The presence of similar as well as dissimilar signs of [h] and [I] for a particular cross in a specific trait determined the complementary and duplicate gene action, respectively (Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). The software used for the statistical analysis was Plant Breeder Tools (PBT, 2013) developed by International Rice Research Institute, Philippines.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the traits under investigation significantly differed among six generations as revealed through the results of analysis of variance which represented the existence of adequate genetic variability as well as illustrated the feasibility for selection of desirable yield and its attributing characters in muskmelon. The progress of any plant breeding programme with the competence of selection and expression of heterosis largely depends upon the magnitude of genetic variability present in the plant population (Singh and Narayanan, 1993; Singh and Chaudhary, 1981). An insight in to the genetic mechanism controlling the expression and inheritance of character is most required for genetic improvement in any crop to develop superior genotypes with all desirable traits.

Analysis of generation means: The trait-mean values with their standard error analyzed under the present experiment for six generations of five cross combinations of muskmelon are presented in **Table 2**. Each inbred parental line involved in each cross showed significant differences for all the traits except days to first female flowering in cross-V; thus substantiating their utility in producing segregating populations. Among all, parent PMM 37 was found best for days to first flowering (38.66) and vine length (4.66 m) while in economic characters like number of fruits per plant (7.60), average fruit weight

populations with broad genetic base. F, generations in all the five crosses showed a blended expression of significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parent for vine length, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, fruit flesh thickness and total fruit yield showing the existence of unidirectional dominance and therefore, higher trait mean due to accumulation of superior alleles ultimately enhancing the trait's phenotype as depicted by Bernardo, 2020 and also found by Zalapa et al., 2006 and Ranjitha et al., 2023, in muskmelon and Kere et al., 2013 in cucumber. Trait-mean values for days to first female flowering and TSS content resulted in both positive and negative significant way over the parental ones depicting the presence of bidirectional dominance as shown by Zalapa et al., 2006 in muskmelon for number of primary branches and Zdunic et al., 2008 regarding grain starch content in maize hybrids. F, generation showed intermediate performance for specific crosses in total soluble solid, fruit flesh thickness and vine length depicting the role of incomplete dominance for these traits to be expressed as reported by Panigrahi et al., 2023 and Kumari et al., 2015, in bitter gourd. On the contrary most of the crosses were found superior to the mid parent value for all the quantitative traits. Some exhibited superior performance than better parent in all quantitative traits except total soluble solids showing the effect of over dominance which can be exploited through heterosis breeding. Similar observations were reported by Dey et al., 2012 and Hossain et al., 2016 for earliness, vine length and fruit yield in bitter melon. F₂ generation

(1230.12 g) and total fruit yield (263.17 q/ha) PMM-1 was

the most superior. Fruit flesh thickness and TSS content

were found maximum in parent PMM-16 (4.53 cm) and

PMM-4A (14.15°Brix), respectively. Significant differences

in mean values of quantitative characters among parental

inbred lines confirmed their ability to produce segregating

Table 2. Comparison of means of six generations for various characters in five crosses of muskmelon

Character			Populat	ions		
	P ₁	P ₂	F ₁	F ₂	BCP ₁	BCP ₂
Days to first fer	nale flowering					
C-I	42.33(0.33)	39.00(0.21)	44.00(0.21)	41.00(0.10)	41.33(0.33)	39.33(0.33)
C-II	42.33(0.33)	38.66(0.33)	45.33(0.12)	42.00(0.10)	43.66(0.33)	40.33(0.33)
C-III	42.33(0.12)	39.00(0.21)	36.33(0.12)	33.00(0.10)	43.66(0.12)	40.66(0.25)
C-IV	44.33(0.33)	39.33(0.45)	35.33(0.33)	32.66(0.16)	43.33(0.33)	41.33(0.45)
C-V	39.33(0.12)	39.33(0.45)	35.66(0.12)	32.00(0.10)	41.00(0.21)	41.00(0.43)
Vine length (m)						
C-I	2.56 (0.02)	2.99 (0.02)	3.03 (0.03)	3.6 (0.01)	3.20 (0.05)	3.91 (0.01)
C-II	2.56(0.02)	4.66(0.01)	3.95(0.02)	3.74(0.00)	3.54(0.01)	4.43(0.01)
C-III	3.46(0.03)	2.99(0.02)	3.24(0.01)	3.11(0.00)	3.76(0.03)	3.14(0.03)
C-IV	4.24(0.02)	3.41(0.01)	4.03(0.02)	3.76(0.00)	4.03(0.01)	4.25(0.02)
C-V	4.16(0.04)	3.41(0.01)	4.36(0.03)	4.18(0.02)	4.82(0.03)	4.41(0.03)
Number of fruit	s per plant					
C-I	5.87 (0.24)	6.37 (0.66)	6.53 (0.35)	6.99 (0.41)	7.01 (0.35)	6.89 (0.33)
C-II	5.87 (0.24)	5.35 (0.24)	7.56 (0.26)	7.27 (0.44)	6.83 (0.27)	7.01 (0.32)
C-III	7.60 (0.23)	6.37 (0.66)	7.53 (0.87)	9.17 (1.09)	7.47 (0.54)	9.23 (0.48)
C-IV	5.27 (0.37)	7.24 (0.32)	8.22 (0.54)	7.91 (0.46)	8.12 (0.47)	8.99 (0.59)
C-V	7.00 (0.58)	7.24 (0.32)	10.34 (0.46)	9.89 (0.48)	11.43 (0.87)	10.63 (0.49)
Average fruit w	eight (g)					
C-I	792.77 (20.30)	858.69 (19.90)	1414.56 (83.64)	682.99 (9.97)	753.88 (28.31)	582.78 (40.11)
C-II	792.77 (20.30)	762.45 (90.56)	1314.83 (28.34)	561.92 (13.14)	689.28 (38.02)	424.00 (35.35)
C-III	1230.12 (45.06)	858.69 (19.90)	1230.49 (36.76)	723.81 (66.15)	732.76 (14.45)	540.80 (77.66)
C-IV	833.83 (33.34)	993.22 (37.06)	1347.59 (94.93)	851.37 (66.80)	699.37 (26.37)	450.65 (15.49)
C-V	1149.11 (26.40)	993.22 (37.06)	1012.78 (25.62)	1102.93 (23.1)	986.42 (31.63)	953.37 (28.39)
Fruit flesh thick	ness (cm)					
C-I	2.63(0.09)	3.98(0.04)	3.76(0.02)	3.15(0.02)	2.86(0.07)	4.08(0.05)
C-II	2.63(0.09)	3.45(0.08)	3.78(0.01)	3.30(0.00)	2.81(0.08)	3.76(0.07)
C-III	3.20(0.05)	3.98(0.04)	3.55(0.01)	3.20(0.00)	3.45(0.05)	4.15(0.04)
C-IV	4.08(0.04)	4.53(0.04)	4.33(0.02)	3.96(0.02)	4.28(0.05)	4.70(0.02)
C-V	4.40(0.09)	4.53(0.04)	4.85(0.01)	4.26(0.01)	4.61(0.07)	5.73(0.41)
Total fruit yield	(q/ha)					
C-I	202.77 (4.49)	205.61 (10.93)	206.45 (8.56)	201.88 (11.51)	206.73 (13.12)	252.97(11.10)
C-II	202.77 (4.49)	200.19 (12.57)	218.82 (10.81)	215.45 (10.01)	216.45 (10.66)	198.60(10.26)
C-III	263.17 (13.01)	205.61 (10.93)	260.84 (10.96)	209.25 (12.01)	193.69 (11.61)	203.58(6.00)
C-IV	207.60 (7.46)	240.58 (6.00)	228.22 (12.43)	196.57 (10.88)	202.87 (11.38)	217.98(10.49)
C-V	241.50 (7.08)	240.58 (6.00)	246.06 (10.66)	192.94 (12.92)	205.61 (10.93)	218.98(12.42)
Total Soluble S	olid (º Brix)					
C-I	14.15 (0.08)	10.28 (0.04)	10.03 (0.59)	11.00 (0.35)	10.96 (0.33)	8.33(0.08)
C-II	14.15 (0.08)	8.92(0.10)	7.76(0.31)	7.86(0.12)	9.36(0.46)	7.22(0.12)
C-III	11.46 (0.20)	10.28 (0.04)	11.09 (0.86)	10.42 (0.24)	9.46(0.38)	9.37(0.35)
C-IV	10.11 (0.15)	9.25(0.10)	9.36 (0.20)	10.80 (0.20)	8.92(0.08)	9.46(0.38)
C-V	8.32(0.22)	9.25(0.10)	7.75(0.16)	8.00(0.20)	7.46(0.20)	7.76(0.31)

