



Research Article

Assessment of genetic diversity in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*)

R.M.Nagalakshmi, R. Usha Kumari and M. B. Boranayaka

Abstract

Sixty six genotypes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) were investigated to understand the extent of genetic diversity through twelve quantitative traits. Mahalanobis's D^2 analysis established the presence of wide genetic diversity among these genotypes by the formation of 23 clusters. Cluster I had the maximum number of genotypes i.e 22 and cluster 23 had only one genotype. Intra cluster distance analysis revealed that the minimum intra cluster distance was observed in the cluster II. The inter-cluster distance (D) was found to be the maximum between the clusters XXII and XXIII and the same was minimum between clusters II and V. The results indicated that grain yield per plant contributed maximum to the total divergence followed by 100 seed weight and days to 50% flowering. Number of branches per plant had least contribution to the total divergence followed by petiole length. The existence of wide genetic diversity among the types chosen from the same geographical location was obviously seen. In the present study, the variety Vellayani local had the maximum value for plant height and pod length and thereby distinguished from other varieties and it is present singly in the cluster XXIII. Hence it is proved to be widely divergent, since its yield is high, it can be used for further crossing and yield improvement. The cluster XVIII had the highest cluster mean values for number of clusters per plant and the cluster XIV has the highest mean value for grain yield per plant. These two clusters may be utilized in crossing programme which may yield in a wide spectrum of variability and for selection for seed yield in the subsequent generations. The clustering pattern of the varieties in the present study clearly indicated that there was no parallelism between genetic and geographic diversities. Based on the mean performance and genetic divergence, the genotypes Vellayani local, NBC 7, Lola, CP 18, CP 150, ACM 05-07 can be used for crossing and further selection.

Key words: Cowpea, genetic diversity, quantitative traits

Introduction

Cowpea is one of the ancient crops known to man. It is cultivated around the world primarily for seed, but also as a vegetable, cover crop and fodder. Its grain is rich in protein and digestible carbohydrate. Combined with cereals in the diet, lysine-rich cowpea complements the lysine-poor cereals. It is a fast growing, highly palatable and nutritious grain, fodder and vegetable crop. Hence, it is considered to be the most important leguminous crop. The crop is gaining popularity in developing and under developed countries, especially in arid regions of the world due to its nutritional value. Like other legumes, cowpea fixes atmospheric nitrogen, and thus contributes to the available N levels in the soil. One of the more remarkable things about cowpea is that it thrives in dry environments. Cultivars are available that produce a good crop with as little as 300 mm of rainfall. It is being cultivated in the drier parts of the world where other food legumes

cannot withstand. Also, it is shade tolerant and compatible as an intercrop. This makes it the crop of choice for arid zone. Cowpea is a variable species composed of wild perennials, wild annuals and cultivated forms. Genetic diversity is the basic requirement for a successful breeding programme. Collection and evaluation of genotypes of any crop is a pre-requisite for any programme, which provides a greater scope for exploiting genetic diversity. A quantitative assessment of the genetic divergence among the collection of germplasm and their relative contribution of different traits towards the genetic divergence provide essential and effective information to breeder in his hybridization programme and thereby genetic improvement of yield. The necessity for finding out genetic divergence among the types is more pronounced because of two reasons i.e., i) genetically diverse parents if included in the hybridization programme are likely to produce high heterotic effect ii) a wide spectrum of variability could be expected in the segregating generation of crosses involving distantly related parents.

Materials and Methods

66 germplasm entries collected from all over India were used for the present study. 22 genotypes were obtained from Regional Research Station, Vamban, 21 genotypes from Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur, eight from Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, six from Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, four from Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, three from ARS, Durgapura and two from National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, Delhi. Each entry was raised in two rows of 3 m length spaced at 30 cm between rows and 20 cm between plants in each replication. The recommended agronomic practices were adopted uniformly. Border rows were grown on all sides of the experimental plots. The observations were recorded on three randomly selected plants in each replication and the average was worked out and used for statistical analysis. The following quantitative characters were studied, days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of branches per plant, Number of leaves per plant, Petiole length, Peduncle length, Number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight and grain yield per plant.

