

Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding



Research Article

Deciphering combining ability for yield and its components under saline environment in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

P. Hima Bindu¹, G. Shiva Prasad^{2*}, Raman Meenakshi Sundaram³,
Ch. Damodar Raju⁴, P. Raghuveer Rao⁵ and K. Sumalini¹

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500030.

²Agricultural Research Station, Kampasagar, Nalgonda - 508001.

³Director, ICAR-IIRR, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500030.

⁴Rice Breeding, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Warangal - 506007.

⁵Plant Physiology, ICAR-IIRR, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500030.

*E-Mail: shiva0843@gmail.com

Abstract

Line x tester analysis was performed with six lines and four testers and resulted 24 hybrids along with parents were evaluated for salinity conditions. Analysis of variance showed significant differences between lines, testers and crosses for all the parameters except 1000 grain weight. SCA mean squares were substantially larger than GCA mean squares, showing a prevalence of dominance gene action in parents and hybrids for tolerance to salinity conditions. Among the lines and testers, KPS 10642, KPS 10640, KPS 10651, RNR 11718 and CSR 36 were proven to be a good combiners for increasing yield under salt stress conditions. The hybrids viz., KPS 10651 x CSR 36, KPS 10651 x RNR 11718, KPS 10642 x KPS 2874, KPS 10651 x CSR 23, KPS 10640 x CSR 36, KPS 10640 x CSR 23, KPS 10642 x RNR 11718, KPS 10642 x CSR 36 and KPS 10642 x CSR 23 were found to be good specific combiners. The crosses KPS 10651 x RNR 11718, KPS 10642 x KPS 2874, KPS 10651 x CSR 36, KPS 10651 x CSR 23 and KPS 10640 x CSR 36 demonstrated significant results with respect to mean and sca effects.

Keywords: Rice, combining ability, hybrid, line × tester, salinity

INTRODUCTION

Rice is prone to a range of abiotic stresses like drought, salinity, flooding, temperature extremes and heavy metal stress, to name a few and all of these have an impact on plant growth and yield. Of these, soil salinity is having a major impact at the global level on rice production and productivity with yield losses upto 30–50% annually (Eynard *et al.*, 2005). It is highly sensitive at the seedling and reproductive stages. Despite being a glycophyte, it is observed to show susceptibility to saline conditions throughout the vegetative stage. This is due to the changes that occur in the plant physiological processes which effect the growth, development and yield especially during the pollination and fertilisation stages (Reddy *et al.*, 2017). These physiological changes delay

heading stage in rice, which further decreases other yield components (Grattan *et al.*, 2002). Salinity in the soil can be controlled by using reclamation techniques, which may raise production costs. As a result, saline-tolerant cultivars must be developed *i.e.*, plants having in-plant resistance against salinity would be the best alternative. Salt tolerance being a polygenic trait is controlled by many genes. Combining ability determines the pattern of genetic effects in the manifestation of quantitative traits by identifying possibly superior parents and hybrids (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). For selecting ideal parents, the breeder has access to multiple of biometrical methods. Combining ability analysis is a prominent tool amid various breeding tools to analyze combining ability

effects and helps in the selection of appropriate parents and crosses for heterosis exploitation (Gopikannan and Ganesh, 2013). The combining ability of the parents simply, General Combining Ability (*gca*) is caused by additive gene effects and additive x additive epistasis. Whilst, the combining ability of hybrids, i.e., Specific Combining Ability (*sca*) is caused by a non-additive gene effect that can be caused by dominance, epistasis, or both, and is irreversible (Koze, 2017). The goal of this experiment was to examine the combining ability in hybrids and rice parental lines for seed yield and yield components under salinity conditions to aid in the selection of parents and breeding rice cultivars that can withstand salinity stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The salinity tolerant parental lines were chosen based on prior screening experiments, and their yield performance was validated under salinity conditions with E.C. 4ds/m and pH 9.2. A total of 24 test crosses were developed by following Kempthorne (1957), Line x Tester method using six lines and four testers. The hybrids, testers and lines (**Table 1**) were evaluated along with check varieties at Agricultural Research Station, Kampasagar at Nalgonda during *Rabi*, 2019-2020.