C-I = PMM-4A x Hara Madhu, C-II = PMM-4A x PMM-37, C-III = PMM-1 x Hara Madhu, C-IV = PMM-32 x PMM-16, C-V = PMM-13 x PMM-16

showed wide variation for all the traits for inbreeding depression as well as transgressive segregation in both positive and negative directions. In the mean values of the characters like days to first female flowering, vine length and number of fruits per plant F2 progeny fell outside the range of either parents concluding the role of positive or negative complementary effect of additive alleles, epistatic interactions of unique parental elements, over dominance, or any amalgamations of these mechanisms towards inheritance of these traits in muskmelon (De los Reyes, 2019). Inbreeding depression was found more in traits like average fruit weight, fruit flesh thickness, total fruit yield and total soluble solid content and scattered in specific crosses for days to first female flowering and vine length. This could be due to the occurrence of maximum segregation of desirable alleles producing higher frequency of inferior segregants in particular crosses (Angadi, 2015; Rao, 2017). Although being a quality trait, the TSS content showed slight degree of inbreeding depression. Mackay et al., 2021 reviewed the same outcome in muskmelon. These results depicted the role of heterotic effect and non-additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits. Both the backcross progenies (B₁ and B₂) showed differences for their parental involvement and the results also revealed that their performance tended towards the respective parents in most of the studied characters (Habiba et al., 2016) depicting the presence of both additive and dominance gene action. The present results analyzing the generation means could also not specify the gene action and pattern of inheritance of the studied traits precisely. Therefore, these findings are not enough evidence in support of performing simple selection methods for improving these economic characters in muskmelon.

Analysis of interaction through scaling test: The test of adequacy of scale through scaling test (Hayman, 1958) is a way to distinguish interacting and non-interacting crosses or in other words, to discover the competence of simple additive dominance model or presence of nonallelic interaction. In this method, four tests (A, B, C and D) for scale effects have been employed. When the scale is adequate, the values of A, B, C and D would be nonsignificant detecting the adequacy of simple additive dominance gene action and absence of epistasis. If the scales are significant, A and B specify additive × dominance (j), C designates dominance × dominance (I) while D denotes additive × additive (i) type of gene interactions for particular traits in the crosses. The results of the scaling tests (Table 3) revealed that none among the five crosses had non-significant scale value for any of the seven quantitative characters indicating the inadequacy of simple additive dominance model and presence of digenic or higher order epistasis depicting moderate difficulty for trait improvement. Days to first female flowering, number of fruits per plant and fruit flesh thickness showed significance for each scale for all the crosses indicating the presence of all three types of non-allelic interactions demonstrating the complexity for inheritance of these

traits. The same result was also observed for cross I (PMM-4A x Hara Madhu) and IV (PMM-32 x PMM-16) for vine length and cross IV and V (PMM-13 x PMM-16) for average fruit weight. Similar findings were recorded by Senthil *et al.*, 2024 in mungbean, Zalapa *et al.*, 2006 and Javanmard *et al.*, 2018 in muskmelon and Tiwari *et al.*, 2011 in cucumber.