Results and Discussion

The observations on twelve quantitative characters in 66 genotypes of cowpea were analyzed for genetic diversity. The D^2 values corresponding to all possible combinations among the 66 genotypes were computed. The 66 genotypes were grouped into twenty three different clusters as shown in Table 1. Among the twenty three clusters, it was observed that the cluster I was the largest with twenty two genotypes followed by cluster VI with three genotypes. The clusters II, III, IV, V, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XVIII, IX, XX, XXI and XXII had only two genotypes each. The cluster XXIII was recorded to be the smallest with one genotype.

The clustering pattern of the varieties in the present study clearly indicated that there was no parallelism between genetic and geographic diversities. The types collected from Kerala fell in different clusters like clusters I, VI and XXIII. Similarly types of Vamban fell in different clusters like cluster I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XVIII, XIX and XXIII. Types of different sources like Jodhpur, Vamban and Kerala fell in the same cluster i.e., cluster I. The existence of wide genetic diversity among the types chosen from the same geographical location was obviously seen. Many earlier studies on D^2 statistic in various crops

already showed the lack of parallelism between genetic and geographic diversities. Similar reports were given by Vijay Prakash, 2006 in chickpea, Golani *et al.*, 2006 in Indian bean, Singh and Singh, 2006 in field pea, Dahiya *et al.*, 2007 in cowpea; Indradeo Pandey, 2007 in cowpea; Valarmathi *et al.*, 2007 in cowpea, Suganthi *et al.*, 2007 in cowpea and Sulnathi *et al.*, 2007 in cowpea. The observations on types from different geographic regions falling into one cluster may be reasoned out as due to free exchange of genetic material from one place to another or due to the fact that unidirectional selection practiced in different places might have had a similar effect and therefore, varieties evolved under similar selection pressure might have clustered together irrespective of their geographic origin. In the present study, the variety Vellayani local had the maximum value for plant height and pod length and thereby distinguished from other varieties and it is present singly in the cluster XXIII. Hence it is proved to be highly divergent, since its yield is high, it can be used for further crossing and yield improvement.

The inter and intra cluster D^2 values among twenty three clusters are represented in Table 2. The minimum intra cluster distance was recorded in cluster II whereas the maximum was recorded in cluster XXII. Similarly the least inter cluster distance was observed between cluster II and V and maximum between XXII and XXIII.

The data on the means of all the twelve characters are presented in Table 3. It is evident that different cluster exhibit distinct mean values for almost all the twelve characters. A wide range of variation was observed among different clusters for all the cluster means. Cluster X has the highest mean value for petiole length and number of seeds per pod. Cluster XIV has the maximum mean value for grain yield per plant. Cluster XVIII has the maximum mean value for number of clusters per plant and number of pods per plant. Cluster XXI has the maximum mean value number of branches per plant and peduncle length. Cluster XXII has the maximum mean value for number of leaves per plant. Cluster XXIII has the maximum mean value for days to 50% flowering, plant height and pod length. Cluster VI has the maximum mean value for 100 seed weight. In the present study, the possibility of choice of highly divergent and desirable types based on D^2 cluster means and inter cluster distances was also examined. The cluster XVIII had the highest cluster mean values for number of clusters per plant and the cluster XIV has the highest mean value for grain yield per plant. The types in the two clusters may be utilized

in crossing programme which may yield in a wide spectrum of variability and for selection for seed yield in the subsequent generations. Similarly the cluster XXIII which had highest cluster mean value for pod length and may be selected for crossing with the cluster XVIII for obtaining desirable segregants.

In the present investigation to assess the percentage contribution of different important traits towards the genetic divergence are calculated Table 4. The study indicated that days to maturity contributed maximum to the total divergence followed by 100 seed weight and days to 50% flowering. There is always difference in opinion in specifying the trait that is contributing high or low towards the genetic diversity. The contribution mainly depends upon the genotypes included in the study and the environment influences over the character. Regarding the least contribution, number of branches per plant and petiole length contributed the least. The minimum contribution by this trait reveals that this trait was least affected in course of evolution.

Considering all the diversity, mean performance genotypes Vellayani local, NBC 7, Lola, CP 18, CP 150, ACM 05-07 were found to be best for crossing and yield improvement in cowpea.