The experiment was carried out under salt stress circumstances following appropriate management practices in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications during *Kharif*, 2021. Twenty-five days old seedlings were transplanted into the screening experiment field with a spacing of 20 x 15 cm. Parameters for yield and its attributing features were observed, days to 50% flowering and seedling mortality were collected on a plot basis. During the reproductive stage, visual scoring

of salt injury was also recorded according to IRRI-SES 2013 (**Table 2**). Mortality percentage (M%), days to 50 per cent flowering (DFF), plant height (PH), panicle length (PL), the number of productive tillers (NPT), the number of grains per panicle (NGP), the number of unfilled grains per panicle (UFG), spikelet sterility (S%), 1000 grain weight (TW) and seed yield per plant (SY) were recorded.

In each entry, observations were recorded on five plants at random and the mean value was computed. The GCA and SCA variances were calculated using the method given by Kempthorne (1957). The general and specific combining ability effects of Sprague and Tatum (1942) were utilized to find good combiners among parental genotypes and good combiners from crosses. INDOSTAT version 9.2 was used to conduct the statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hybrids were classified into different categories based on visual SES scores. Out of 24 hybrids, three were categorised as highly tolerant, five as tolerant, six as moderately tolerant and ten as sensitive under saline conditions at ARS, Kampasagar (**Table 3**). Similarly, Sudharani *et al.* (2013) classified the newly developed rice hybrids into different categories based on their reaction to salinity.

Analysis of variance showed significant differences for all the traits, which indicates that presence of considerable genetic variability among the experimental material under study. Line mean squares were significant for all the parameters except for panicle length, un-filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and spikelet sterility. In case of

Table 1. List of parents and check varieties utilized in the experiment

| S.No. | GENOTYPES | S.No. | GENOTYPES | S.No. | GENOTYPES |
|-------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------|---------------|
| | LINES | | TESTERS | | CHECKS |
| 1. | KPS 10628 | 1. | CSR 23 | 1. | MTU 1010 |
| 2. | KPS 10631 | 2. | CSR 36 | 2. | BPT 5204 |
| 3. | KPS 10633 | 3. | KPS 2874 | 3. | IR 64 |
| 4. | KPS 10640 | 4. | RNR 11718 | | |
| 5. | KPS 10642 | | | | |
| 6. | KPS 10651 | | | | |

Table 2. Scoring of damage for salt injury in field conditions in rice standard evaluation system scale (IRRI-SES, 2013)

| Score | Growth Scale | Salinity-induced reaction |
|-------|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | Normal growth, no leaf symptoms | Highly tolerant |
| 3 | Nearly normal growth, but leaf tips of few leaves whitish and rolled. | Tolerant |
| 5 | Growth severely retarded, most leaves rolled, only a few are elongating | Moderately tolerant |
| 7 | Complete cessation of growth, most leaves dry, some plants drying | Susceptible |
| 9 | Almost all plants dead or drying | Highly susceptible |