Gene action: The investigation through six parameter model (Jinks and Jones, 1958) revealed the inadequacy of simple additive and dominance gene effect in most of the crosses for inheritance of each quantitative trait and presence of all kinds of epistatic interactions (Table 4). The significance of mean [m] for all the quantitative characters denotes that the combined input of overall mean, locus effects and interaction of fixed loci was noteworthy and the traits were quantitatively inherited. Only days to first flowering for C-II (PMM-4A x PMM-37) showed the adequacy of both simple additive (d) and dominance (h) genetic components for inheritance. Thus, improvement of earliness in flowering could be achieved by selection of transgressive segregants through adopting pedigree method followed by heterosis breeding (Pandey and Rai, 2006). Total soluble solids [C-II and C-III (PMM-1 x Hara Madhu)] resulted in competence of only additive genetic component significantly. Therefore, breeding strategy should be simple pedigree method and selection in early generation for effective improvement of TSS. These findings are in congruence with Pandey and Rai, 2006 in pumpkin, Kere et al., 2013 and Delaney and Lower and 1987 in cucumber. Significant and positive values of h were recorded for days to first flowering (C-II, III), vine length (C-III), number of fruits per plant (C-I, II, IV), average fruit weight (C-II), total fruit yield and total soluble solids (C-V). Fruit flesh thickness showed the same for all the crosses indicating the predominance of dominant gene effect. Thus, selection should be delayed for these traits till heterozygosity is reduced in population (Rathod et al., 2021).

The results of present study further indicated that all the three types of non-allelic interactions with predominance of additive x additive (i) and dominance x dominance (I) effects. The pattern of gene action varied for the same trait in different cross combinations. Days to first female flowering showed both kind of non-allelic interaction. Crosses III and IV indicated earliness as additive genetic component was significant and negative, denoting the efficiency of pedigree selection to select desirable segregants (Mistry et al., 2016). They also expressed duplicate epistasis since the signs of h and I were in opposite directions advocating the intensity of selection to be mild for earlier and strong in later generations. Cross V reported both h and I in negative direction suggesting complementary gene action and indicating dominance at the loci towards early flowering, implying desirable heterosis in negative direction for producing individuals with earliness. These findings are in conformity with the findings of Shahi et al., 2006 and Choudhary and

Table 3. Significance of scaling test and identification of interacting and non-interacting crosses in musk	kmelon
---	--------

Character		Scalir	ng test		Character	Scaling	test	
	Α	В	С	D	А	В	С	D
Days to first fe	male flowerin	g			Vine length (m))		
C-I	-3.66** (±0.77)	-4.33** (0.73)	-5.33** (0.72)	1.33* (0.51)	-0.61** (0.12)	-0.01 (0.05)	0.60** (0.09)	0.61** (0.06)
C-II	-0.33	-3.33**	-3.66**	3.56	-0.63**	0.18**	-0.08	0.18**
	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.68)	(0.32)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.02)
C-III	8.66**	6.00**	-22.00**	-18.33**	-0.05	-0.10	-0.55**	-0.20**
	(0.30)	(0.56)	(0.55)	(0.35)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.06)	(0.05)
C-IV	7.00**	8.00**	-23.66**	-19.33**	-0.21**	0.05	-1.66**	-0.75**
	(0.81)	(1.06)	(1.08)	(0.65)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.03)
C-V	7.00** (0.47)	7.00** (0.99)	-22.00** (0.68)	-18.00** (0.53)	0.54** (0.08)	-1.28** (0.07)	0.25 (0.13)	0.49** (0.06)
Number of frui	its per plant	. ,		. ,	Average fruit w	eight (g)		
C-I	3.60**	3.00**	-7.40**	-7.00**	-699.55**	-1107.68**	-1748.59**	-29.32
	(0.34)	(0.29)	(0.21)	(0.21)	(103.03)	(117.59)	(174.32)	(52.99)
C-II	0.53*	-1.06**	-1.46**	-0.46*	-952.39**	-12.56.29**	-2187.55**	10.56
	(0.25)	(0.26)	(0.30)	(0.18)	(85.35)	(118.34)	(121.96)	(58.20)
C-III	-1.20**	-0.73**	2.46**	2.20**	-968.09**	-884.35**	-1504.33**	174.06
	(0.30)	(0.15)	(0.19)	(0.15)	(64.94)	(183.51)	(292.68)	(154.10)
C-IV	-0.86*	-0.86**	0.66	1.20**	-782.68**	-1349.52**	-1026.75**	552.73**
	(0.31)	(0.27)	(0.35)	(0.21)	(113.56)	(106.52)	(331.56)	(137.05)
C-V	0.60*	-3.06**	2.73**	2.60**	-882.08**	-1251.02**	-1214.15**	642.52**
	(0.22)	(0.17)	(0.22)	(0.12)	(123.52)	(117.52)	(301.43)	(128.45)
Fruit flesh thic	kness (cm)				Total fruit yield	(q/ha)		
C-I	-0.66**	0.41**	-1.55**	-0.65**	-43.76	58.92*	-32.69	-23.92
	(0.17)	(0.11)	(0.14)	(0.09)	(27.96)	(24.54)	(49.68)	(28.72)
C-II	-0.78**	0.30	-0.45**	0.01	-32.71	-190.79**	-123.35*	50.07
	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.10)	(23.27)	(26.45)	(49.78)	(25.69)
C-III	0.15	0.76**	-1.48**	-1.20**	154.57**	-28.95	154.65**	14.51
	(0.13)	(0.09)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(25.43)	(28.38)	(50.75)	(27.36)
C-IV	0.15	0.53**	-1.41**	-1.05**	84.77**	-12.43	115.48*	21.57
	(0.12)	(0.07)	(0.12)	(0.07)	(28.42)	(24.79)	(46.56)	(25.38)
C-V	-0.01	2.08*	-1.56**	-1.81**	155.84**	59.05*	77.52	-68.68*
	(0.17)	(0.82)	(0.11)	(0.41)	(25.72)	(28.59)	(50.02)	(26.77)
Total Soluble S	Solid (º Brix)							
C-I	0.67 (0.89)	0.65 (0.61)	-5.92** (12.83)	-2.30** (0.78)				
C-II	-1.18 (0.98)	-0.52 (0.41)	-6.7** (0.80)	-2.50** (0.53)	C-III = PMM-1	x Hara Madhu	ı, C-II = PMM-4 , C-IV = PMM-3 , B, C and D aı	32 x PMM-16
C-III	-2.17* (0.92)	0.75 (1.15)	-3.01 (2.15)	-0.79 (0.75)	measure scale		ant at 5% levels	
C-IV	-2.20** (0.49)	-3.16** (0.28)	-7.09 (0.94)	-0.86 (0.47)	-		-	
C-V	-0.01 (0.17)	2.08* (0.82)	-1.56** (0.11)	-1.81** (0.41)				