References

- Dahiya, O.P., Dhirender Singh, and S.K. Mishra. 2007. Genetic divergence in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). Arid legumes, 4: 62-65.
- Golani, I.J., M.V. Naliyadhara, D.R. Mehta, V.L. Purohit and H.M. Pandya. 2006. Legume Res., 29 : 286-288.
- Indradeo Pandey, 2007. Genetic diversity in grain cowpea. Legume Res., 30 : 92-97.
- Singh J.D. and I.P. Singh. 2006 . Genetic divergence in advanced genotypes for grain yield in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Legume Res., 29 : 301-303.
- Suganthi, S., S. Murugan and M. Venkatesan. 2007. D² analysis in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). Legume Res., 30 : 145-147.
- Sulnathi, G., L. Prasanthi and M. Reddy Sekhar. 2007. Character contribution to diversity in cowpea. Legume Res., 30 : 70 – 72.
- Valarmathi, C., C. Surendran and A.R. Muthiah 2007. Genetic divergence analysis in subspecies of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *unguiculata* and *Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *saequipedalis*). Legume Res., 30 : 192-196.
- Viay prakash. 2006. Divergence analysis in Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Indian J. of genet., 66: 241-242.



Table 1. Composition of D² clusters on Cowpea germplasm

Cluster	Number of genotypes	Names of the genotypes
1	22	NBC 7, NBC 8, NBC 9, NBC 13, NBC 15, NBC 19, NBC 20, NBC 28, NBC 30, NBC 32, NBC 33, NBC 37, NBC 41, NBC 42, NBC 43, NBC 49, Lola, Sarika, Subathra, Kanagamoni, CP 224, ACM 05-05
2	2	CP 6, CO 7.
3	2	CP 222, P 152.
4	2	CP 9, CP 43.
5	2	CP 128, CP 129.
6	3	Vellayani jyothika, PGCP 1, PGCP 2.
7	2	CP 89, CP 164.
8	2	CP 274, CP 19
9	2	CP 191, RC 1.
10	2	GC 3, CP 21.
11	2	EC 1, CO 6.
12	2	CP 186, ACM 05-07
13	2	P 491, CP 16
14	2	CP 18, CP 196.
15	2	CP 221, CP 235.
16	2	ACM 002, RC 2
17	2	CP 79, CP 137.
18	2	CP 8, CP 150.
19	2	V 585, CP 23.
20	2	RC 3, EC 2.
21	2	V 240, VBN 1.
22	2	CP 338, CP 25.
23	1	Vellayani local.



Table 2. Inter and intra (diagonal) cluster values of average of D^2 and D values (in bold)

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII
I	354.805 18.836	444.918 21.093	870.466 29.504	241.493 15.54	421.195 20.523	919.701 30.327	702.818 26.511	380.777 19.514	345.682 18.593	381.675 19.537	426.208 20.645	729.85 27.016
II		41.032 6.406	218.247 14.773	161.816 12.721	68.79 8.294	999.884 31.621	202 14.213	210.45 14.507	214.234 14.637	127.608 11.296	119.55 10.934	122.886 11.085
III			41.066 6.408	519.287 22.788	238.573 15.446	1225.116 35.002	439.684 20.969	540.781 23.255	457.584 21.391	364.426 19.09	318.937 17.859	363.693 19.071
IV				46.013 6.783	186.075 13.641	803.76 28.351	324.911 18.025	138.915 11.786	223.318 14.944	118.24 10.874	217.497 14.948	353.232 18.794
V					62.69 7.918	1004.649 31.696	275.342 16.593	268.2 16.377	191.766 13.848	207.131 14.392	101.686 10.384	234.207 15.304
VI						1222.674 34.967	1245.761 35.295	819.402 28.625	1011.292 31.801	931.547 30.521	947.332 30.779	1308.587 36.174
VII							75.562 8.693	541.873 23.278	493.947 22.225	306.502 17.507	341.266 18.473	209.125 14.461
VIII								76.058 8.721	380.116 19.497	189.841 13.778	276.094 16.616	391.657 19.79
IX									86.712 9.312	241.042 15.526	192.925 13.89	476.234 21.823
X										87.484 9.353	265.946 16.308	285.289 16.89
XI											87.741 9.367	334.627 18.293
XII												120.482 10.976



Table 2. contd...