Table 3. Grouping of 24 hybrids based on its visual salt injury score

| S.No. | Hybrid | Salt injury score | Reaction | S.No. | Hybrid | Salt injury score | Reaction |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1. | KPS 10628 x CSR 23 | 5 | MT | 13. | KPS 10640 x CSR 23 | 7 | S |
| 2. | KPS 10628 x CSR 36 | 3 | T | 14. | KPS 10640 x CSR 36 | 1 | HT |
| 3. | KPS 10628 x KPS 2874 | 3 | T | 15. | KPS 10640 x KPS 2874 | 3 | T |
| 4. | KPS 10628 x RNR 11718 | 5 | MT | 16. | KPS 10640 x RNR 11718 | 1 | HT |
| 5. | KPS 10631 x CSR 23 | 7 | S | 17. | KPS 10642 x CSR 23 | 7 | S |
| 6. | KPS 10631 x CSR 36 | 1 | HT | 18. | KPS 10642 x CSR 36 | 7 | S |
| 7. | KPS 10631 x KPS 2874 | 7 | S | 19. | KPS 10642 x KPS 2874 | 3 | T |
| 8. | KPS 10631 x RNR 11718 | 7 | S | 20. | KPS 10642 x RNR 11718 | 7 | S |
| 9. | KPS 10633 x CSR 23 | 3 | T | 21. | KPS 10651 x CSR 23 | 7 | S |
| 10. | KPS 10633 x CSR 36 | 7 | S | 22. | KPS 10651 x CSR 36 | 5 | MT |
| 11. | KPS 10633 x KPS 2874 | 7 | S | 23. | KPS 10651 x KPS 2874 | 5 | MT |
| 12. | KPS 10633 x RNR 11718 | 5 | MT | 24. | KPS 10651 x RNR 11718 | 5 | MT |

HT- Highly Tolerant

T- Tolerant

MT- Moderately Tolerant

S- Susceptible

testers, the traits viz., mortality percentage, the number of productive tillers, the number of grains per panicle, unfilled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight had significant differences, while the other parameters had non significance. Such results indicated a wide range of variability among parental lines and testers. In addition, tester mean squares were much higher than those of lines for the total number of grains per panicle, the number of unfilled grains per panicle, spikelet sterility percentage, 1000 grain weight and seed yield per plant of the studied traits. Such results revealed that testers contributed much more to the total variation as compared to lines. The interaction between lines and testers showed significance for all the traits. Crosses mean squares were significant for all the studied characteristics except panicle length and 1000 grain weight, indicating the wide diversity among the material utilized in the experiment.

The results of this investigation revealed that most of the traits, showed ratios of GCA/SCA variances were <1 and σ^2 SCA helps in determining the inheritance of most of the examined traits, suggesting that non-additive gene action accounted for the major portion of the total genetic variability associated with these parameters (Table 4). Mortality percentage, days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, unfilled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and seed yield per plant were all influenced by non-additive gene action. Heterosis breeding helps in the improvement of these parameters with non-additive gene action. Many researchers Saidiah et al. (2010), Thorat et al. (2017), Singh et al. (2020) and Sandhya kishore et al. (2017), reported that σ^2 SCA was important in the inheritance of seed yield per plant and other yield traits in rice. The parameters controlled by additive gene action were the number of productive tillers, the number

of grains per panicle and spikelet sterility and these traits can be improved by employing pureline selection or pedigree breeding. Similar results are reported that σ^2 GCA was important in the inheritance of these parameters by Widyastuti et al. (2017) and Zewdu et al. (2020) while studying rice hybrids.

General combining ability, which is also the parent's breeding value, has proven to be a beneficial technique for selecting parents for hybridization, whereas specific combining ability aids in the identification of superior cross combinations. The results of *gca* effects on parental phenotypes have been represented in Table 5. Low per cent of mortality and reduced number of days to 50% flowering with negative *gca* effects are desirable in the view of developing hybrids. CSR 23, CSR 36, KPS 10631 and KPS 10651 showed negative significant among the parents for the trait mortality percentage and KPS 10651, KPS 10642, CSR 36 and KPS 2874 for the trait days to 50% flowering. The highest seed yield is the economic character for the hybrids to adopt more even under stress conditions. The genotypes KPS 10651, KPS 10640 and KPS 2874 recorded highly positive significant *gca* effects for seed yield. Among the parental genotypes, KPS 10651 and KPS 10640 were found to be a good general combiner for the traits viz., the number of productive tillers, the number of grains per panicle and seed yield with positive significant *gca* effects. Lower spikelet sterility was desirable for improving yield. The parents KPS 10642, KPS 10640 and KPS 10651 were found to be good combiners for spikelet sterility with significant negative *gca* effects. Unfilled grain should be less in number or negligible for the enhancement of economic yield under stress conditions. For this, the parents should have negative *gca* effects. Line KPS 10642 was recorded