Singh, 2010 in cucumber and Bohn and Davis, 1957 in muskmelon. Both additive and dominance gene effects were found negatively significant in vine length (C-I and II) decreasing the heterosis in positive direction. Only cross-V was found having positively significant d value depicting the role of simple selection for its improvement (Rathod

et al., 2021). Crosses-III and IV both showed significant h and i effect for vine length in desired direction. Cross-III depicts the significance for heterotic improvement as the h value is higher than i whereas Cross-IV established the role of simple selection since i value surpassed h. All the non-allelic interactions reported in vine length

Days to first female flowering C-I 41 00**(+0 10)	3wering 41 00**(+0 10)						
		1 98**/D 47)	0 66/1 07)	-2 56*(1 03)	0 33(0 51)	10 66**/2 02)	;
C-=-	42.00**(0.10)	4.68**(1.06)	3.13**(0.47)		1.50(0.52)	3.66(2.00)	1
C-III	33.00**(0.10)	-3.00**(0.28)	30.23**(0.72)	34.56**(0.70)	1.33(0.30)	$-51.33^{**}(1.25)$	Duplicate
2-0	32.66**(0.16)	-2.14**(0.56)	32.16**(1.37)	38.66**(1.30) 26.00**/1.06)	-0.50(0.63)	-53.66**(2.50)	Complementary
Vine lenath (m)	72.00 (0.10)	(06.0)00.1	(en.1) ch.cc-		I	(00.2) 60.10-	
	3.86** (0.01)	-0.69** (0.05)	-0.63** (0.13)	-1.32** (0.13)	-0.30(0.06)	1.79**(0.25)	Duplicate
C-II	3.86**(0.00)	-1.22**(0.01)	-0.36**(0.05)	-0.181**(0.04)	-0.40(0.02)	0.81**(0.09)	Duplicate
C-III	3.75**(0.00)	-0.10(0.05)	0.81**(0.11)	0.38**(0.11)	0.02(0.06)	-0.25(0.23)	I
2-2	3.76**(0.00) F F0**(0.00)	-0.21**(0.02)	1.20**(0.06)	1.50**(0.06)	-0.13(0.02)	-1.34**(0.11)	Duplicate
Viumber of fruits per plant	lant	0.30 (0.04)	-0.30 (0.14)	(01.0) 68.0-	(00.0)18.0	(77.0) 04.1	nuplicate
	9 60**(0 02)	0 71**(0 21)	11 16**(0 44)	13 98**(0 43)	0 30(0 21)	-20 60**(0 87)	Dunlicate
	11 93**(0 04)	1.69**(0.15)	2 01**(0 38)	1 01*(0.36)	0.80(0.17)	-0.40(0.70)	
	12.40**(0.02)	-1.46**(0.14)	-1.30**(0.32)	-3.45**(0.31)	-0.26(0.16)	6.33**(0.62)	Duplicate
C-I<	13.06**(0.06)	-0.98**(0.17)	2.11**(0.45)	-2.40**(0.43)	-0.79(0.12)	3.06**(0.79)	Complementary
C-V	16.60**(0.02)	3.53**(0.11)	-0.23(0.26)	-4.28**(0.24)	1.83(0.12)	5.68**(0.50)	-
Average fruit weight ((d)						
	884.35**(106.93)	-32.96(14.21)	-1335.60**(319.30)	-58.62(105.98)	408.12*(102.22)	1865.81*(262.59)	Duplicate
C-II	896.95**(125.60)	113.32(47.16)	1785.01**(359.10)	-21.16(116.40)	303.91(140.29)	2229.89**(290.87)	Complementary
C-Ⅲ	1344.18*(312.29)	233.83*(50.57)	-2340.84*(727.34)	-347.92(308.17)	-83.81(187.59)	2200.26**(430.67)	Duplicate
C-IV	1973.81*(275.23)	-34.69(24.92)	-3863.76**(577.74)	-1105.29(274.09)	566.84**(78.86)	3237.51**(353.36)	Duplicate
C-V	1693.81**(225.03)	-137.69(49.80)	-3920.96**(569.14)	-1805.49(256.88)	677.37**(82.86)	3329.43**(342.16)	Duplicate
Fruit flesh thickness ((cm)						
	3.15**(0.02)	-1.21**(0.08)	1.75**(0.20)	1.30**(0.19)	-0.54(0.10)	-1.05**(0.38)	Duplicate
	3.30**(0.00)	-0.95**(0.10)	0.70**(0.22)	-0.03(0.21)	-0.54(0.12)	0.51(0.45)	1
C-III	3.20**(0.00)	$-0.70^{**}(0.07)$	2.35**(0.15)	2.40**(0.14)	-0.30(0.08)	-3.31 ** (0.30)	Duplicate
C-IV	3.96**(0.02)	-0.41**(0.06)	2.12**(0.15)	-2.10**(0.14)	-0.19(0.06)	-2.78**(0.27)	Duplicate
C-V Total famit vialal (alba)	4.26**(0.01)	-1.11*(0.41)	4.01**(0.83)	3.63**(0.83)	-1.05(0.41)	-5./0**(1.66)	Duplicate
<u>Iotal Iruit yielu (q/ria)</u>	110 004/111 10/	10 00**00 11/	01 01/100 001	11 01/17	100 004/0L 10/		
	(90.10) 2.82 11	-18.90""(3.75) 20.40*/6.67	95.65(138.96)	(C4.1C)C8.14	-102.08"(35.18)	-03.U2(84.82)	
= = 5	333.11 (31.02) 760 16**/55 16)	-30.19 (0.07)	-393.91 (123.26)	- 100.14(51.39)	100.00 (31.03)	323.04 (13.94)	nuplicate
≡≥	200.40 (33.10) 225 56**/51 27)	-31.12 (0.30) 58 16**/7 16)	- 10.00(133.17) 13 28/126 20)	-23.02(34.12) 43 14(50 77)	07 10*/24 05)	-30.00(02.13) 20.10(78.05)	I
	56 34(54 31)	-69 45**(9 04)	501 09**(131 45)	137 36(53 55)	96 78*(36 41)	-25.15(10:35) -352 26**(80.61)	Dunlicate
Total Soluble Solid (^o Brix)	Brix)	(10.0) 01.00	61.101 00100	(00:00)00:001	(1.1.00) 0.100	00000	2222
	5.32**(1.56)	4.64**(0.06)	5.98(3.52)	4.60(1.56)	-0.01(0.69)	-3.28**(2.28)	1
C-II	4.31**(1.07)	4.83**(0.06)	6.75(3.05)	4.99(1.07)	-0.66(0.96)	-3.30(2.07)	I
C-Ⅲ	8.87**(1.50)	5.99**(0.11)	0.47(3.60)	1.60(1.50)	-2.91(0.82)	-0.18(2.68)	I
C-I∕	8.41**(0.95)	$5.25^{**}(0.08)$	-3.63(2.17)	1.73(0.94)	0.96(0.48)	3.62*(1.30)	I
C-V	4.26**(0.01)	-1.11(0.41)	4.01**(0.83)	3.63* [*] (0.83)	-1.05(0.41)	-5.70** (1.66)	Duplicate