CLUSTER	XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII	XVIII	XIX	XX	XXI	XXII	XXIII
I	357.003 18.895	645.118 25.399	602.737 24.551	429.732 20.73	837.499 28.94	1236.832 35.169	640.655 25.311	339.922 18.437	1093.798 33.073	1235.673 35.152	4542.042 67.395
II	118.304 10.877	252.965 15.905	210.723 14.516	358.758 18.941	242.779 15.581	609.436 24.687	283.79 16.846	254.23 15.945	444.807 21.09	871.96 29.529	3963.717 62.958
III	449.21 21.195	614.516 24.789	280.063 16.735	745.444 27.303	309.031 17.579	904.496 30.075	587.842 24.245	484.76 22.017	436.043 20.882	826.347 28.546	3648.877 60.406
IV	173.146 13.158	361.678 19.018	328.813 18.133	159.887 12.645	394.091 19.852	787.87 28.069	293.727 17.138	174.696 13.217	658.661 25.664	880.269 29.669	4189.36 64.725
V	128.284 11.326	316.671 17.795	204.609 14.304	398.68 19.967	308.439 17.562	658.095 25.653	378.186 19.447	259.892 16.121	486.731 22.062	740.716 30.674	4051.042 63.648
VI	827.499 28.766	1257.392 35.46	1300.288 36.06	1101.211 33.184	1385.666 37.225	2036.795 45.131	957.147 30.938	911.119 30.185	1536.531 39.199	1774.893 42.129	3104.421 55.717
VII	315.055 17.75	160.476 12.668	531.031 23.044	543.652 23.316	232.146 15.236	739.399 27.192	444.347 21.08	493.87 22.223	660.179 25.694	993.521 31.52	4035.324 63.524
VIII	236.038 15.364	602.851 24.553	353.823 18.81	254.595 15.956	508.73 22.555	766.974 27.694	210.726 14.516	308.723 17.571	542.472 23.291	1082.212 32.897	3826.713 61.86
IX	206.795 14.38	432.964 20.808	241.484 15.54	380.988 19.513	547.739 23.404	909.799 30.16	637.562 25.25	206.708 14.377	791.742 28.138	961.548 31.009	4568.49 67.591
X	238.032 15.428	340.461 18.452	295.704 17.196	302.075 17.38	339.386 18.422	854 29.225	314.874 17.745	205.307 14.329	569.708 23.869	782.546 28	4280.828 65.428
XI	122.801 11.082	435.28 20.863	243.121 15.592	415.372 20.381	450.55 21.226	777.495 27.884	477.82 21.859	251.41 15.856	598.203 24.458	1116.635 33.416	3530.087 59.415
XII	274.408 16.565	235.036 15.331	427.497 20.676	528.296 22.985	253.976 15.937	514.847 22.69	314.123 17.724	540.437 23.247	500.897 22.381	1014.578 31.852	4193.791 64.759
XIII	123.373 11.107	294.119 17.15	369.679 19.227	407.514 20.187	471.76 21.72	779.395 27.918	349.002 18.682	284.661 16.872	674.997 25.981	1142.424 33.8	369.437 60.765
XIV		144.197 12.008	661.956 25.729	635.251 25.204	417.681 20.437	850.328 29.16	506.147 22.498	537 23.173	867.896 29.46	110.191 33.32	4572.898 67.623
XV			152.204 12.337	355.723 18.861	338.751 18.405	587.294 24.234	566.393 23.799	344.318 18.556	435.812 20.876	867.954 29.462	4601.532 67.835
XVI				167.806 12.954	452.923 21.282	595.691 24.407	431.263 20.767	371.258 19.268	675.803 25.996	965.395 31.071	4671.616 68.349
XVII					182.224 13.499	485.88 22.043	403.755 20.094	574.749 23.974	392.022 19.8	765.344 27.665	4430.959 66.565



XVIII	290.824	687.47	115.509	575.139	1479.979	5210.852
	17.054	26.22	33.399	23.982	38.47	72.186
XIX		295.334	572.751	518.415	114.112	3790.908
		17.185	23.932	22.769	33.378	61.57
XX			358.706	824.802	930.756	4264.033
			18.94	28.719	30.508	65.3
XXI				729.706	1161.806	414.143
				27.013	34.085	64.352
XXII					1905.027	5520.532
					43.647	74.3
XXIII						0
						0