Table 4. ANOVA for combining ability (line x tester) for yield and its components

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Mortality | Days to 50% flowering | Plant height | Panicle length | Number of productive tillers/m ² | Total number of grains/ panicle | Number of unfilled grains/ panicle | Spikelet sterility | 1000 grain weight | Seed yield/ plant |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Replication | 2 | 5.88* | 18.07 | 5.62 | 5.06 | 3818.87 | 66.66 | 982.26** | 189.30* | 1.351 | 8.36 |
| Treatments | 33 | 252.67** | 47.19** | 270.77** | 10.53 | 58045.84** | 9355.17** | 994.90** | 364.13** | 1.197 | 43.03** |
| Parents | 9 | 325.65** | 35.50* | 520.96** | 7.50 | 29657.95** | 10955.00** | 454.90* | 99.10* | 1.344 | 2.69 |
| Parents (Lines) | 5 | 419.25** | 42.76* | 926.49** | 9.67 | 41173.88** | 6498.93** | 281.39 | 90.94 | 1.281 | 1.39 |
| Parents (Testers) | 3 | 278.07** | 32.97 | 12.55 | 5.98 | 19179.40* | 21663.42** | 795.19** | 113.50 | 1.879 | 4.81 |
| Parents vs Crosses | 1 | 1559.52** | 122.26** | 178.16** | 7.35 | 531083.55** | 32753.10** | 342.13 | 643.89** | 0.316 | 214.78** |
| Crosses | 23 | 167.29** | 48.51** | 176.89** | 11.86 | 48587.29** | 7711.85** | 1234.58** | 455.68** | 1.177 | 51.35** |
| Line x Tester effect | 15 | 171.50** | 37.14** | 156.01** | 11.95 | 17713.35** | 2220.92** | 618.56** | 178.58** | 1.093 | 29.11** |
| Error | 66 | 1.57 | 14.01 | 19.39 | 7.01 | 6120.73 | 424.48 | 178.07 | 47.47 | 2.05 | 6.07 |
| σ^2_{gca} | | 8.78 | 3.29 | 13.72 | 0.27 | 5356.86 | 949.30 | 117.67 | 50.25 | 0.06 | 5.21 |
| σ^2_{sca} | | 56.64 | 7.71 | 45.54 | 1.64 | 3864.21 | 598.82 | 146.82 | 43.70 | 0.32 | 7.68 |
| $\sigma^2_{gca}/\sigma^2_{sca}$ | | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 1.39 | 1.59 | 0.80 | 1.15 | 0.19 | 0.68 |