Mani Lohani et al.,

https://doi.org/10.37992/2025.1602.022

were of duplicate type demonstrating the approach of population improvement through bi-parental mating or recurrent selection to be more efficient for accumulation of desirable genes and also to break unwanted linkages. The results agree with the findings of Mistry et al., 2016 in brinjal for plant height, Sanandia et al., 2010, in sponge gourd, Munshi and Verma, 1998 in muskmelon, Delaney and Lower, 1987 in cucumber, Panigrahi et al., 2023 in bitter gourd and Kaur et al., 2018 in summer squash for vine length. Among the economic traits, number of fruits per plant showed a combination of non-allelic interaction and effect of both genetic components. Based on the results, it could be inferred that bulk or pedigree method would be effective for improvement of this trait (Rathod et al., 2021) as both d and h are significant in desirable direction (crosses-I and II). Since additive effect is larger than dominance, the character needs selection in early segregating generation (Javanmard et al., 2018). The dominance x dominance type (I) of non-allelic interaction influenced the inheritance of fruit number (Celine and Sirohi, 1998) in crosses III, IV and V suggesting to adopt recurrent selection or heterosis breeding to improve this trait. Prevalence of both duplicate (C-I and III) and complementary (C-IV) type of epistasis advocates the implementation of population improvement and heterosis breeding respectively, for improvement of this character. The observations are in agreement with the findings of Tiwari et al., 2011;Sun et al., 2006 and Shahi et al., 2006 in cucumber, Rani et al., 2013 and Tewari et al., 1998 in bitter gourd, Chadha et al., 1972 and Jose et al., 2005 in muskmelon. The trait average fruit weight directly contributes towards fruit yield of individual plant in muskmelon. The results of the studied crosses showed the control of dominant gene effect in governing this character (Mistry et al., 2016, Selvakumar et al., 2021). There is an indication of contribution of recessive alleles from male due to expression of dominance component with negative sign for all the crosses except cross-II. In this trait, only cross-III showed the effect of additive genetic component in desirable direction whereas cross-II resulted in dominance gene action. The negative sign of additive x additive interaction shows dispersion of alleles in parents. Many dominant genes in a progressive way have small effects in controlling the expression of this particular trait as all the crosses showed epistasis with prevalence of dominance and dominance x dominance gene interaction which is also the same reason behind inability of isolating recombinant lines expressing improvement in this character (Aravindkumar, 2004). Therefore, all the cross combinations were valuable foundation of complementary dominant genes and interallelic gene combinations for exploiting this trait through hybrid vigour. Hence, heterosis breeding and population improvement through recurrent selection for specific combining ability would be the options to be taken on to exploit dominant variances and the epistasis with elevated intensity of dominant interactions (Mohanty et al., 1999; Chandrakumar, 2006; Kaur et al., 2018). Regarding fruit flesh thickness, each cross showed dominance gene action in the desirable

direction but the interaction of dominance x dominance resulted significantly in the undesirable direction. The duplicate epistasis revealed the hindrance of improvement through selection as it decreases the variation in F₂ and subsequent generations. As a consequence, the selection should be delayed to later generation to achieve a high level of homozygosity and gene fixation. This finding is in agreement with the observations of Kaur et al., 2018 in bitter gourd, Mohan et al., 2012 in ash gourd, Sirohi and Ghoruri, 1993 and Mohanty et al., 1999 in pumpkin and Aravindkumar, 2004 and Javanmard et al., 2018 in muskmelon and Selvakumar et al., 2021 in carrot. Fruit yield showed the positive and significant response of additive x dominance with negative directional values of dominance x dominance gene interaction in combination with duplicate epistasis disclosing the role of selection in later generations, hybrid vigour and population improvement through biparental mating or recurrent selection in efficient accumulation of desirable genes and/or to split unwanted linkages for improvement of this character. These observations agree with the reports of Kaur et al., 2018 and Rathod et al. 2021 in bitter gourd, Pandey and Rai, 2006 in pumpkin, Delaney and Lower, 1987, Tiwari et al., 2011 and Kere et al., 2013 in cucumber and Bohn and Davis, 1957; Chadha et al., 1972; Jose et al., 2005, and Javanmard et al., 2018 in muskmelon. Total soluble solid (TSS) is an extremely important quality trait. In our experiment, this trait was observed to be influenced by additive genetic variance and all the crosses reported no epistasis except C-IV with duplicate type of digenic interaction. Most of the crosses showed dominance x dominance interaction in undesirable direction depicting the inefficiency of heterosis. Based on the results it could be concluded that simple selection in earlier generations would be effective (Javanmard et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2022) to get progenies with higher TSS content.