**Table 3. Cluster means for twelve characters in 66 diverse accessions of Cowpea**

Cluster	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches per plant	Number of leaves per plant	Petiole length (cm)	Peduncle length (cm)	Number of clusters per plant	Number of pods per plant	Pod length (cm)	Number of seeds per pod	100 seed weight (g)	Grain yield per plant (g)
I.	29.47	27.09	4.50	62.19	13.02	15.58	10.74	22.30	14.37	13.44	13.47	14.70
II.	34.25	30.75	4.98	90.09	13.02	27.55	10.59	25.99	15.28	16.04	9.92	24.07
III.	36.00	44.99	6.48	155.17	12.04	31.22	9.41	24.82	17.03	12.77	9.32	24.24
IV.	28.75	28.17	4.55	78.88	11.73	20.25	12.79	27.47	16.39	13.30	13.03	21.29
V.	35.00	31.06	5.30	88.46	14.18	28.00	12.50	24.86	13.58	10.53	12.12	20.01
VI.	28.50	64.31	4.82	63.09	12.51	16.73	7.62	13.75	23.11	15.54	16.97	12.06
VII.	34.75	35.69	6.01	91.09	12.50	24.59	18.15	27.93	18.62	16.30	11.51	34.36
VIII.	27.50	31.18	4.17	65.87	10.55	28.87	10.52	26.48	17.29	15.16	11.97	15.37
IX.	35.25	34.09	5.08	103.46	12.37	13.78	11.62	27.49	11.87	15.78	8.62	14.97
X.	28.50	32.59	6.64	101.88	14.63	21.11	12.22	24.45	16.01	16.99	8.20	22.40
XI.	36.75	31.43	4.26	83.00	12.29	24.05	12.77	25.76	17.66	13.46	10.66	15.89
XII.	34.75	31.28	4.45	80.67	12.29	29.79	10.28	30.96	15.14	16.11	8.60	34.84
XIII.	35.25	35.99	4.30	55.12	14.75	23.58	10.60	22.94	15.82	16.71	12.03	18.31
XIV.	35.00	37.15	6.28	64.02	13.03	18.56	14.85	24.76	13.53	15.56	9.95	35.71
XV.	34.00	29.31	5.36	139.40	11.81	28.25	13.41	36.83	12.87	14.89	9.31	14.91
XVI.	27.25	27.32	4.46	100.65	9.40	19.81	15.29	45.16	16.22	13.36	12.73	21.51
XVII.	32.25	40.19	5.38	138.52	13.58	31.59	17.06	37.65	16.12	14.59	12.05	31.77
XVIII.	34.00	32.72	5.82	100.64	12.24	36.59	18.19	63.67	12.06	12.61	11.24	29.75
XIX.	27.50	42.80	4.59	62.60	12.38	33.86	12.00	27.62	17.67	15.71	13.92	24.89
XX.	31.25	27.18	5.28	102.10	10.22	17.28	10.70	22.02	15.90	15.24	10.85	16.76
XXI.	31.00	45.54	7.37	130.27	12.47	39.57	16.59	40.82	16.29	12.06	10.67	21.60
XXII.	28.50	38.69	4.89	183.85	10.59	20.26	10.24	30.88	14.13	14.11	10.93	32.08
XXIII.	39.50	123.00	4.50	50.43	9.36	26.45	8.78	13.30	55.84	12.73	15.92	11.54



Table 4. Relative contribution of twelve characters towards genetic divergence in 66 cowpea germplasm

S. No	Characters	Number of times ranked first	Contribution
1.	Days to 50% flowering	170	7.92
2.	Plant height (cm)	45	2.09
3.	Number of branches	0	0.00
4.	Number of leaves	138	6.43
5.	Petiole length (cm)	15	0.69
6.	Peduncle length (cm)	63	2.93
7.	Number of clusters	31	1.44
8.	Number of pods	164	7.64
9.	Pod length (cm)	108	5.03
10.	No of seeds / pod	98	4.56
11.	100 seed weight (g)	412	19.20
12.	Grain yield (g)	901	42.00
	Total	2145	100