* significant at 5 per cent level

** significant at 1 per cent level

Table 5. General combining ability (gca) for yield and its components

| Parents | Mortality | Days to 50% flowering | Plant height | Panicle length | Number of productive tillers/m ² | Total number of grains/ panicle | Number of unfilled grains/ panicle | Spikelet sterility | 1000 grain weight | Seed yield/ plant |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| LINES | | | | | | | | | | |
| KPS 10628 | 4.257** | 2.764 * | 2.822* | -1.080 | -123.567** | -41.806** | 18.264 ** | 13.929** | -0.306 | -5.282** |
| KPS 10631 | -2.181** | -0.236 | -1.103 | -1.470 | -122.192 ** | -33.389** | 16.014 ** | 10.838** | -0.522 | -1.782* |
| KPS 10633 | 0.541 | 3.847** | 5.256** | 0.590 | -22.642 | -26.639** | 4.347 | 3.529 | 0.569 | 0.318 |
| KPS 10640 | -0.496 | -1.486 | -5.769** | 0.438 | 53.258 * | 20.611** | -13.069 ** | -8.396** | -0.039 | 2.460** |
| KPS 10642 | 4.999** | -1.903 | -2.586 * | 1.363 | 27.958 | -6.222 | -27.736 ** | -13.913** | -0.114 | 0.835 |
| KPS 10651 | -7.120** | -2.986** | 1.381 | 0.163 | 187.183 ** | 87.444** | 2.181 | -5.988** | 0.411 | 3.451** |
| TESTERS | | | | | | | | | | |
| CSR 23 | -0.598* | 1.986 * | -1.214 | 0.360 | 8.250 | -0.806 | -1.097 | -1.004 | -0.089 | -1.076 |
| CSR 36 | -1.366** | -1.236 | 4.875 ** | -0.918 | -27.867 | 7.528 | 0.625 | 1.057 | 0.050 | -1.576 ** |
| KPS 2874 | 1.536** | -0.847 | 0.581 | 0.626 | 5.317 | -11.583 * | 3.736 | 2.751 | 0.011 | 2.024 ** |
| RNR 11718 | 0.429 | 0.097 | -4.242** | -0.068 | 14.300 | 4.861 | -3.264 | -2.804 | 0.028 | 0.629 |
| SE (Line) | 0.362 | 1.080 | 1.271 | 0.764 | 22.585 | 5.948 | 3.852 | 1.989 | 0.413 | 0.711 |
| SE (Tester) | 0.296 | 0.882 | 1.038 | 0.624 | 18.440 | 4.856 | 3.145 | 1.623 | 0.338 | 0.581 |

* - significant at 5 per cent level

** - significant at 1 per cent level

with the highest significant negative value followed by KPS 10640. The findings of Saravanan *et al.* (2018), Sanjay singh *et al.* (2008), Sudharani *et al.* (2013) and Nanditha *et al.* (2021) reported similar results in rice.

Parents exhibiting good gca were utilized to develop better performing hybrids as these genotypes possess good inherent potential. The lines KPS 10640, KPS 10651

and the tester RNR 11718 and CSR 36 were determined to be good combiners. Hence, genotypes showing high gca are exploited for pedigree breeding to obtain superior recombinants. sca is most often linked to non-additive gene action caused by dominance, over-dominance, or epistatic effects. There was no hybrid cross that simultaneously achieved beneficial sca effects for all of the examined traits, similar to the results shown for gca

effects of parents. The estimates of the *sca* of 24 hybrids for ten characters are represented in **Table 6**. The specific combining ability is due to non-additive gene action and epistatic gene action (Sprague and Tatum, 1942). The usefulness of a particular cross in the exploitation of heterosis is judged by the *sca* effects.

The cross combinations which recorded less mortality per cent are KPS 10631 x KPS 2874 followed by KPS 10651 x RNR 11718 with negative significant *sca* effects. It indicates a high probability to identify the low per cent of mortality under stress conditions in these combinations. Both the crosses involved low x low combining parents indicating over dominance or epistatic interactions. Among the crosses, KPS 10633 x KPS 2874 followed by KPS 10640 x CSR 23 showed the highest positive

significant *sca* effect. The *gca* effects were low x low in both the crosses, indicating that beneficial genes given by parents were interacting. The highest positive significance was observed in the cross KPS 10640 x CSR 23 with high x low combiner effects reporting the likely hood of interaction between beneficial and negative alleles was highly desirable for the trait number of productive tillers followed by KPS 10640 x CSR 36 with high x high combiner effects preponderance additive x additive gene action. These estimates were collinear with the findings of Khute *et al.* (2015), Waza *et al.* (2015), Prajapati and Kathiria (2018) in rice.