The results obtained in the present experiment recommend implementation of specific breeding strategy for a particular cross combination in order to get improvement in a single trait as both the type and intensity of genetic component of variance varied for controlling a solitary character in different crosses. Simple selection in earlier generation through pedigree breeding could be adopted to improve the characters governed by additive gene effect. Dominance with complementary type of nonallelic interaction could be exploited through heterosis breeding or by recurrent selection for specific combining ability. Traits governed by both additive and non-additive type of genetic components are challenging to improve through direct selection. Therefore, recombination breeding followed by selection in later generations would be the way of achievement in this case. Recurrent selection would be an option of population improvement by mitigating the exposure of deleterious recessive alleles from selected selfed progeny. Despite having high degree of dominance and dominance x dominance interactions the hybrid vigour could not be exploited due to the presence of duplicate epistasis for most of the traits

under this study. In such crosses, selecting a superior plant in earlier generations should be lenient whereas in later generations the same should be intense as it assesses the improvement through selection. Therefore, to make use of non-additive gene effect and to tackle non-allelic interactions, breeding strategies like restricted recurrent selection through intermating carried among improved segregates, followed by selection or biparental or diallel selective mating or multiple crosses during the initial segregating generations would be effective to get desirable genotype with improved traits. Furthermore, targeted crossing among selected individuals in early segregating generations could be done to maintain substantial heterozygosity and therefore, all three kinds of gene effects would concurrently be utilized in an efficient way through adopting few cycles of recurrent selection, followed by pedigree method which will ultimately generate more variability in later generations for selecting individuals having improved yield, quality and architectural traits.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the facilities provided by Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballav Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M.M.A. and Aboul-Nasr, M.H. 2002. Estimation of heterosis for yield and other economical characters of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) in Upper Egypt. In: Maynard DN(ed) Proc. Cucurbitaceae 2002, Naples, Florida, December 8–12, 2002, ASHS, Alexandria, VA, 11-16
- Angadi, A. 2015.Divergence,heterosis and genetic studies in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L). Ph. D. (Hort.) thesis, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka
- Aravindkumar, J. S. 2004. Genetics of yield and yield components in muskmelon (*Cucumismelo* L.). Ph. D. (Hort.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka
- Bates, D.M. and Robinson, R.W. 1995. Cucumbers, melons and watermelons. In: Smartt J, Simmonds NW (eds) Evolution of Crop Plants, 2nd edn. Longman Scientific, Essex, U.K., pp 89-96
- Bernardo, R. 2020. Breeding for quantitative traits in plants. Stemma Press, Minnesota, USA
- Bohn, G.W. and Davis. G.N. 1957. Earliness in F₁ hybrid muskmelons and their parent varieties. *Hilgardia*,**26**: 453-471. [Cross Ref]

- Cavalli, L.L. 1952. An analysis of linkage in quantitative inheritance. In: Reeve ECR, Waddington CH (eds) Quantitative Inheritance. HMSO, London, pp 135-144
- Celine, V.A. and Sirohi, P.S. 1998.Generation mean analysis for earliness and yield in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.).*Vegetable Science*, **25**(1): 51-54.
- Chadha, M.L., Nandpuri, K.S. and Singh, S. 1972. Inheritance of quantitative characters in muskmelon. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **29**(2): 174-178.
- Chandrakumar, N.L. 2006. Genetics of growth, yield and quality parameters in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex. Poir). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka
- Choudhary, H. and Singh, D.K. 2010. Breeding potential of Indian germplasm of *cucumissativus* var. *hardwickii* for cucumber improvement. *Acta Horticulturae*, **871**: 409-416. [Cross Ref]
- De los Reyes, B.G. 2019. Genomic and epigenomic bases of transgressive segregation-New breeding paradigm for novel plant phenotypes. *Plant Science*, **288**: 110213. [Cross Ref]
- Delaney, D.E. and Lower, R.L. 1987. Generation mean analysis of plant characters in crosses between two determinate cucumber lines and Cucumis *sativus* var. *hardwickii*. *Journal of American Society of Horticultural Science*, **112**(4): 707-711. [Cross Ref]
- Dey, S.S., Behera, T. K., Munshi, A.D., Rakshit, S. and Bhatia, R. 2012. Utility of gynoecious sex form in heterosis breeding of bitter gourd and genetics of associated vegetative and flowering traits. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*.**69**:523-529.
- Fasoula, D.A. and Fasoula, V.A. 1997. Gene action and plant breeding. In:Janick J(ed)Plant Breeding Reviews (Vol. 15). John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey, U.S.A. pp 315-374. [Cross Ref]
- Fergany, M., Kaur, A.J.B., Monforte, A.J., Pitrat, M., Wipf-Scheibel, C., Lecoq, H., Dhillon, N. &Dhaliwal, S.S. 2011. Variation in melon (*Cucumis melo*) landraces adapted to the humid tropics of Southern India. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, **58**: 225-243. [Cross Ref]
- Feyzian, E., Dehghani, H., Rezai, A.M. and Javaran, M.J. 2009.Diallel cross analysis for maturity and yield-related traits in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Euphytica*, **168**: 215-223. [Cross Ref]
- Gamble, E.E. 1962. Gene effect in corn (*Zea mays* L.): I. Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, **42**: 339 -348. [Cross Ref]