The highest negative significant *sca* effect was observed for the cross KPS 10633 x KPS 2874 followed by cross KPS 10651 x CSR 36 which were negative non-significant

Table 6. Specific combining ability (*sca*) of crosses for yield and its components

| Crosses | Mortality | Days to 50% flowering | Plant height | Panicle length | Number of productive tillers/m ² | Total number of grains/panicle | Number of unfilled grains/panicle | Spikelet sterility | 1000 grain weight | Seed yield/plant |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| KPS 10628 x CSR 23 | -3.101** | 4.014 | 4.222 | 0.357 | -24.750 | 10.639 | -2.653 | -3.529 | 0.506 | 2.943 * |
| KPS 10628 x CSR 36 | 3.901 ** | -0.764 | -5.900 * | -1.099 | 54.267 | -9.028 | -2.042 | -1.524 | -0.833 | 0.710 |
| KPS 10628 x KPS 2874 | -3.102** | 1.181 | -5.439 * | 1.857 | -17.417 | -3.583 | 14.847 | 11.649 ** | -0.028 | -2.224 |
| KPS 10628 x RNR 11718 | 2.302 ** | -4.431 * | 7.117 ** | -1.115 | -12.100 | 1.972 | -10.153 | -6.596 | 0.356 | -1.429 |
| KPS 10631 x CSR 23 | 5.187 ** | 3.014 | 8.847 ** | 0.149 | -21.725 | 4.556 | -13.403 | -7.371 | -0.278 | -1.890 |
| KPS 10631 x CSR 36 | 11.336** | 2.569 | -0.642 | -0.574 | 27.592 | -8.111 | 14.875 | 7.735 | -0.017 | -0.957 |
| KPS 10631 x KPS 2874 | -11.667** | -4.819 * | -7.181 ** | -0.185 | 10.908 | 4.667 | -5.569 | -2.593 | 0.456 | 2.943 * |
| KPS 10631 x RNR 11718 | -4.856** | -0.764 | -1.025 | 0.610 | -16.775 | -1.111 | 4.097 | 2.229 | -0.161 | -0.096 |
| KPS 10633 x CSR 23 | -4.549** | -2.403 | 5.756 * | -3.976 * | -104.775* | -0.861 | 17.264 * | 9.238 * | -0.403 | -4.524 ** |
| KPS 10633 x CSR 36 | -6.780** | -2.514 | -4.700 | -1.165 | -30.158 | -19.528 | 15.208 | 9.076 * | 0.392 | -2.157 |
| KPS 10633 x KPS 2874 | 4.994 ** | 3.097 | 0.128 | 1.290 | 110.458* | 21.250 | -18.569 * | -11.385 ** | 0.164 | 3.776 * |
| KPS 10633 x RNR 11718 | 6.335 ** | 1.819 | -1.183 | 3.851 * | 24.475 | -0.861 | -13.903 | -6.929 | -0.153 | 2.904 * |
| KPS 10640 x CSR 23 | -2.491 ** | -0.069 | -7.919 ** | 0.407 | 98.725* | 39.556 ** | 7.014 | 1.029 | -0.394 | 2.435 |
| KPS 10640 x CSR 36 | -6.663** | 2.486 | 2.492 | 0.918 | 52.342 | 37.889 ** | -6.042 | -5.299 | 0.967 | 2.101 |
| KPS 10640 x KPS 2874 | 2.852 ** | -1.236 | 1.786 | -1.260 | -28.142 | -41.667 ** | -1.486 | 1.607 | -0.661 | -4.965 ** |
| KPS 10640 x RNR 11718 | 6.302 ** | -1.181 | 3.642 | -0.065 | -122.925** | -35.778 ** | 0.514 | 2.663 | 0.089 | 0.429 |
| KPS 10642 x CSR 23 | 2.187 ** | -3.653 | -5.003 | 2.482 | -20.075 | -47.278 * | 3.014 | 3.813 | 0.614 | 3.293 * |
| KPS 10642 x CSR 36 | 3.706 ** | 0.903 | -0.692 | 1.193 | -59.858 | 4.722 | -1.708 | -2.115 | -0.658 | -2.607 |
| KPS 10642 x KPS 2874 | -4.233** | -2.486 | 2.436 | -1.451 | 23.558 | 28.833 * | -7.486 | -5.043 | 0.647 | 0.493 |
| KPS 10642 x RNR 11718 | -1.659 * | 5.236 * | 3.258 | -2.224 | 56.375 | 13.722 | 6.181 | 3.346 | -0.603 | -1.179 |
| KPS 10651 x CSR 23 | 2.766 ** | -0.903 | -5.903 * | 0.582 | 72.600 | -6.611 | -11.236 | -3.179 | -0.044 | -2.257 |
| KPS 10651 x CSR 36 | -5.499** | -2.681 | 9.442 ** | 0.726 | -44.183 | -5.944 | -20.292 * | -7.874 | 0.150 | 2.910 * |
| KPS 10651 x KPS 2874 | 11.156** | 4.264 | 8.269 ** | -0.251 | -99.367* | -9.500 | 18.264 * | 5.765 | -0.578 | -0.024 |
| KPS 10651 x RNR 11718 | -8.424** | -0.681 | -11.808 ** | -1.057 | 70.950 | 22.056 | 13.264 | 5.288 | 0.472 | -0.629 |
| SE hybrids | 0.724 | 2.161 | 2.543 | 1.529 | 45.169 | 11.895 | 7.704 | 3.978 | 0.827 | 1.423 |

* significant at 5 per cent level

** significant at 1 per cent level

desirable for the trait mortality percentage. In the above crosses *sca* effect was expressed in a significant direction due to the interaction between the favourable alleles contributed by the parents even though they were poor combiners showing low *x* low *gca* effect. These results were in accordance with Bedi and Sharma (2014), and Thorat *et al.* (2017) in rice. The cross KPS 10633 x KPS 2874 recorded the highest positive significance which is desirable for seed yield and important for the hybrid with low *x* high combiner effects reporting the possibility of interaction between negative and beneficial alleles followed by cross KPS 10642 x CSR 23 having low *x* low *gca* effects preponderance the presence of over dominance or epistatic interactions. These results were in line with that of Sala *et al.* (2016), Satheesh kumar and Saravanan, (2012), Thorat *et al.* (2017) and Ambikabathy *et al.* (2019) in rice. Hence, the hybrids obtained from these crosses can be forwarded to further generations for improving desired traits.

Based on *gca* and *sca* effects, KPS 10642 x KPS 2874, KPS 10640 x CSR 23, KPS 10651 x CSR 36 and KPS 10651 x CSR 23 were found to be the best hybrids. Hence, the above hybrids can be exploited in future breeding programs related to salt stress.

Under salt stress, the experiment investigates the association between the number of productive tillers and the number of grains per panicle and seed yield. The findings cleared the door for additional advancements in salt tolerance in high-salinity environments. The best combiners identified could be utilized as parents for creating a mapping population for QTL mapping. KPS 10640 x CSR 23, KPS 10651 x CSR 36 and KPS 10651 x CSR 23 were the best hybrid combinations. These prospective crossings, which were found as overall high general combiners, might be used to develop synthetic varieties or an elite breeding population by enabling new genetic recombination among them and then subjecting the resultant population to recurrent selection.