https://doi.org/10.37992/2025.1602.022

- Habiba, R.M., Abd El-Aziz, M.H. and Amein, K.A.2016. Evaluation of gene action for several important traits in some crosses of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) using generation mean analysis. *Assiut Journal* of Agricultural Sciences, 47(3): 9-23. [Cross Ref]
- Hayman, B.I. 1958. The separation of epistasis from additive and dominance variation in generation means. *Heredity*, **12**: 371-90. [Cross Ref]
- Hayman, B.I. and Mather, K. 1955. The description of genetic interactions in continuous variation. *Biometrics*, **11**:69–82. [Cross Ref]
- Hiremata, V., Shet, R., Gunnaiah, R. and Prashantha, A. 2025. Assessment of genetic variability for intraspecific hybrid derived from muskmelon and Mangalore melon (Arka Siri× SS-17). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **16**(1): 96-102.
- Hossain Al-Mamun, M., Harunur Rashid, M., Nazim Uddin, M., Rabiul Islam, M. and Asaduzzaman, M. 2016. Heterosis studies in bitter gourd. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, **22**(5): 442-450. [Cross Ref]
- Javanmard, T., Saleh-Abadi, F.S. and Bihamta, M.R. 2018. Estimation of some genetic parameters through generation mean analysis in melon. *Indian Journal* of Agricultural Research, **52**(6): 619-624.
- José, M.A., Iban, E., Silvia, A. and Pere, A.2005. Inheritance mode of fruit traits in melon: Heterosis for fruit shape and its correlation with genetic distance. *Euphytica*, **144**(1): 31-38. [Cross Ref]
- Kaur, N., Dhatt, A.S. and Sidhu, M.K. 2018. Genetic studies of yield and its component traits using generation mean analysis in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* subsp. *pepo*). *Vegetable Science*, **45**(2): 154-160. [Cross Ref]
- Kearsey, M.J. and Pooni, H.S.1996. The Genetical Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 381. [Cross Ref]
- Kere, G.M., Guo, Q., Shen, J., Xu, J. and Chen, J. 2013. Heritability and gene effects for salinity tolerance in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) estimated by generation mean analysis. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **159**: 122-127. [Cross Ref]
- Kultur, F., Harrison, H.C., Staub, J.E. and Palta, J.P. 2001. Spacing and genotype effects on fruit sugar concentration and yield of muskmelon. *Hort Science*, **36**: 274-278. [Cross Ref]
- Kumari, M., Behera, T.K., Munshi, A.D. and Talukadar, A.2015. Inheritance of fruit traits and generation mean analysis for estimation of horticultural traits

in bitter gourd. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **72**: 43-48. [Cross Ref]

- Laur, L.M. and Tian, L. 2011.Provitamin A and vitamin C contents in selected California grown cantaloupe and honeydew melons and imported melons. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, **24**: 194-201. [Cross Ref]
- Lester, G.E. and Hodges, D.M.2008. Antioxidants associated with fruit senescence and human health: Novel orange fleshed non-netted honey dew melon genotype comparisons following different seasonal productions and cold storage durations. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, **48**: 347-354. [Cross Ref]
- Lester, G.E. 2008. Antioxidant, sugar, mineral, and phytonutrient concentrations across edible fruit tissues of orange-fleshed honeydew melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **56**(10): 3694-3698. [Cross Ref]
- Lippert, L.F. and Hall, M.O.1982. Heritabilities and correlations in muskmelon from parent offspring regression analyses. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci.*, **107**: 217-221. [Cross Ref]
- Lippert, L.F. and Legg, P.D. 1972. Diallel analysis for yield and maturity characteristics in muskmelon cultivars. *Journal of American Society of Horticultural Science*, **97**:87-90. [Cross Ref]
- Lohani, M., Singh, D. K. and Sarkar, M. 2023. Assessment of molecular diversity through ISSR markers in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Emergent Life Sciences Research*, 9(2): 362-368. [Cross Ref]
- Mackay, I.J., Cockram, J., Howell, P. and Powell, W.2021. Understanding the classics: the unifying concepts of transgressive segregation, inbreeding depression and heterosis and their central relevance for crop breeding. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, **19**(1): 26-34. [Cross Ref]
- Mather, K. and Jinks, J.L. 1971. Biometrical genetics, 2nd edn. Chapman and Hall, London. [Cross Ref]
- Mather, K.1949. Biometrical genetics. Methuen and Co. Ltd., London, pp162
- Mather, K. and Jinks, J.L.1982. Biometrical Genetics: The Study of Continuous Variation. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 396. [Cross Ref]
- Mistry, C., Kathiria, K.B., Sabolu, S. and Kumar, S.2016. Heritability and gene effects for yield related quantitative traits in eggplant. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, **61**(2): 237-246. [Cross Ref]

https://doi.org/10.37992/2025.1602.022

- Mitchell, J.M., Cantliffe, D.J. and Sargent, S.A.2007. Fruit yield, quality parameters and powdery mildew susceptibility of specialty melon cultivars grown in a passively ventilated greenhouse. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, **120**: 162-167.
- Mohan, N.B., Madalageri, M.B.,Mulge, R., Adiger, S. and Kencharaddi, H.G.2012. Genetics of vine yield and yield contributing traits in ashgourd (wax gourd). *Plant Archives*, **12**: 731-136.
- Mohanty, B.K., Mohanty, S.K. and Mishra, R.S.1999. Genetics of yield and yield components in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **69**:781-783.
- Monforte, A.J., Oliver, M., Gonzalo, M.J., Alvarez, J.M., Dolcet-Sanjuan, R. and Arus, P.2004. Identification of quantitative trait loci involved in fruit quality traits in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Theoritical and Applied Genetics*, **108**: 750-758. [Cross Ref]
- Munshi, A.D. and Verma, V.K.1998.A note on gene action in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.). Vegetable Sciences, **25**(1): 93-94.
- Pandey, S. and Rai, M. 2006. Inheritance of yield and its components in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Ouch. ex Poir.). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **66**(04): 355-356.
- Panigrahi, I., Behera, T.K., Munshi, A.D., Dey, S.S., Jat, G.S. and Singh, A.K.2023. Generation mean analysis to study the genetics of fruit yield and yield attributing traits in bitter gourd. *Vegetable Science*, **50**(2):295-301. [Cross Ref]
- Pitrat, M. 2008. Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). In: Prohens J, Nuez F (eds), Handbook of Crop Breeding, Vol. I: Vegetables. Springer, New York, pp 283-315. [Cross Ref]
- Pitrat, M. 2016. Melon genetic resources: phenotypic diversity and horticultural taxonomy. In: Grumet R et al. (eds), Genetics and Genomics of Cucurbitaceae, Plant Genetics and Genomics: Crops and Models. Springer International Publishing, New York City, U.S.A. pp 340. [Cross Ref]
- Pooni, H.S. and Treharne, A.J. 1994. The role of epistasis and back ground genotype in the expression of heterosis. *Heredity*, **72**: 628-635. [Cross Ref]
- Rani, K.R., Reddy, K.R. and Raju, C.S. 2013. Study of gene effects for yield and its component traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) by generation mean analysis. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **4**(3): 1237-1241.