REFERENCES

- Ambikabathy, A., Banumathy, S., Gnanamalar, R.P., Arunchalam, P., Jeyaprakash, P., Amutha, R. and Venkatraman, N.S. 2019. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield attributing traits in rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **10**(3):1060-1066. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Bedi, S. and Sharma, D. 2014. Study of combining ability to develop hybrids in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Advance research journal of crop improvement*, **5**:105-108. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Eynard, A., Lal, R. and Wiebe, K. 2005. Crop response in salt-affected soils. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, **27**:5–50. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Gopikannan, M. and Ganesh, S.K. 2013. Inter-relationship and path analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under sodicity. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, **6**(9): 5223–5227. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Grattan, S., Zeng, L., Shannon, M. and Roberts, S. 2002. Rice is more sensitive to salinity than previously thought. *California agriculture*, **56**(6):189-198. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Kempthorne, O. 1957. An introduction of genetic statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, USA.
- Khute, I. K., Singh, S., Sahu, P. and Sharma, S. 2015. Gene action and combining ability analysis to develop NPT based rice hybrids in Chhattisgarh Plains. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **6**: 366-372.
- Koze, A. 2017. Gene action and combining ability in line x tester population of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.). *Field crops*, **22**(2): 197 – 203. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Nanditha, R.S., Pushpam, R., Geetha, S., Surendar, K.K. and Ganesamurthy, K. 2021. Assessment of combining ability, gene action and heterosis for yield and grain characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **12**(3):976-982. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Prajapati, K.N. and Kathiria, K.B. 2018. Combining ability and gene action for grain yield and its attributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, **10**(6): 5630-5634.
- Reddy, I.N.B.L., Kim, B.K., Yoon, I.S., Kim, K.H. and Kwon, T.R. 2017. Salt tolerance in rice: focus on mechanisms and approaches. *Rice Science*, **24**(3):123-144. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Saiaidiah, P., Ramesha, M. S. and Kumar, S. S. 2010. Line x Tester analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Crop improvement*, **37**(1): 32-35.
- Sala, M., Shanthi, P., Selvi, Ravi, V. Raveendran, M. and Vijayalakshmi, C. 2016. Combining ability studies for yield and yield contributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Green Farming*, **7**(2): 283-287.
- Sandhya kishore, N., Praveenkumar, G., Pallavi, M., Kamalakar, J., Shahana, F. and Tagore, K. 2017. Combining ability analysis for yield and related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agriculture Research Journal*, **12**: 1573-1577. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Sanjay Singh, Singh, A. K., Singh, H. P. and Singh, R. S. 2008. Genetic analysis for seed germination, callus induction and survival of rice under salt at in vitro conditions. *Oryza*, **45**(1): 12-17.

- Saravanan, K. R., Yogini, D., Vinoth Kumar, K. and Prakash, M. 2018. Combining ability analysis for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **1**: 73-74.
- Satheesh Kumar, P. and Saravanan, K. 2012. Studies on combining ability for yield attributes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, **2**(12): 56-58.
- Singh, T. V. J., Raju, C. D., Mohan, Y. C., Jagadeeshwar, R., Balram, M. and Krishna, L. 2020. Combining ability and heterosis studies for grain yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, **2**(3): 67-75.
- Sprague, G.P. and Tatum, L.A. 1942. General vs specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. *Journal of American Society Agronomy*, **34**: 923-932. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Sudharani, M. 2013. Genetic analysis components of salinity tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Ph. D. (Agri.) Thesis, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.
- Thorat, B. S., Kunkerkar, R. L., Thaware, B. L., Burondkar, M. M. and Bhawe, S. G. 2017. Heterosis and combining ability in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Contemporary Research in India*, **7**(3): 2231-2137.
- Waza, S.A., Jaiswal, H.K., Sravan, T., Priyanka, K., Bano, D.A. and Rai, V.P. 2015. Combining ability analysis for various yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, **7**(2): 865 – 873. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Widyastuti, Y., Kartina, N. and Rumanti, I. A. 2017. Prediction of combining ability and heterosis in the selected parents and hybrids in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.). *Informatika Pertanian*, **26**(1): 31-40. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Zewdu, Z. 2020. Combining ability analysis of yield and yield components in selected rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*, **6**: 1811594. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Zhang, X., Liangjie, L.V., Chai, L.V., Baojian, G. and Rugen, X. 2015. Combining ability of different agronomic traits and yield components in hybrid barley. *PLoS one*, **10**: 6. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)