- Ranjitha, G.V., Rao, A.M., Basanagouda, G., Ujjal, K., Nateshan, H.M., Kavya, M.E., Shilpa, M. and Prashantha, V. 2023. Genetics of quantitative traits in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo L.*). *Biology Forum*,**15**(8): 378-388.
- Rao, G.P.2017.Genetics and mapping of economic traits in bitter gourd. Ph.D. Horticulture (Vegetable Science) thesis, IARI, New Delhi
- Rathod, V., Behera, T.K., Munshi, A.D., Jat, G.S. and Gaikwad, A.B. 2021. Genetic analysis for yield and its attributes in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **91**(1): 68-73. [Cross Ref]
- Sanandia, S.T., Mehta, D.R. and Tarpara, V.D. 2010. Inheritance of fruit yield and its components in sponge gourd [*Luffa cylindrical*M. Roem.]. *Vegetable Science*, **37**(1): 25-29.
- Schaefer, H., Heibl, C. and Renner, S.S. 2009. Gourds afloat: A dated phylogeny reveals an Asian origin of the gourd family (*Cucurbitaceae*) and numerous overseas dispersal events. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences*, 276: 843-851. [Cross Ref]
- Sebastian, P., Schaefer, H., Telford, I.R.H. and Renner, S.S.2010. Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) and melon(*Cucumis melo* L.) have numerous wild relatives in Asia and Australia, and the sister species of melon is from Australia. The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, **107**: 14269– 14273. [Cross Ref]
- Selvakumar, R., Manjunathagowda, D.C., Kalia, P. and Raje, R.S. 2021. Genetic analysis of root traits in tropical carrots (*Daucus carota* L.). *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*,**68**: 3301-3307. [Cross Ref]
- Senthil, N, Samyuktha, S. M., Karthikeyan, A, Manickam, D, Malarvizhi, D. and Hepziba, J. 2024. Assessment of gene effects for yield and yield attributing traits in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **111**(4): 122-134.
- Shahi, B.P., Dixit, J. and Singh, P.K.2006. Additive, dominance and epistatic variation for fruit yield and its component traits in cucumber. *Vegetable Science*, **32**(1): 27-29.
- Sharma, S.N. and Sain, R.S. 2003. Genetic architecture of grain weight in durum wheat under normal and late sown environments. Wheat Information Service, 96: 28–32.
- Sharmila, V., Ganesh, S.K. and Gunasekaran, M.2007. Generation mean analysis for quantitative traits in

- Silva, J.M.C., Viana, E.R.C., Morais, P.L.D., Tomaz, F.L.S., Martins, A.F. and Nunes, G.H.S. 2022. Inheritance of soluble solids content and sucrose in melon. *Horticultura Brasileria*, **40**: 295-301. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, R.P. and Singh, S. 1992. Estimation of genetic parameters through generation mean analysis in bread wheat. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **52**: 369-375.
- Singh, P. and Narayanan, S.S. 1993. Biometrical Techniques in Plant Breeding,1stedn. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. 1981.Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis, 1stedn. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India
- Sirohi, P.S. and Ghoruri, S. 1993. Gene effects of certain quantitative characters in pumpkin (*Cucurbita* moschata). Vegetable Science, **20**: 158-162.
- Sprague, G.F. 1963.Orientation and objectives in "Statistical genetics and plant breeding".*National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council Publication*, **982**:9-15.
- Sun, Z., Lower, R.L. and Staub, J.E.2006. Analysis of generation means and components of variance for parthenocarpy in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Plant Breeding*, **125**(3): 277-280. [Cross Ref]
- Taha, M., Omara, K. and Jack, A.E.I. 2003. Correlation among growth, yield, and quality characters in *Cucumis melo* L. *Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report*, **26**: 9-11.
- Tewari, D., Ram, H. and Jaiswal, H. 1998. Gene effects for various horticultural traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Vegetable Science*, **25**: 159-161.
- Tiwari, J.K., Munshi, A.D., Kumar, R., Sharma, R.K., Bhat, J.S. and Sureja, A.K. 2011. Gene effects for fruit yield and its component traits in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) using generation means. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **81**(10): 894-897.
- Weng, J., Li, P., Rehman, A., Wang, L., Gao, X. and Niu, Q. 2021. Physiological response and evaluation of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) germplasm resources under high temperature and humidity stress at seedling stage. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **288**: 110317. [Cross Ref]

- Zalapa, J.E., Staub, J.E., McCreight, J.D. 2006. Generation means analysis of plant architectural traits and fruit yield in melon. *Plant Breeding*, **125**(5): 482-487. [Cross Ref]
- Zdunic, Z., Mijic, A., Dugalic, K., Simic, D., Brkic, J. and Jeromela, A. 2008. Genetic analysis of grain yield and starch content in nine maize populations. *Turkish Journal Agriculture and Forestry*, **32**(6): 495-500.